

REVIEW ARTICLE

Extra-solar planets

M A C Perryman

Astrophysics Division, European Space Agency, ESTEC, Noordwijk 2200AG, The Netherlands; and Leiden Observatory, University of Leiden, The Netherlands

Abstract. The discovery of the first extra-solar planet surrounding a main-sequence star was announced in 1995, based on very precise radial velocity (Doppler) measurements. A total of 34 such planets were known by the end of March 2000, and their numbers are growing steadily. The newly-discovered systems confirm some of the features predicted by standard theories of star and planet formation, but systems with massive planets having very small orbital radii and large eccentricities are common and were generally unexpected.

Other techniques being used to search for planetary signatures include accurate measurement of positional (astrometric) displacements, gravitational microlensing, and pulsar timing, the latter resulting in the detection of the first planetary mass bodies beyond our Solar System in 1992. The transit of a planet across the face of the host star provides significant physical diagnostics, and the first such detection was announced in 1999. Protoplanetary disks, which represent an important evolutionary stage for understanding planet formation, are being imaged from space. In contrast, direct imaging of extra-solar planets represents an enormous challenge. Long-term efforts are directed towards infrared space interferometry, the detection of Earth-mass planets, and measurement of their spectral characteristics.

Theoretical atmospheric models provide predictions of planetary temperatures, radii, albedos, chemical condensates, and spectral features as a function of mass, composition and distance from the host star. Efforts to characterise planets occupying the ‘habitable zone’, in which liquid water may be present, and indicators of the presence of life, are advancing quantitatively.

Submitted to: *Rep. Prog. Phys.*

1. Introduction

There are hundreds of billions of galaxies in the observable Universe, with each galaxy such as our own containing some 10^{11} stars. Surrounded by this seemingly limitless ocean of stars, mankind has long speculated about the existence of planetary systems other than our own, and the possibility of the development of life elsewhere in the Universe. Only recently has evidence become available to begin to distinguish the extremes of thinking that has pervaded for more than 2000 years, typified by opinions ranging from ‘*There are infinite worlds both like and unlike this world of ours*’ (Epicurus, 341–270 BC) to ‘*There cannot be more worlds than one*’ (Aristotle, 384–322 BC). The last 10–20 years has seen rapid advances in theoretical understanding of planetary formation, the development of a variety of conceptual methods for extra-solar planet detection, the implementation of observational programmes to carry out targeted searches and, within the last five years, the detection of a number of planets beyond our own Solar System.

Shining only by reflected starlight, extra-solar planets comparable to bodies in our own Solar System should be typically billions of times fainter than their host stars and, depending on their distances from us, at angular separations from their accompanying star of, at most, a few seconds of arc. This combination makes direct detection extraordinarily demanding, particularly at optical wavelengths where the star/planet intensity ratio is large, and especially from the ground given the perturbing effect of the Earth’s atmosphere. Alternative detection methods, based on the dynamical perturbation of the star by the orbiting planet, on planetary transits, and on gravitational lensing, have therefore been developed, although these effects are also extremely subtle.

Radio pulsar timing achieved the first detection of planetary mass bodies beyond our Solar System in 1992. High-precision radial velocity (Doppler) measurements resulted in the detection of the first extra-solar planetary systems surrounding main-sequence stars similar to our own in 1995, and in 1999 gravitational microlensing provided (contested) evidence for a planet near the centre of our Galaxy nearly 30 000 light-years away. Observational progress in extra-solar planet detection and characterization is now moving rapidly on a number of fronts.

The extra-solar planets detected from radial velocity measurements, of which 34 were known by the end of March 2000, have masses in the range $0.2\text{--}11 M_J$, orbital periods in the range 3–1700 days, and semi-major axes in the range 0.04–2.8 AU. Planets significantly less massive than Jupiter cannot be detected with current radial velocity techniques, while systems with large orbital radii will have escaped detection so far due to their long-periods and hence small deviation from rectilinear photocentric motion over measurement periods of a few years. Nevertheless, the newly-discovered systems do not typify planetary properties that had been expected. More than one third have significantly elliptical orbits, with $e > 0.3$, compared with the largest eccentricities in our Solar System, of about 0.2 for Mercury and Pluto, and 0.05 for Jupiter. Even more significantly, about two thirds are orbiting their host star much closer than Mercury

orbits the Sun (0.39 AU). While the Doppler technique preferentially selects systems in tight orbits, giant planets so close to the parent star were generally unexpected. Theoretical progress in understanding their formation and their properties has been rapid, but it remains far from complete. ‡

Based on present knowledge from the radial velocity surveys, about 5% of solar-type stars may harbour massive planets, and an even higher percentage may have planets of lower mass or with larger orbital radii. If these numbers can be extrapolated, the number of planets in our Galaxy alone would be of order 1 billion. Although only one main-sequence extra-solar planetary system is known which contains more than one planet, present understanding of planet formation could imply that many of these massive planets are accompanied by other objects in the same orbiting system. For the future, experiments capable of detecting tens of thousands of extra-solar planetary systems, lower mass planets down to around $1 M_{\oplus}$, and spectral signatures which may indicate the presence of life, are now underway or are planned. The most substantial advances may come from space observatories over the next 10–20 years.

This review covers published literature to March 2000. It provides a summary of the theoretical understanding of planet formation, a review of detection methods, reporting on major relevant experiments both ongoing and planned, and outlines the properties of the extra-solar planetary systems detected to date. Amongst others it addresses the following questions: What defines a planet? What prospects are there for the various search programmes underway? How common are planetary systems? What is their formation process? What information can be deduced from them? What fraction are likely to lie in the ‘habitable zone’? What are the prospects for detecting the presence of life?

Section 2 provides a background to the processes believed to underpin star formation and planetary formation. Section 3 reviews detection methods, including instruments and programmes under development, and their prospects for planet

‡ The text employs a number of standard astronomical terms and units. Planetary systems are conveniently characterized in terms of corresponding Solar System quantities ($\odot$ = Sun; $\oplus$ = Earth; J = Jupiter): $M_{\odot} \simeq 2.0 \times 10^{30}$ kg; $M_J \simeq 9.5 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$; $M_{\text{Saturn}} \simeq 2.9 \times 10^{-4} M_{\odot}$; $M_{\text{Uranus}} \simeq 4.4 \times 10^{-5} M_{\odot}$; $M_{\oplus} \simeq 3.0 \times 10^{-6} M_{\odot} \simeq 3 \times 10^{-3} M_J$. 1 AU = 1 astronomical unit (mean Sun-Earth distance) $\simeq 1.5 \times 10^{11}$ m. For Jupiter, $a = 5.2$ AU and $P = 11.9$ yr. Stellar distances are conveniently given in parsec (pc), defined as the distance at which 1 AU subtends an angle of 1 second of arc (or arcsec); $1 \text{ pc} \simeq 3.1 \times 10^{16}$ m $\simeq 3.26$ light-years. For reference, distances to the nearest stars are of order 1 pc; there are about 2000 known stars within a radius of 25 pc of our Sun, and the distance to the Galactic centre is about 8.5 kpc. Stellar masses range from around $0.1 - 30 M_{\odot}$, with spectral types providing convenient spectral classification related to the primary stellar properties of temperature and luminosity. Our Sun is of spectral type G2V: cooler stars (types K, M) are of lower mass and have longer lifetimes; hotter stars (types F, A, etc.) are of higher mass and have shorter lifetimes. Object names such as 70 Vir (for 70 Virginis) reflect standard astronomical (constellation-based) nomenclature, while other designations reflect discovery catalogues or techniques variously labelled with catalogue running numbers (e.g. HD 114762) or according to celestial coordinates (e.g. PSR 1257+12). The International Astronomical Union is in the process of formulating recommendations for the nomenclature of extra-solar planets (cf. Warren & Dickel 1998), meanwhile the *de facto* custom denotes (multiple) planets around star X as X b, c,... according to discovery sequence.

detection in the future. The detection of protoplanetary disks, from which planets are formed, is also covered. Section 4 presents the observed and inferred properties of the known systems and their host stars, explaining how these characteristics may fit into a revised picture of the formation and evolutionary of extra-solar planets. Section 5 touches upon some of the issues relevant for the development of the field from planet discovery to the detection of life.

2. Stars, brown dwarfs and planets

2.1. Star formation

The existence and formation of planets should first be placed in a broader astronomical context. Over the last two decades a standard paradigm has emerged for the origin and evolution of structure in the Universe (e.g. White & Springel 2000). In this picture, the Universe expanded from a hot, dense and smooth state, the Hot Big Bang (the Planck form of the cosmic microwave background provides evidence for this back to a Universe age of a few months, while the light element abundances extend this to an age of a few minutes). The observed near-homogeneity and isotropy were produced by an early phase of inflationary expansion, with all observed structure originating from quantum zero-point fluctuations during the inflationary period, and growing by gravitational amplification. Galaxies were formed by cooling and condensation of gas in the cores of heavy halos produced by non-linear hierarchical clustering of dark matter – the unseen and unknown form of non-baryonic, weakly interacting matter considered to dominate gravitating mass at the present time.

In the standard model of star formation, stars form from gravitational instabilities in interstellar clouds of gas and ‘dust’ grains, leading to collapse and fragmentation (e.g. Shu et al. 1987; Boss 1988). In particular, a density perturbation (e.g. due to a shock wave) may cause the gravitational binding energy of a certain region of a cloud to exceed its thermal energy, in which case the region begins to contract (the Jeans instability criterion). The details, including effects such as stellar rotation and magnetic fields, are complex and incompletely known, and the early phases are considered particularly uncertain (Adams & Fatuzzo 1996; Elmegreen 1999). The most massive stars evolved rapidly, creating new elements by nucleosynthesis, and dispersing them through gaseous outflows or supernova explosions. Part of the chemically enriched material remained in the gas phase, while part condensed into solid dust grains (e.g. silicates), together providing material for subsequent generations of star formation.

For a cloud with some initial rotation gravitational collapse will lead, from conservation of angular momentum, to a flattened system, with a high proportion of double and multiple stars arising from the fragmentation process (Adams & Benz 1992; Bonnell 1999). Single star formation then involves three fairly distinct stages, within which flattened disks appear to be a natural by-product: (i) collapse, under self-gravity, of an extended cloud of gas and dust grains assembled from the debris of processed stars

and remnants of the early Universe (the gas consists primarily of H_2 molecules along with H and He atoms and simple molecules such as CO, CO_2 , N_2 , CH_4 and H_2O , while the dust grains, of order $10\ \mu\text{m}$ in size, each contain typically 10^6 atoms of C, Si, O etc. with outer coatings of H_2O or CO_2). The material accumulates quickly towards the central proto-star, but with enough residual angular momentum to prevent total collapse onto the central object. The average angular momentum of the collapsing region defines the rotation axis of the resulting disk, whose thickness is much smaller than its radius, and which therefore forms a thin plane or circumstellar disk extending out to about 100 AU (in the case of our Solar System, well beyond the orbit of Pluto). The formation of the relatively stable disk probably occurs over about $10^5 - 10^6$ years after the onset of free-fall collapse; (ii) inflow of gas and dust from the disk onto the central object by self-gravitation, heating the centrally condensed gas by compression until nuclear fusion occurs in the central parts and the star is formed on time scales of $10^5 - 10^7$ years. Material in the disk is replenished by infall from the surrounding molecular cloud; (iii) through redistribution of mass and angular momentum in the disk, a centrifugally-supported residual ‘solar nebula’ is formed containing the material that accumulates to form planets (Section 2.2). The process ends when all the residual nebula gas has been lost, either by escape to interstellar space, or to the central star (Ward 1981).

The resulting stars shine by thermonuclear fusion, with stable hydrogen burning occurring for masses above about $0.08\ M_\odot$ (about $80\ M_J$), when the central temperature triggers nucleosynthesis, this mass limit being slightly sensitive to initial chemical composition. Objects having marginally smaller masses ($0.075\text{--}0.070\ M_\odot$) are not stars, in the sense that they are never fully supported by nuclear energy generation, but their thermal energy output means that they nevertheless spend about 10^{10} years (roughly the present age of the Universe) at luminosities $L \sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-5} L_\odot$ (D’Antona & Mazzitelli 1985). Brown dwarfs (Tartar 1986; Burrows & Liebert 1993; Basri 2000) are objects which occupy the mass range of about $12\text{--}80\ M_J$ ($0.01 - 0.08 M_\odot$), and are also considered to have formed by gravitational instability in a gas. These objects are not massive enough to ignite stable hydrogen burning although deuterium fusion in their cores, contributing to their luminosity, is possible down to masses of about $12\ M_J$. Below this limit, which is again sensitive to chemical composition, and which also depends on structural uniformity, nuclear processes, and the role of dust (Tinney 1999), objects should retain essentially their entire deuterium complement of around the protosolar value, $\text{D}/\text{H} \sim 2 \times 10^{-5}$, and derive no luminosity from thermonuclear fusion at any stage in their evolutionary lifetime (Burrows et al. 1993; Saumon et al. 1996).

The minimum mass for star formation via fragmentation is determined by the minimum Jeans mass along the track of a collapsing cloud in the $(\log \rho, \log T)$ plane, which occurs when the cloud or fragment first becomes optically thick. The minimum mass that can be obtained in this way appears to be around $7\text{--}20\ M_J$ (Boss 1986; Boss 1988; Bodenheimer 1998). Consequently, a mass limit of $\simeq 7 - 12\ M_J$ represents a useful boundary, from both formation and nuclear reaction perspectives, to provisionally

distinguish (giant) planets from the more massive brown dwarfs. The extent to which this distinction is confirmed by the recent discoveries will be considered in Section 4. The recent detection of ‘free-floating’ objects of brown dwarf and planetary mass, which appear to have formed by this fragmentation process in young clusters and star-forming regions (e.g. Béjar et al. 1999; Oasa et al. 1999; Lucas & Roche 2000) is not considered here in further detail.

2.2. Planet formation and our Solar System

A number of theories of the origin of our Solar System have been advanced, starting with the scientific theory of Laplace (1796) (see, e.g., Woollson 2000). In the most widely considered ‘solar nebula theory’ planet formation in our Solar System (and, by inference, planetary formation in general) follows on from the process of star formation described previously, through the agglomeration of residual protoplanetary disk material.

In this paradigm, planet formation proceeds through several sub-stages characterised by differences in the respective particle interactions (Safronov 1969; Goldreich & Ward 1973; Lissauer 1993; Lissauer 1995; Wetherill 1996; Ruden 1999). First, the dust grains settle into a dense layer in the mid-plane of the disk, and begin to stick together as they collide, forming macroscopic objects with sizes of order 0.01–10 m, all orbiting the protostar in the same direction and in the same plane, analogous to the few hundred metre thick rings around Saturn. In a second stage, over the next $10^4 - 10^5$ years, further collisions lead to the formation of ‘planetesimals’, objects up to a km or so in size, driven by gravitational interactions, and leading to the concentration of objects into particular orbits, with nearly empty gaps between them. In the third phase, the mutual gravitational interaction between planetesimals leads to small changes in their Keplerian orbits, resulting in subsequent collisions, some of which would shatter the planetesimals, but most of which occur at velocities producing a single larger object, or embryo. These are of mass $\sim 10^{23}$ kg in the terrestrial planet region, and of larger but uncertain mass in the outer Solar System.

In the presence of an adequate mass of planetesimals, runaway growth of embryos occurs as a result of dynamical friction, three-body effects, and fragmentation (Kobuko & Ida 1996; Kobuko & Ida 2000). Runaway growth of these lunar- to Mercury-sized bodies requires as little as 10^5 years at 1 AU, but of the order of a few million years in the outer part of the asteroid belt. When the gravitational pull of the largest planetesimals is sufficient, they grow rapidly to the size of small planets by mutual collisions and mergers, with the terrestrial planets growing over time scales of between 10^7 to a few times 10^8 years (Wetherill 1990), primarily limited by the time required to sweep up bodies accelerated to high velocities by close encounters and outer planet resonances. Mergers proceed by pairwise accretion until the spacing of planetary orbits becomes large enough that the configuration is stable for the lifetime of the system (Safronov 1969; Lissauer 1995; Weidenschilling et al. 1997; Chambers & Wetherill 1998).

In the case of our Solar System, a few solid cores in the outer parts of the proto-Solar

disk became large enough ($\sim 10 - 30 M_{\oplus}$) to accrete residual gas (H and He) slowly, giving rise to the giant planets – Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus and Neptune (Pollack 1984; Bodenheimer & Pollack 1986; Pollack et al. 1996). In the generalised picture of gas giant formation by core accretion (Mizuno 1980; Pollack 1984; Bodenheimer et al. 2000) these objects formed far out from the central proto-star — closer in, temperatures were too high to allow ice formation and gas accretion (‘ice’ generally refers to a combination of volatiles, such as water, methane, and ammonia, in either a solid or liquid phase), and the total mass of disk material close in was anyhow smaller (Boss 1995). Alternative theories of giant planet formation through gravitational instability of a massive cool solar nebula, leading to fragmentation on a dynamical time scale (Kuiper 1951; Adams & Benz 1992) and thus circumventing the lengthier formation time scale demanded by core accretion, is discussed by, e.g., Pollack (1984) and Boss (1998a).

Termination of the planet’s accretion process, by clearing a gap around its orbit, depends on the (unknown) viscosity of the protoplanetary disk (Lin & Papaloizou 1979). Factors determining the ultimate size and spacing of gas giant planets are complex and poorly understood. Modern theories of planetary growth do not yield deterministic ‘Bode’s Law’ formulae for planetary orbits (Nieto 1972), but characteristic orbital spacings do exist, suggesting that gaps develop roughly in proportion to the distance from the central star (Hayes & Tremaine 1998; Laskar 2000).

Long-term (Hill) stability of the resulting orbits depends on the separation of the semi-major axes (e.g. Gladman 1993; Lissauer 1993; Chambers et al. 1996; Lissauer 1997a). Orbital resonances can either increase orbital stability (e.g. in the case of Neptune–Pluto) or lead to instabilities. Larger eccentricities tend to destabilise systems because bodies can approach each other more closely, while large inclinations usually increase stability since the bodies remain farther apart. Modelling of the Solar System stability shows that Mercury, for example, could be chaotically ejected following close encounters with Venus within 3.5 Gyr (Laskar 1994). Planetesimal formation in binary systems has been considered by various authors (Harrington 1977; Heppenheimer 1978a; Whitmire et al. 1998).

In the Solar System, planetesimals which grew to modest size without joining larger objects, as well as collisional debris, are represented by meteoroids and comets. The former comprise objects made of ‘rock’ (a combination of iron- and magnesium-bearing silicates and metallic iron) with random orbits and ranging up to a few hundred metres in size, while the latter comprise ‘dirty snowballs’ of frozen H_2O , CO_2 , and dust grains up to a few km in size (Hahn & Malhotra 1999). Comets comprise two families: the Edgeworth–Kuiper Belt, extending from 50 AU (beyond Pluto) to hundreds or a few thousand AU, forming a vast system possibly identified with the remnant of the Sun’s protoplanetary disk (Williams 1997; Jewitt 1999). The Oort Cloud consists of some 10^{12} comets of all orbital orientations, extending out to tens of thousands of AU, but with a total mass of only a few $M_{\oplus}$. It originated from the gravitational scattering of planetesimals early in the history of the Solar System by Uranus and Neptune, objects sent outwards at close to the Solar System escape velocity, and perturbed into long-

lived orbits by nearby stars or the Galactic tidal field. Bodies scattered from Jupiter and Saturn, deeper within the Sun's gravitational potential well, would have been lost from the Solar System.

The smallest objects in the Solar System, swarms of sand to small meteoroids, are presumably debris left over from the earliest phase. The final formation stage would be accompanied by the impact of the last fragments of matter, evidence of which is seen in the impact craters of the Moon (whose origin now tends to be attributed to a giant impact event with the Earth during the later stages of planetary formation, rather than to capture, e.g. Canup et al. 1999). Even today at intervals of several tens of millions of years, a small planetesimal or comet in an Earth-crossing orbit strikes our planet, these impacts being considered responsible for mass extinctions such as at the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary some 65 million years ago (e.g. Stothers 1998).

In the case of our Solar System formation theories can be confronted with a wealth of diverse observational constraints: orbital motions, stability, and spacings of the nine planets; planetary masses, rotations, and the existence of planetary satellites and rings; angular momentum distribution; bulk and isotopic composition; radio-isotope ages; cratering records; and the occurrence of comets, asteroids and meteorites including the presence of the Oort Cloud and the Edgeworth-Kuiper Belt (Pollack 1984; Lissauer 1993; Woolfson 2000).

While the present paradigm of planet formation offers many attractive features, various problems remain: for example, the details of the redistribution of angular momentum, the requirements for and dominant source of turbulence during the early nebular phase, the size and 'stickiness' of the dust grains required for the first phase of large particle formation from micron-sized dust to km-sized planetesimals (Blum & Wurm 2000), the formation time scale of the giant planets and, in the case of our Solar System, issues including the 7° tilt of the solar spin axis with respect to the mean orbital plane, the detailed distribution of the planetary satellites, the isotopic anomalies in meteorites, and the formation of chondrites (e.g. Shu et al. 1996; McBreen & Hanlon 1999; Desch & Cuzzi 2000).

Alternative theories exist, most notably developments of the 'capture theory' (Woolfson 1964) which built upon early ideas by Jeans (1917), and which involves the tidal interaction between the Sun and a lower-mass, diffuse cool protostar. The subsequent developments of this model, and its merits, are reviewed by Woolfson (2000).

3. Detection methods

This review concentrates on the detection and characterisation of discrete extra-solar planetary mass bodies, although observational evidence for disks is also summarised. In considering detection methods, the order followed in this section progresses roughly from the most to the least intuitive, although this is somewhat uncorrelated with technical feasibility and progress to date. Although almost all systems currently known (loosely classified as giant planets with masses of order $1 M_J$) have been detected by high-




Figure 1. Detection methods for extra-solar planets described in the text. The lower extent of the lines indicates, roughly, the detectable masses that are in principle within reach of present measurements (solid lines), and those that might be expected within the next 10–20 years (dashed). The (logarithmic) mass scale is shown at left. The miscellaneous signatures to the upper right are less well quantified in mass terms. Solid arrows indicate (original) detections according to approximate mass, while open arrows indicate further measurements of previously-detected systems. ‘?’ indicates uncertain or unconfirmed detections. The figure takes no account of the numbers of planets that may be detectable by each method.

precision radial velocity measurements, the prospects for the detection of planets with masses significantly below that of Jupiter appear somewhat limited by this method. The development of other methods will be required to lower planetary mass detection limits towards the ‘habitable zone’ (Section 5), to enlarge the sample sizes to provide better constraints on formation theories, and to enhance the knowledge of the physical properties of the detected systems.

Parameters used are mass M , radius R , and luminosity L , with subscripts $*$ and p referring to star and planet respectively. Systems are characterised by their orbital period P , semi-major axis a , eccentricity e , orbital inclination with respect to the plane of the sky i ($i = 0^\circ$ face-on, $i = 90^\circ$ edge-on), and distance from the Solar System d . Unless explicitly noted, it is assumed that a single dominant planet is in orbit around the star, a simplification in the case of our own Solar System, and perhaps the majority of others, but one which appears to be an acceptable starting point for describing the systems detected to date. Figure 1 summarises the detection possibilities referred to in this section.

3.1. Imaging

Imaging of an extra-solar planet generally refers to the detection of a point source image of the object seen in the reflected light from the parent star. This is to be distinguished from resolution of the planet surface, prospects for which are discussed briefly at the end of this section.

The ratio of the planet to stellar brightness depends on the planet's size and proximity to the star, and on the scattering properties of the planet's atmosphere. For reflected light of wavelength λ :

$$\frac{L_p}{L_*} = p(\lambda, \alpha) \left(\frac{R_p}{a} \right)^2 \quad (1)$$

where $p(\lambda, \alpha)$ is a phase-dependent function, including the effects of orbital inclination, depending on the amplitude and angular dependence of the various sources of scattering in the planetary atmosphere, integrated over the surface of the sphere. α is the angle between the star and observer as seen from the planet. The scattering properties are characterised by the geometric albedo, p , being the flux from the planet at $\alpha = 0$ compared to the equivalent flux from a Lambert law (perfectly diffusing) disk of the same diameter (e.g. Charbonneau et al. 1998). The formula, including the phase dependence, is modified if thermal emission from the planet is significant.

L_p/L_* is very small, of order 10^{-9} for a Jupiter-type object at maximum elongation. Viewed from a ground-based telescope, with a star-planet separation of 1 arcsec (Jupiter viewed from 5 pc) the planet signal is immersed in the photon noise of the telescope's diffraction profile ($\lambda/D \simeq 0.02$ arcsec at 500 nm for a 5-m telescope) and more problematically within the 'seeing' profile (of order 1 arcsec) arising from turbulent atmospheric refraction. Under these conditions elementary signal-to-noise calculations imply that obtaining a direct image of the planet is not feasible.

Imaging efforts are directed at ways of reducing the angular size of the stellar image, suppressing scattered light (including use of coronagraphic masks), minimising the effects of atmospheric turbulence (including eliminating them altogether using space observations), and enhancing the contrast between the planet and the star by observing at longer wavelengths (favourable for detection of thermal emission). None of these imaging techniques has been successfully applied to extra-solar planetary detection so far. But they represent important long-term efforts since they provide the basis for attempts to measure the spectral features of planets, and therefore the possibility of detecting signatures of life in their atmospheres. Specifically, direct imaging will in future be capable of providing broad-band colours and eventually spectral energy distributions, giving constraints on temperature and chemical composition, and ultimately insights into the planet's chemical and biological processes.

A promising route to the detection and characterisation of extra-solar terrestrial planets, and especially for detecting signatures of life, would be an infrared space interferometer, with baselines of order 50 m. Before detailing these ideas, ground-based efforts will be described, since a necessary prerequisite for space experiments will




Figure 2. Image of the brown dwarf Gliese 229 b, obtained with an adaptive optics system at the Palomar Observatory 60-inch telescope (left) and with the Hubble Space Telescope (right). The brown dwarf is 7 arcsec to the lower right of the companion star, Gliese 229. The star/brown dwarf brightness ratio is ~ 5000 , and the distance between the two objects corresponds roughly to the Sun-Pluto separation. A Jupiter mass planet at a distance of 10 pc would be 14 times closer to its parent star, and roughly 200 000 times dimmer than Gliese 229 b (courtesy of Tadashi Nakajima).

be the building and testing of ground-based optical interferometers, a task complicated considerably by atmospheric turbulence.

Prospects for ground-based planetary imaging have concentrated on the use of adaptive optics (e.g. Angel 1994; Stahl & Sandler 1995; cf. the related but simpler use of active optics which compensates for, e.g., gravitational flexure at much lower temporal and spatial frequencies). Adaptive optics is under intensive development for the latest generation of large (8–10 m class) astronomical telescopes, aiming to compensate for atmospheric phase fluctuations across the telescope pupil in order to achieve diffraction-limited resolution. The method relies on continuous measurement of the wavefront from a reference star, then applying an equal but opposite correction using a deformable mirror containing actuators distributed across its surface, at frequencies of order 1 kHz. Adaptive systems typically rely on a nearby bright reference star to measure these phase fluctuations. Measurements must be made within a narrow coherent region, the isoplanatic patch, and over pupil sub-apertures of size $\simeq r_0$, where r_0 is the atmospheric coherence length (0.15–0.2 m at a good site at visible wavelengths, increasing to ~ 1 m at $2 \mu\text{m}$). The use of artificial laser guide stars from resonant scattering in the mesospheric sodium layer at 95 km is expected to extend the applicability of the technique to arbitrary locations on the sky (e.g. Lloyd-Hart et al. 1998).

If the position of the planet is known, adjustments can be made so that the stellar light from the different parts of the mirror arrives destructively at the planet location. In the ‘dark speckle’ technique (Labeyrie 1995), rapid random changes in optical path length due to the atmospheric turbulence are exploited, with the goal of detecting the

planet in very short exposures (~ 1 ms) when, by chance, the star light interferes destructively at the planet location. The challenges posed by such measurements are made clearer by reference to Figure 2, which shows the brown dwarf Gliese 229 b imaged from the ground (with adaptive optics) and from space.

Adaptive optics programmes underway at the world's largest ground-based telescopes being developed for interferometry (the European Southern Observatory's Very Large Telescope, the ESO VLT at Cerro Paranal, Chile; and the US Keck telescopes, Hawaii) may ultimately have the sensitivity to detect giant planets around several nearby bright stars, taking into account the effects of photon noise and speckle noise (caused by residual wavefront errors), diffraction and scattering from the telescope mirrors, the 'dark speckle' technique, and eventual interferometric combination of the beams from the individual telescopes. The Keck II telescope has a 349-actuator system (Wizinowich et al. 2000), the main effect of which will be to achieve a concentration in light from the planet and hence improve the planet/star intensity ratio by ~ 100 . The reduction of scattered light is not greatly affected beyond field angles λ/d where d is the actuator spacing, corresponding to 0.5 arcsec at $1.25 \mu\text{m}$ for a 0.56-m actuator spacing. Higher-order systems, e.g. the 10^4 actuator system proposed by Angel (1994), would bring into reach the detection of giant planets around some 100 stars. Massive optical apertures such as the 100-m OWL telescope (Gilmozzi et al. 1998) are under consideration, and with a planet detection sensitivity $\propto D^4$, such a telescope equipped with 10^6 actuators might lead to the detection of Earth-like planets around 100 stars.

The most promising imaging opportunities, however, exist from space. Bonneau et al. (1975) considered Lyot filtering to decrease the brightness of the Airy rings, while KenKnight (1977) suggested an analogue of phase-contrast microscopy to attenuate scattered light arising from the imperfect figure of a 2-m space telescope. Elliott (1978) proposed a space telescope in an orbit yielding a stationary lunar occultation of any star lasting two hours, using the black limb of the moon as an occulting edge to reduce the background light from the planet's star, a concept which has been extended recently to the idea of a large occulting satellite (Copi & Starkman 2000).

Bracewell (1978) and Bracewell & MacPhie (1979) noted that with Sun/Jupiter temperatures of 6000 K and 128 K, detection of thermal emission in the Rayleigh-Jeans regime longward of $\sim 20 \mu\text{m}$ (where the thermal infrared from the planet is strongest) would result in a factor of 10^5 improvement in the intensity contrast. They also introduced the principle of nulling interferometry to enhance the planet/star signal. In this technique, light from two or more small apertures, typically 20–50 m apart, are combined out of phase, the baseline being adjusted such that the stellar light interferes destructively over a broad wavelength range, while the planet signal interferes constructively (the baseline can be adjusted such that the radius of constructive interference corresponds to the 'habitable zone'). Rotating the interferometer about an axis through the star would allow detecting the faint planet from the signal modulated at harmonics of the spin frequency. Ideas for improved space missions (Angel et al. 1986; Korechhoff et al. 1994) or balloon experiments above altitudes of 30 km (Terrile




Figure 3. Simulation of a 60-hour exposure of the proposed ESA Darwin space interferometer (six 1.5-m telescopes in a 1 AU orbit and 50-m baseline). It simulates a solar-type star at 10 pc, with solar-level zodiacal dust, and three inner planets yielding terrestrial-level flux at locations corresponding to the positions of Venus, the Earth and Mars. The reconstruction method is based on a simple cross-correlation algorithm (Angel & Woolf 1997a). The light from the star, at the centre of the image, has been nulled and is therefore invisible. The three brightest regions (top, right, and lower left) are the detected planets, with the other features corresponding to artifacts of the image reconstruction (courtesy of Bertrand Mennesson).

& Ftacclas 1997) have subsequently been developed, with similar principles being tested on the ground (Hinz et al. 2000). Multi-element arrays can provide a deep central null with high-resolution fringes that can be used for mapping. These should yield full constructive interference for a close-in planet even in the presence of a resolved stellar disk, and should allow planets to be resolved from a dust cloud in the external system (Angel & Woolf 1996; Woolf & Angel 1997; Angel & Woolf 1997a).

In addition to the important issue of improving the planet/star contrast, an infrared space interferometer would provide access to the spectral region in which molecular species considered as indicators of life, in particular O_3 and H_2O , are present (see Section 5). The European Space Agency is considering the Darwin Infrared Space Interferometer as a high-priority but longer-term programme (Léger et al. 1993; Léger et al. 1996; Mariotti et al. 1997; Mennesson & Mariotti 1997; Léger et al. 1998; Fridlund 2000). It would employ nulling interferometry in the infrared to detect and obtain spectra of Earth-like planets around 100–200 stars out to distances of 15–20 pc. It would comprise 4–6 free-flying 1-m class telescopes, passively-cooled to 40 K, separated by up to 50 m, and operating between 6–17 μm to cover spectral lines including H_2O , CH_4 , O_3 and CO_2 (Figure 3). Observations in the infrared bring one specific complication, notably the background radiation from the Solar System zodiacal light, i.e. emission from Solar System dust (from comets and asteroids) which itself peaks around 10–20 μm — if the instrument were placed at 4–5 AU from the Sun the corresponding background contribution would be reduced by about 100 (Léger et al. 1998). NASA is considering a 75–1000 m baseline infrared interferometer TPF (Terrestrial Planet Finder, Beichman 1996; Beichman 1998) as part of its Origins Program (Thronson 1997). Space

Technology-3 is a NASA experiment to be flown in 2005 to test interferometry between free-flying satellites using two 12-cm mirrors up to 1 km apart.

Both Darwin and TPF are targeted for launch some time after 2010. The common programme goals may or may not result in a collaborative venture between ESA and NASA. Issues of Solar System zodiacal emission, and the effects of extra-solar zodiacal emission on detection probabilities (Angel 1998), mean that precursor space missions with less-ambitious goals have also been proposed (Hinz et al. 1999b); telescopes with high finesse active optics to reduce rms aberrations to a few nm could detect Jupiters around a few stars with 3-m apertures, and Earth-like planets around 100 stars with 30-m apertures (Malbet et al. 1995). Meanwhile the capabilities of the Hubble Space Telescope (Schroeder & Golimowski 1996; see also Section 3.5 and Figure 10), NASA's SIRTf (a 0.85 m cryogenically-cooled infrared observatory, to be launched in 2002, Cruikshank & Werner 1997), and the planned Next Generation Space Telescope (NGST, to be launched 2009, Mather et al. 1997) have been considered for their brown dwarf and planet imaging capabilities.

Technology precursors for these ambitious space interferometers include the IOTA interferometer, two 0.45-m telescopes with baselines up to 38 m, in operation since 1995 (Traub 1998); the Palomar Testbed Interferometer (Colavita 1997; Pan et al. 1998), a 110-m baseline operating at K-band (2–2.4 μm) with baselines stabilised to a few nm against large rapid changes imposed by atmospheric turbulence and Earth rotation; and the CHARA array on Mt. Wilson, six 1-m telescopes along three 200-m long arms, operating in the visible and K-band and expected to be operational in early 2001 (McAlister 1999). Future milestones will be interferometric operation of the two 85-m baseline 10-m Keck telescopes (combined with four auxiliary 2-m telescopes), and the interferometric combination over a 200-m baseline of ESO's four 8-m and (presently) three auxiliary 1.8-m telescopes.

NASA's Space Interferometry Mission, SIM, due for launch in 2005, is primarily designed for optical astrometry at microarcsec level with maximum baselines of about 10 m. Itself conceived partly as a technological precursor for TPF, it will be capable of imaging and nulling (Böker & Allen 1999) albeit at much lower angular resolution than planned for TPF. Systems with similar disk size and more than 0.1 of the dust content of β Pic (about 1000 times the dust content and surface brightness of our Solar System, see Section 3.5) will be detected out to a few kpc if nulling efficiencies of 10^{-4} are achieved; inner clear regions indicative of the presence of massive planets should be detected and imaged for such systems.

Many other ground-based instruments bear some relevance to planetary search programmes. After completion in 2003, the Large Binocular Telescope (two 8.4 m telescopes on a common mount with a 14.4-m baseline) will be able to assess the expected sensitivity of TPF to extra-solar zodiacal emission (Angel & Woolf 1997b; Hinz et al. 1999a). At 11 μm it will be sensitive to solar-level zodiacal emission at 0.8 AU from a star at 10 pc. At 4 μm a Jupiter-size planet, 1 Gyr old, could be detected as close in as 0.3 AU. Studies have been made of infrared/sub-mm observations from

the high, dry Antarctic plateau (Bally 1994), while further in the future, the Atacama Large Millimeter Array (ALMA) is a mm and sub-mm wave interferometer consisting of 64×12 -m antennas to be built in the Atacama desert in Northern Chile.

In summary, imaging of Earth-mass extra-solar planets from large ground-based telescopes equipped with adaptive optics and operating in interferometric combination, and observations in the infrared using space interferometers, are receiving considerable attention. While the commitment is impressive, dedicated space missions are probably 10–15 years or more away.

At the start of this section it was noted that extra-solar planetary imaging generally refers to the detection of a reflection point-source image of the planet, rather than to resolution of the extra-solar planet surface. Ground- or space-based (or lunar) interferometric arrays of 10–100 km baseline could start to tackle resolved planetary imaging (Labeyrie 1996). Bender & Stebbins (1996) undertook a partial design of a separated spacecraft interferometer which could achieve visible light images with 10×10 resolution elements across an Earth-like planet at 10 pc. This called for 15–25 telescopes of 10-m aperture, spread over 200 km baselines, with the dominant problem being that of suppressing starlight to the necessary levels. Reaching 100×100 resolution elements would require 150–200 spacecraft distributed over 2000 km baselines, and an observation time of 10 years per planet. Maintaining the necessary telescope separation geometry, using laser metrology and 1 mN field-emission electric propulsion thrusters (FEEPs), seems more tractable, and indeed comparable requirements are necessary for ESA’s proposed gravitational wave detection interferometer, LISA. Bender & Stebbins (1996) noted that the resources they identified would dwarf those of the Apollo Program or the Space Station, concluding that it was ‘*difficult to see how such a program could be justified*’. In the approach of Labeyrie (1999) a 30-min exposure using a hyper-telescope comprising 150 3-m diameter mirrors in space with separations up to 150 km, would be sufficient to detect green spots similar to the Earth’s Amazon basin on a planet at a distance of 10 light-years.

3.2. Dynamical perturbation of the star

The motion of a single planet in a circular orbit around a star causes the star to undergo a reflex circular motion about the star-planet barycentre, with orbital radius $a_* = a \cdot (M_p/M_*)$ and period P . This results in the periodic perturbation of three observables, all of which have been detected (albeit in different systems): in radial velocity, in angular (or astrometric) position, and in time of arrival of some periodic reference signal.

3.2.1. Radial velocity The velocity amplitude K of a star of mass M_* due to a companion with mass $M_p \sin i$ with orbital period P and eccentricity e is (e.g. Cumming




Figure 4. Examples of radial velocity measurements: HD 210277 (left) and HD 168443 (right), from Marcy et al. (1999), obtained with the HIRES spectrometer on the Keck telescope. The solid lines show the best-fit Keplerian models. The non-sinusoidal variations result from the eccentric orbits, and the derived $M \sin i$ values are 1.28 and 4.01 M_J respectively. The fit for HD 168443 is improved further by a linear velocity trend, suggestive of an additional nearby, long-period stellar or brown dwarf companion (courtesy of Geoffrey Marcy).

et al. 1999):

$$K = \left(\frac{2\pi G}{P} \right)^{1/3} \frac{M_p \sin i}{(M_p + M_*)^{2/3}} \frac{1}{(1 - e^2)^{1/2}} \quad (2)$$

In a circular orbit the velocity variations are sinusoidal, and for $M_p \ll M_*$ the amplitude reduces to:

$$K = 28.4 \left(\frac{P}{1 \text{ year}} \right)^{-1/3} \left(\frac{M_p \sin i}{M_J} \right) \left(\frac{M_*}{M_\odot} \right)^{-2/3} \text{ m s}^{-1} \quad (3)$$

where P and a are related by Kepler's Third Law:

$$P = \left(\frac{a}{1 \text{ AU}} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{M_*}{M_\odot} \right)^{-1/2} \text{ year} \quad (4)$$

The effect is about $K = 12.5 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ with a period of 11.9 yr in the case of Jupiter orbiting the Sun, and about 0.1 m s^{-1} for the Earth. The $\sin i$ dependence means that orbital systems seen face on ($i = 0$) result in no measurable radial velocity perturbation and that, conversely, radial velocity measurements can determine only $M_p \sin i$ rather than M_p , and hence provide only a lower limit to the planet mass since the orbital inclination is generally unknown. Although the radial velocity amplitude is independent of the distance to the star, signal-to-noise considerations limit observations to the brighter stars (typically $V < 8$ mag). Equation 2 indicates that radial velocity measurements favour the detection of systems with massive planets, and with small a (and hence small P).

All of the known extra-solar planets around normal main-sequence stars have been discovered, starting with the first in 1995, using radial velocity techniques. A

compilation of these systems, through to the end of March 2000, is given in Table 1, which also includes some derived parameters discussed in Section 4. In order to measure these systems, accuracies of around 15 m s^{-1} or better have been needed. This is extremely challenging: stars are only faint sources of light, so that large telescopes and long integration times are required for high signal-to-noise and sub-pixel accuracies. In addition, gravitational flexure affects the telescope which must follow the star's apparent motion as a result of Earth rotation; and very accurate instrumental and wavelength calibration is demanded. Typically, spectrographs with resolution of around $\lambda/\Delta\lambda \sim 60\,000$ are operated in the optical region (450–700 nm), using gas absorption cells (HF or I) to provide numerous accurate wavelength reference features superimposed on the stellar spectral lines (Griffin & Griffin 1973; Campbell & Walker 1979; Marcy & Butler 1992). An instantaneous measurement of the stellar velocity is given by the small, systematic change in wavelength of the many absorption lines that make up the star's spectrum. A precise ephemeris accounts for all known motions of the Earth, including gravitational perturbations by other planets in the Solar System. Current state-of-the-art measurements reach around 3 m s^{-1} (Butler et al. 1996), corresponding to an accuracy of about 1 part in 10^8 in wavelength, with developments to about $1\text{--}2 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ planned (Kürster et al. 1999). A projected precision of 1 m s^{-1} using absolute accelerometry is under development (Connes 1994; Bouchy et al. 1999). Intrinsic accuracy limits of around $\pm 1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ may arise from the effects of star spots and convective inhomogeneities on the stellar surface, even in older less-active stars (Saar & Donahue 1997; Saar et al. 1998; Butler & Marcy 1998).

Early radial velocity surveys on a few (10–30) stars aimed to characterise the sub-stellar/brown dwarf mass function by searching for binary companions of main-sequence stars with masses below $1 M_{\odot}$ (Campbell et al. 1988; Marcy & Moore 1989; Marcy & Benitz 1989; McMillan et al. 1990; Duquennoy & Mayor 1991; Tokovinin 1992). As accuracies improved towards expected planetary signals, existing groups intensified their efforts, and others started new observing programmes, leading to the monitoring of many more stars over a number of years, at accuracies of typically 15 m s^{-1} or better. An incomplete list of these programmes, which now typically make use of several tens of nights on each of many telescopes throughout the world, includes: University of British Columbia (from 1983: Walker et al. 1995); Arizona (from 1987, using Fabry-Perot techniques: McMillan et al. 1994); McDonald Observatory Planetary Search, Texas (MPOS, from 1988: Cochran & Hatzes 1994; Hatzes & Cochran 1998); Lick Observatory (from 1992: Marcy & Butler 1992; Butler & Marcy 1997; Cumming et al. 1999); Advanced Fibre-Optic Echelle (AFOE) at the Whipple Telescope in Arizona (Brown et al. 1994; Noyes et al. 1997a); ESO Planet Search at the European Southern Observatory (Hatzes et al. 1996; Kürster et al. 1999); and the Elodie spectrometer at Observatoire de Haute Provence (Mayor et al. 1997; Queloz et al. 1998). Other major programmes started on the Keck I 10-m telescope with the HIRES spectrometer in 1996 (monitoring 500 main sequence stars from F7-M5, with a precision of 3 m s^{-1} and recently yielding 6 new candidates, Vogt et al. 2000); on the Anglo-Australian Telescope

in 1997; on the Swiss 1.2-m Euler telescope at La Silla with the Coralie spectrometer in 1998 (Queloz et al. 2000); and on the 10-m Texas Hobby-Eberly Telescope. These programmes are now each monitoring typically 100–300 stars in a systematic manner, with Coralie surveying about 1600. Most late-type main sequence stars brighter than $V \sim 7.5$ mag are currently being surveyed. Reviews of the progress of these radial velocity searches have been given by Latham (1997); Butler & Marcy (1998); Latham et al. (1998); Marcy & Butler (1998b); Nelson & Angel (1998); and Marcy & Butler (2000).

Latham et al. (1989) first reported a low-mass companion to a star, HD 114762, inferring a mass of about $10 M_J$, which was further constrained by observations by Cochran et al. (1991), and is consistent with a massive planet or low-mass brown dwarf. However, by 1995, none of the ongoing programmes had measured any systems with smaller masses expected to be representative of a postulated planetary mass population, and there seemed to be a deficiency of sub-solar mass stars which could represent the tail of the stellar mass function.

The first report of a planetary candidate of significantly lower mass, surrounding the star 51 Peg, was announced by Mayor & Queloz (1995). This discovery was rapidly confirmed by the Lick Observatory group, who were also quickly able to report two new planets that they had been monitoring: 70 Vir (Marcy & Butler 1996) and 47 UMa (Butler & Marcy 1996).

With $P = 4.2$ days and $a = 0.05$ AU, 51 Peg provoked early controversy, partly in view of its unexpectedly short orbital period and hence close proximity to the parent star), but also since an alternative explanation – that the radial velocity shifts arose from non-radial oscillations – was put forward to explain possible distortions in the absorption line profile bisector (the locus of midpoints from the core up to the continuum). Studies that followed (Gray 1997; Hatzes et al. 1997; Marcy et al. 1997; Gray & Hatzes 1997; Willems et al. 1997; Brown et al. 1998a; Brown et al. 1998b; Gray 1998; Hatzes et al. 1998a; Hatzes et al. 1998b) finally resulted in a consensus that the planet hypothesis was the most reasonable possibility. Other planets have typically not proven to be controversial, and work since the discovery papers is devoted to studies of their properties or those of their parent star.

Additional detections by a number of different groups have followed rapidly. The present list of stars with inferred planetary mass companions numbers 34 (see Table 1), with further detections expected to follow steadily as new surveys, more objects, higher measurement accuracies, and longer temporal baselines (permitting the discovery of longer period systems) take effect. The observed and derived properties of these systems are proving fertile ground for theories of planetary formation (Section 4).

The dearth of brown dwarf candidates has continued, with the precision Doppler surveys, along with lower precision surveys of several thousand stars, having revealed only 11 orbiting brown dwarf candidates in the mass range $M \sin i = 8 - 80 M_J$, despite the fact that there is no bias against brown dwarf companions, and the fact that they are much easier to detect than companions of Jupiter mass. Most of these objects

in fact appear to be hydrogen-burning stars with low orbital inclination (Mayor et al. 1997; Halbwachs et al. 2000; Udry et al. 2000); this paucity of brown dwarf companions presently renders the planets distinguishable by their high occurrence at low masses.

3.2.2. Astrometric position The path of a star orbiting the star-planet barycentre appears projected on the plane of the sky as an ellipse with angular semi-major axis α given by:

$$\alpha = \frac{M_p}{M_*} \cdot \frac{a}{d} \quad (5)$$

where α is in arcsec when a is in AU and d is in pc (and M_p and M_* are in common units). This ‘astrometric signature’ is therefore proportional to both the planet mass and the orbital radius, and inversely proportional to the distance to the star. Astrometric techniques aim to measure this transverse component of the photocentric displacement. Jupiter orbiting the Sun viewed from a distance of 10 pc would result in an astrometric amplitude of 500 microarcsec (μas), while the effect of the Earth at 10 pc is a one-year period with 0.3 μas amplitude (the motion of the Sun over, say 50 years, is complex due to the combined gravitational effect of all the planets). The astrometric accuracy required to detect planets through this reflex motion is therefore typically in the sub-milliarcsec range, although it would reach a few milliarcsec for $M_p = 1 M_J$ for very nearby solar-mass stars.

The astrometric technique is particularly sensitive to relatively long orbital periods ($P > 1$ yr), and hence complements radial velocity measurements. The method is also applicable to hot or rapidly rotating stars for which radial velocity techniques are limited. One important feature is that if a is known from spectroscopic measurements, d from the star’s parallax motion, and if M_* can be estimated from its spectral type or from evolutionary models, then the astrometric displacement yields M_p directly rather than $M_p \sin i$ given by radial velocity measurements; a single measurement of the angular separation at one epoch from ground-based interferometric astrometry would also provide orbital constraints on $\sin i$ (Torres 1999). For multi-planet systems astrometric measurements can determine their relative orbital inclinations (i.e., whether the planets are co-planar), an important ingredient for formation theories and dynamical stability analyses. Possible mimicking of astrometric planetary perturbations by a nearby binary star has been considered by Schneider (2000b).

Astrometric detection demands very accurate positional measurements within a well-defined reference system at a number of epochs, and is very challenging for optical measurements from the ground, again because of the atmospheric phase fluctuations. Across the electromagnetic spectrum accessible to astronomical observations, milliarcsec positional measurements or better are presently only achieved at radio and optical wavelengths, and these are considered in turn.

In the radio, milliarcsec positional accuracy is now reached through high-precision very long baseline interferometry (VLBI), using baselines of order 3000 km, with phase referencing techniques providing sufficient sensitivity to detect a number of nearby




Figure 5. Left: the modeled path on the sky of a star at a distance of 50 pc, with a proper motion of 50 mas yr^{-1} , and orbited by a planet of $M_p = 15 M_J$, $e = 0.2$, and $a = 0.6 \text{ AU}$. The straight dashed line shows the path of the system's barycentric motion viewed from the Solar System barycentre. The dotted line shows the effect of parallax (the Earth's orbital motion around the Sun, with a period of 1 year). The solid line shows the apparent motion of the star as a result of the planet, the additional perturbation being magnified by $\times 30$ for visibility. Labels indicate times in years (relevant for the planned GAIA mission launch date of 2009). Right: astrometric signature, α (equation 5), induced on the parent star for the known planetary systems listed in Table 1 as a function of orbital period (adapted from Lattanzi et al. 2000). Circles are shown with a radius proportional to $M_p \sin i$. Astrometry at the milliarcsec level has negligible power in detecting these systems, while the situation changes dramatically for microarcsec measurements. The positions of the innermost short-period planet (b) and outermost longest period planet (d) in the ν And triple system are indicated: short-period systems to which radial velocity measurements are sensitive are difficult to detect astrometrically, while the longest period systems will be straightforward for microarcsec positional measurements. Effects of Earth, Jupiter, and Saturn are shown at the distances indicated.

radio emitting stars. The highest accuracies have been reported for the close binary system $\sigma^2 \text{ CrB}$ (Lestrade et al. 1996; Lestrade et al. 1999). In these systems, named after their prototype RS CVn, quiescent and flaring gyro-synchrotron radio emission is generated from MeV electrons in magnetic structures related to the intra-stellar region and stellar photosphere respectively. Observations of $\sigma^2 \text{ CrB}$ since 1987 yielded post-fit rms residuals of 0.20 milliarcsec (Lestrade et al. 1996) which would correspond, at a distance $d = 21 \text{ pc}$, to the displacement which would be expected for a Jupiter-like planet around the binary system. Only about five nearby radio stars are suitable for monitoring as part of a planetary search programme: Jupiter mass companions would produce perturbations above 1 milliarcsec, while an Earth-like planet around the nearest radio-emitting star UV Ceti would result in a displacement of about 8 microarcsec. No candidate planets have so far been reported using this technique.

Discussions of ground-based optical observations related to planet detection are given by Black & Scargle (1982) and Gatewood (1987). Unconfirmed reports of small, long-period astrometric displacements consistent with planetary bodies have been made for Barnard's Star, based on observations over many years, yielding two proposed planetary mass bodies (0.7 and $0.5 M_J$) with periods of 12 and 20 years respectively (van de Kamp 1982), and for Lalande 21185 with a mass of $0.9 M_J$ and $P = 5.8$ years (Gatewood 1996).

Measurement of sub-milliarcsec displacements has been impossible to date because of atmospheric effects. Accuracies for narrow-angle ground-based measurements are improving rapidly, with prospects for measurements at the tens of microarcsec or better, building on the success of the Mk III optical interferometer (Hummell et al. 1994), e.g. from the Palomar Testbed Interferometer (Colavita & Shao 1994), from the Keck Interferometer (Colavita et al. 1998), and from ESO's VLTI (Mariotti et al. 1998). These instruments should ultimately provide very high relative astrometric accuracy over small fields (for example, on bright double or multiple systems) at the 10 microarcsec level (Shao & Colavita 1992; Shao 1995). Within ESO's VLTI programme, PRIMA (Phase-Referenced Imaging and Microarcsecond Astrometry) aims to use the four 8.2-m telescopes to achieve 10–50 microarcsec astrometry for various narrow-field applications.

Astrometric measurements can be made more accurately from above the Earth's atmosphere. Only a single astrometric space mission has been carried out to date, Hipparcos (ESA 1997; Perryman et al. 1997), which provided ~ 1 milliarcsec accuracy for about 120 000 stars. Specific methods have been applied to the individual Hipparcos measurements for the detection of brown dwarfs (Bernstein & Bastian 1995; Bernstein 1997). For known planetary systems, the Hipparcos data have provided some constraints on planetary masses: Perryman et al. (1996) derived weak upper limits on M_p in a few cases; Mazeh et al. (1999) derived a mass for the outer companion of ν And of $10.1^{+4.7}_{-4.6} M_J$, compared with $M_p \sin i = 4.1 M_J$; and Zucker & Mazeh (2000) have concluded that the companion of HD 10697 is probably a brown dwarf.

Although some inferences about the properties of systems discovered by radial velocity measurements have been possible from the Hipparcos results, it is evident from equation 5 (and Figure 5) that milliarcsec astrometry can contribute only marginally to extra-solar planet detection. In contrast, large-scale acquisition of microarcsec astrometric measurements in the future promises at least three important developments. First, measurements significantly below 0.1 milliarcsec offer planet detection possibilities well below the Jupiter mass limit, out to 50–200 pc. Second, in combination with spectroscopic measurements they provide direct determination of the planet mass (in terms of the star mass), independent of the orbital inclination. Third, the relative orbital inclination of multi-planet systems can be determined.

Future space astrometry experiments include those targeting measurements accuracies of below a milli-arcsecond, specifically DIVA, a German national project at the proposal stage (Röser et al. 1997) and FAME, an approved NASA project (Germain et al. 1997). At even higher accuracies are the microarcsec-class SIM mission, a NASA

approved project (Boden et al. 1997; Danner & Unwin 1999) and GAIA, an ESA project at the proposal stage (Mignard 1999; Gilmore et al. 2000). SIM is a pointed mission which will be especially powerful for detailed orbit determinations for planetary systems detected from ground-based experiments. GAIA will survey approximately a billion stars to $V \sim 20$ mag as part of a census of the Galactic stellar population. On the assumption that 4–5 per cent of solar-type stars have Jupiter-mass companions (Marcy et al. 2000c) it will detect (and provide orbital parameters in many cases) for upwards of 10 000 planetary systems of mass $\sim 1 M_J$ and periods around 1–10 years (Lattanzi et al. 2000), for stars as faint as about 15 mag. With proposed launch dates between 2004 (FAME) to 2009 (GAIA) astrometric space missions will contribute to the detection and orbital determination of large numbers of planetary systems, providing target lists for further observations by other techniques (Figure 5).

While sub-microarcsec astrometry may be feasible in the more distant future, the ultimate limit to the astrometric detection of Earth-like planets from space may be the non-uniformity of illumination over the disk of a star. The Earth causes the Sun’s centre of mass to move with a semi-amplitude of about 500 km (0.03 per cent of the stellar diameter), while sunspots with up to 1 per cent of the Sun’s area will cause the apparent centre of light of the Sun to move by up to 0.5 per cent (Woolf & Angel 1998).

3.2.3. Timing and the pulsar planets Although all orbital systems are affected by changes in light travel time across the orbit, in general there is no timing reference on which to base such measurements. A notable exception are radio pulsars, rapidly spinning highly-magnetised neutron stars, formed during the core collapse of massive stars ($8\text{--}20 M_\odot$) in a supernova explosion. Pulsars emit narrow beams of radio emission parallel to their magnetic dipole axis, seen as intense pulses at the object’s spin frequency due to a misalignment of the magnetic and spin axes. There are two broad classes: ‘normal’ pulsars, with spin periods around 1 s, and of which several hundred are known; and the millisecond pulsars, ‘recycled’ old ($\sim 10^9$ yr) neutron stars that have been spun-up to very short spin periods during mass and angular momentum transfer from a binary companion, with most of the 30 known objects still having (non-accreting) binary companions, either white dwarfs or neutron stars. The latter are extremely accurate frequency standards, with periods changing only through a tiny spin-down at a rate $\sim 10^{-19} \text{ s s}^{-1}$ presumed due to their low magnetic field strength (Bailes 1996).

For a circular, edge-on orbit, and a canonical pulsar mass of $1.35 M_\odot$, the amplitude of timing residuals due to planetary motion is (Wolszczan 1997):

$$\tau_p = 1.2 \left(\frac{M_p}{M_\oplus} \right) \left(\frac{P}{1 \text{ yr}} \right)^{2/3} \text{ ms} \quad (6)$$

The extremely high accuracy of pulsar timing allows the detection of lower mass bodies orbiting the pulsar to be inferred from changes in pulse arrival times due to orbital motion. Jovian or terrestrial planets are expected to be detectable around ‘normal’ slow pulsars, while substantially lower masses, down to that of our Moon and largest asteroids, could be recognised in millisecond pulsar timing residuals.

The first planetary system discovered around an object other than our Sun was found around the 6.2-ms pulsar PSR 1257+12 ($d \sim 500$ pc), with at least two plausible terrestrial-mass companions (Wolszczan & Frail 1992) having masses of 2.8 and $3.4 M_{\oplus}$, and almost circular orbits with $a = 0.47$ and 0.36 AU, and $P = 98.22$ and 66.54 days respectively, close to a 3:2 orbital resonance. Although a number of alternative ways of producing the observed timing residuals were examined (Phillips & Thorsett 1994), the planet hypothesis was rigorously verifiable: the semi-major axes of the orbits are sufficiently similar that the two planets would perturb one another significantly during individual close encounters, with resulting three-body effects leading to departures from a simple non-interacting Keplerian model growing rapidly with time (Malhotra et al. 1992; Rasio et al. 1992; Malhotra 1993; Peale 1994).

Continued monitoring of PSR 1257+12 provided evidence for a third companion (Wolszczan 1994b; Wolszczan 1994a) with $P = 25.34$ days, and possibly a fourth, with $P \sim 170$ yr (Wolszczan 1997), as well as confirmation of the predicted mutual gravitational perturbations (Wolszczan 1994a; Konacki et al. 1999b). The third planet may be an artifact of temporal changes of heliospheric electron density at the solar rotation rate at the relevant heliospheric latitude (Scherer et al. 1997), although this unconfirmed explanation would not invalidate the existence of the other two planets; further observations will establish if the 25.3 day periodicity is frequency independent, and thus unrelated to solar interference. Dynamical simulations indicate that the system would be stable over some hundreds of thousands of years (Gladman 1993).

Evidence for a long-period planet around the slow pulsar PSR B0329+54 (spin period 0.71 s) was reported by Demiański & Prószyński (1979), based on large second time derivatives of the spin frequency. Although alternative explanations were also given, the planetary interpretation was supported by Shabanova (1995), who gave $P = 16.9$ yr, $M_p > 2 M_{\oplus}$, $e = 0.23$ and $a = 7.3$ AU. Both groups reported an additional 3 yr periodicity in pulse arrival times. The planetary hypothesis has recently been questioned by Konacki et al. (1999a) based on further observations, with variations in the timing residuals for this relatively young neutron star attributed to spin irregularities or precession of the pulsar spin axis.

Arzoumanian et al. (1996) used similar timing techniques to detect a $10\text{--}30 M_J$ object orbiting the binary pulsar PSR B1620–26 in the globular cluster M4. The pulsar is tightly orbited by a white dwarf, and the third object is the lightest and most distant member of a hierarchical triple system, with $a \sim 35\text{--}60$ AU and $P \sim 100$ yr. A possible formation scenario for this triple system involves a dynamical exchange interaction between the binary pulsar and a primordial star-planet system, in which the planet was captured by the binary (Ford et al. 2000). Whether the outer body is a planet or a brown dwarf remains to be established, but further examples and detailed evaluation of capture probabilities may indicate whether significant numbers of extra-solar planetary systems also exist in old star clusters (Ford et al. 2000; Thorsett et al. 1999).

Unconfirmed evidence for a companion ($a = 3.3$ AU and $M_p \sin i = 1.7 M_{\odot}$) to the radio quiet pulsar Geminga was reported from γ ray observations (Mattox et al. 1998),

although this may have been an artifact of the spin period. A possible companion to PSR 1829–10 (Bailes et al. 1991) was subsequently retracted (Lyne & Bailes 1992). No other planetary systems around millisecond pulsars have been discovered, suggesting that planetary systems around old neutron stars are probably rare.

Whilst considered as somewhat distinct from the planetary systems surrounding main sequence stars, the pulsar planets provide constraints on plausible formation processes. Models for planet production around pulsars divide into two broad classes (Phinney & Hansen 1993; Podsiadlowski 1993; Banit et al. 1993; Phillips & Thorsett 1994). In the first, the planet formed around either a normal star (possibly the pulsar progenitor, its continued existence implying that it had survived the supernova explosion), or around another star and was subsequently captured by the pulsar. Alternatively, the planet formed after the neutron star was created, a process requiring the capture of material, perhaps from a pre-existing stellar companion, into an accretion disk around the pulsar. Subsequent fragmentation into planets would then follow the more standard model of planet formation (Section 2.2). Difficulties in modeling multiple planets which survive the supernova explosion make the accretion disk model more favoured, especially for the PSR 1257+12 system, with residual disk material governing the transport of angular momentum and possibly providing the means to circularise the orbits and bring them close to the observed 3:2 resonance (Ruden 1993).

White dwarfs, the end point of stellar evolution for most stars, undergo a less violent birth than pulsars, and planets would likely be surviving members of original systems, more closely related to our own Solar System, although they may also be created around white dwarfs as part of the pulsar formation process (Livio et al. 1992). Timing detection methods similar to those used for radio pulsars can be applied but using a very different timing signature (Provencal 1997). As the white dwarf cools through certain temperature ranges C/O (at 10^5 K), He (at 2.5×10^4 K) and H (at 10^4 K) in its photosphere progressively become partially ionized, driving multi-periodic non-radial g -mode pulsations in the period range 100–1000 s, with some objects amongst the most stable pulsators known (Kepler et al. 1991). Data going back 20 years, originally acquired to probe white dwarf interiors, have been used to place upper limits on planetary companion masses for two objects: G117–B15A (Kepler et al. 1991), where the rate of change of period for the 215 s pulsation is $\dot{P} = 12.0 \pm 3.5 \times 10^{-15} \text{ s s}^{-1}$, and for G29–38 (Kleinman et al. 1994), with present searches being sensitive to planetary companions down to $0.5 M_J$ if seen edge on, with $P \sim 0.1 - 30 \text{ yr}$ (Provencal 1997). Formation conditions and detection possibilities for surviving planets around stars in the planetary nebula phase have been discussed by Soker (1999).

Figure 6 illustrates the parameter regions probed by radial velocity, astrometric, and transit measurements at current and projected accuracy levels.




Figure 6. Detection domains for methods exploiting planet orbital motion, as a function of planet mass and orbital radius, assuming $M_* = M_\odot$. Lines from top left to bottom right show the locus of astrometric signatures of 1 mas and 10 μ arcsec at distances of 10 and 100 pc (equation 5; a measurement accuracy 3–4 times better would be needed to detect a given value of α). Very short and very long period planets cannot be detected by planned astrometric space missions: vertical lines show limits corresponding to orbital periods of 0.2 and 12 years. Lines from top right to bottom left show radial velocities corresponding to $K = 10$ and $K = 1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ (equation 3 and 4; a measurement accuracy 3–4 times better would be needed to detect a given value of K). Horizontal lines indicate photometric detection thresholds for planetary transits, of 1% and 0.01%, corresponding roughly to Jupiter and Earth radius planets respectively (neglecting the effects of orbital inclination, which will diminish the probability of observing a transit as a increases). The positions of Earth (E), Jupiter (J), Saturn (S) and Uranus (U) are shown, as are the lower limits on the masses of known planetary systems (triangles) from Table 1.

3.3. Periodic photometry: transits and reflections

Detection of extra-solar planets by measuring the photometric signature of the eclipse of the star by a planet was first considered by Struve (1952). The method is conceptually simple: given a suitable alignment geometry, star light is attenuated by the transit of the orbiting planet across its disk, with the effect repeating at the orbital period of the planet. For a Sun/Jupiter system at 10 pc, the resulting luminosity change is of order 2%, or 0.02 mag. In the early 1970s this was considered as observationally more feasible than the prospects of detecting an astrometric shift of 0.0005 arcsec, or a radial velocity perturbation of around 10 m s^{-1} . However, the probability of observing an eclipse, seen from a random direction and at a random time, is extremely small.

The idea was developed by Rosenblatt (1971), who proposed detecting the eclipse colour signature, which could be measured as a change in ratio due to limb darkening rather than in absolute intensity, and who considered in detail the effects of stellar

noise sources (intrinsic stellar variations, flares, coronal effects, sunspots, etc.) and Earth atmospheric effects (air mass, absorption bands, seeing, and scintillation). The method is presently considered as one of the most promising means of detecting planets with masses significantly below that of Jupiter, with the detection of Earth-class (and hence habitable) planets within its capabilities. Extrapolation of the method down to masses of planetary satellite may even be feasible. Further refinements have therefore continued (Borucki & Summers 1984; Borucki et al. 1985; Schneider & Chevreton 1990; Hale & Doyle 1994; Schneider 1994; Heacox 1996; Janes 1996; Schneider 1996; Deeg 1998; Sartoretti & Schneider 1999), and recent reviews are given by Sackett (1999) and Schneider (2000a).

Detection probabilities depend on the transit geometry and on the luminosity drop produced by an object on the line of sight to the star, which approximates to:

$$\frac{\Delta L}{L_*} \simeq \left(\frac{R_p}{R_*} \right)^2 \quad (7)$$

under the assumption of a uniform surface brightness of the star. Strictly the effect includes a dependence on the local surface brightness of the stellar disk, which varies with radius due to ‘limb darkening’: moving radially outwards towards the stellar limb the line of sight passes through increasing atmospheric depth (cf. equation 1 of Sartoretti & Schneider 1999; see also Sackett 1999). For a central transit across a star with a limb-darkening coefficient of 0.6, the maximum brightness drop is 25% larger than given by equation 7. Since limb-darkening is wavelength dependent, a planetary event will also cause a small colour change (Rosenblatt 1971).

Values of $\Delta L/L_*$ for the Earth, Mars, and Jupiter transiting the Sun are 8.4×10^{-5} , 3×10^{-5} , and 1.1×10^{-2} respectively. If the radius of the star can be estimated from, say, spectral classification, then R_p can be estimated from equation 7. With knowledge of P and an estimate of M_* (also from spectral classification or via evolutionary models), a can be derived from Kepler’s law. Other observational parameters are given, to first order, by simple geometry (Deeg 1998). Thus the duration of the transit is:

$$\tau = \frac{P}{\pi} \left(\frac{R_* \cos \delta + R_p}{a} \right) \simeq 13 \left(\frac{M_*}{M_\odot} \right)^{-1/2} \left(\frac{1}{1 \text{ AU}} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{R_*}{R_\odot} \right) \text{ hours} \quad (8)$$

where δ is the latitude of the transit on the stellar disk, giving a transit period of about 25 hr for a Jupiter-type planet and 13 hr for an Earth-type system, results rather insensitive to a (Schneider et al. 1998). With the other parameters estimated as above, δ can be derived from equation 8, and hence the orbital inclination from $\cos i = (R_* \sin \delta)/a$. The minimum inclination where transits can occur is given by $i_{\min} = \cos^{-1}(R_*/a)$, with the probability of observing transits for a randomly oriented system of $p = R_*/a = \cos i_{\min}$. Evaluation of i and p for realistic cases demonstrates that i must be very close to 90° , while p is very small.

The principal disadvantage of the method is that it requires configurations in which the viewing direction (to the Earth) lies in the orbital plane of the planet. This time-independent geometrical alignment therefore occurs with only very low probability, such

that surveys without *a priori* knowledge of system geometry or orbital period and orbital phase are characterised by very low detection probabilities. Prospects can be improved by pre-selecting stars whose planetary companions are likely to have their orbital planes perpendicular to the plane of the sky, for example stars whose rotation axis can be inferred to lie in this plane (Doyle 1988). Another possibility is to focus attention on eclipsing binary systems whose geometry implies $i \sim 90^\circ$, although this in turn relies on the further assumption that the planetary and binary orbital planes are co-aligned (Doyle 1988; Schneider & Chevreton 1990; Hale 1994).

Configurations in which the planet orbits one component of a widely-separated binary, or is in orbit around a close binary, are possible, with both resulting in large domains of dynamical stability (Harrington 1977; Heppenheimer 1978a; Benest 1998). Schneider & Chevreton (1990) demonstrated that when applied to eclipsing binaries the method leads to the possibility of detecting planets with radii around 10 000 km ($\sim 1.5 R_\oplus$) with a rather large probability and a fairly good precision in the orbital period. Thus, observations of the smallest known eclipsing binary, CM Dra with a period of 1.28 days, should allow the detection of terrestrial-sized inner planets ($1.5\text{--}2.78 R_\oplus$), if they exist around this system, using ground-based 1-m class telescopes over several months. This programme has been pursued by the TEP (Transits of Extra-Solar Planets) network since 1994, using six telescopes located around the world (Doyle et al. 1996; Deeg 1998). Candidate (but unconfirmed) transit events, and current confidence limits versus planetary radius and period, are given for this specific system by Deeg et al. (1998). Extension to other eclipsing binaries and densely populated fields in Baade's Window and NGC 6752 is described by Doyle et al. (1999) and Rottler et al. (1999). Timing of the binary eclipse minima provides a planet detection sensitivity down to about $1 M_J$ (Deeg et al. 2000).

Ground-based photometry to better than about 0.1% accuracy is complicated by variable atmospheric extinction, while scintillation, the rapidly varying turbulent refocusing of rays passing through the atmosphere, imposes limits at about 0.01%. Extension of the transit method to space experiments, where very long uninterrupted observations can be made above the Earth's atmosphere, therefore holds particular promise. STARS, a space telescope of 0.5 m^2 collecting area and 1.5° field of view, was studied but not accepted by the European Space Agency (Schneider 1996). A revised concept, Eddington, was selected for study by ESA in early 2000 (Roxburgh et al. 2000). It has an enlarged telescope of 1 m^2 collecting area and 6 deg^2 field of view, and a CCD focal plane detector array. The first 2–3 years is to be devoted to stellar seismology, aiming to detect solar-type acoustic oscillations in stars in the nearest open clusters. A further 2–3 years would be dedicated mainly to planetary transit detection in up to 700 000 stars in about 20 fields observed for one month each. Reaching a photometric precision of about 10^{-6} , it is expected to yield three or more transits of Earth-mass extra-solar planets in each of about 50 main-sequence stars.

A similar US space mission, Kepler (Borucki et al. 1997), which evolved from an earlier proposal FRESIP (Koch et al. 1996), was unsuccessful in its bid for selection

as part of NASA's Discovery Program. With a 1-m aperture and 12° field of view, Kepler would have continuously monitored some 80 000 main-sequence stars brighter than 14 mag in a specific sky area, at a photometric precision of 10^{-5} . It was specifically designed to detect and characterise Earth-class planets in and near the habitable zones of a wide variety of stellar types. Expected results included 480 Earth-class planet detections; 160 inner-orbit giant planets and 24 outer-orbit planets; and 1400 planet detections with periods below 1 week from the phase modulation of the reflected light.

COROT (Deleuil et al. 1997; Schneider et al. 1998) is a small mission led by the French space agency CNES, scheduled for launch in 2004. It has a 27 cm aperture, and is also primarily designed for the study of stellar oscillations. In its planetary detection mode, about 50 000 stars will be monitored, with photometric precision between 7×10^{-4} and 5×10^{-3} for $V = 11 - 15.5$ mag and 1 hour integration times. These values imply a low probability of discovering transits of Earth-class planets in the habitable zone, but give an increased probability for planets which are slightly larger, or closer to their central star, such that several detection of planets with $2 R_\oplus$ are expected. MONS and MOST are related projects of the Danish Small Satellite Program and Canadian Space Agency respectively, primarily devoted to studies of stellar oscillations but with similar applicability to parallel planetary transit studies.

The sensitivity of the transit method is such that the detection of comets appears feasible with photometric accuracies of around 10^{-4} (Lecavelier des Etangs et al. 1999). Simulations based on a geometrical model of the dust distribution, and optical properties of the cometary grains, suggest largely achromatic transits, with a 'rounded triangular' shape as typical temporal signature. Photometric variations for β Pictoris have been attributed to either a planetary passage or a dust cloud, the latter explanation probably requiring a cometary tail (Lecavelier des Etangs et al. 1997; Lecavelier des Etangs et al. 1999). The importance of these studies is evident in the context of comet models for our own Solar System (Parriott & Alcock 1998).

Even satellites of extra-solar planets, and planetary rings appear detectable by this method (Schneider et al. 1998). Sartoretti & Schneider (1999) have derived detection probabilities, and give illustrative examples of transit light curves, evaluating the combination of geometric conditions (orbital inclination angle such that it will transit the star) and orbital conditions (determined by the location of the planet), with and without an accompanying transit of the parent planet. The planet-satellite transits also constrain the period and orbital radius of the satellite. Even if the satellite is not extended enough to produce a detectable signal in the stellar light curve, it may still be detected indirectly through the rotation of the planet around the barycentre of the planet-satellite system, although this necessarily requires that a planetary transit be observed at least 3 times. Sartoretti & Schneider (1999) showed that COROT could detect or exclude satellites much smaller than those photometrically detectable, for example with radius $\sim 0.3 R_\oplus$ around a Jupiter-like planet. The prospects for transit spectroscopy and imaging are discussed by Schneider (2000a).

An important recent result has been the detection of the first extra-solar planetary




Figure 7. The first detected transit of an extra-solar planet, HD 209458 (from Charbonneau et al. 2000). The figure shows the measured relative intensity versus time. Measurement noise increases to the right due to increasing atmospheric air mass. From the detailed shape of the transit, some of the physical characteristics of the planet can be inferred (courtesy of David Charbonneau).

transit event, observed by Charbonneau et al. (2000) and independently by Henry et al. (2000) for HD 209458, a planet with small a , and one of the most recent of the radial velocity detections. Charbonneau et al. (2000) observed two well-defined transits (Figure 7), of duration about 2.5 hours, providing an orbital period consistent with the radial velocity determination, and confirming beyond any doubt that its radial velocity variations arise from an orbiting planet. The importance of this result and the relative strength of the transit signal have resulted in a number of independent confirmations of transits for HD 209458, including the detection of the transit signals in the Hipparcos astrometry satellite’s photometric data from 1990–93 (Söderhjelm et al. 1999; Robichon & Arenou 2000). The physical information about this planet that can be inferred from this measurement are described in Section 4.4.

The realisation that stars may be accompanied by close-in planets yielding measurable transit signatures has led to the development of dedicated systems to monitor specific fields, which can be based on small-aperture optics: STARE, a 10 cm instrument used for the first detection of the HD 209458 transits by Charbonneau et al. (2000), is monitoring some 24 000 stars in a 5.7 degree square field in the constellation of Auriga; ASP (Arizona Search for Planets) uses a 20 cm aperture in a similar manner; and ASAS (All-Sky Automated Survey) has as its goal the photometric monitoring of $\sim 10^7$ stars brighter than 14 mag over the entire sky, making more than 100 3-min exposures per night. Such searches should soon extend the detection of transits to later spectral types (cooler, less massive K and M stars) than the Sun-like (F and G-type) stars favoured in the radial velocity surveys, in which the transit effect should be more pronounced due to the smaller stellar size. Observations of more than 34 000 stars in the globular cluster 47 Tucanae, uniformly sampled over 9 days by the Hubble Space Telescope in July 1999, may result in several tens of transit detections if such planets

exist in globular clusters (Gilliland 1999), although preliminary analysis for 27 000 stars has revealed no convincing planet candidates (Brown et al. 2000). 15–20 detections would have been expected if the occurrence rate in 47 Tuc were the same as indicated by radial velocity searches in the solar neighbourhood; the discrepancy suggests that at least one of the processes of formation, migration, or survival of close-in planets may be significantly altered in the cluster environment.

Equation 1 indicates that $L_p/L_* \propto a^{-2}$. For planets very close to the central star, a modulation due to the (Doppler shifted) reflected light intensity could therefore be expected, even if the planet cannot be imaged as such (Bromley 1992; Charbonneau et al. 1998; Seager & Sasselov 1998; Seager et al. 2000). This may still occur even if the orbital plane is somewhat inclined to the line of site, with a signature dependent upon inclination (no modulation would be observed for face-on systems). The close-in planetary system τ Bootis has $a = 0.046$ AU, $M_p \sin i = 3.89 M_J$, and hence $L_p/L_* \sim 10^{-4}$, some $10^4 - 10^5$ times higher than for the case of a Jupiter system, although τ Boo and its planet are separated by, at most, 0.003 arcsec. The system has been studied by Charbonneau et al. (1999). The spectrum should contain a secondary component which varies in amplitude depending on the adopted functional form for the phase variation and scattering law, with the planet's radial velocity relative to the star reaching a maximum amplitude of $\pm 152 \text{ km s}^{-1}$. The system appears to be tidally locked (cf. equation 1 of Guillot et al. 1996), in which case there is no relative motion between the planet and star surfaces. The planet should therefore reflect a non-rotationally broadened stellar spectrum, yielding relatively narrow planetary lines dominated only by the stellar photospheric convective motions, superimposed on much broader stellar lines. No evidence for a highly reflective planet was found, yielding a geometric albedo $p \lesssim 0.3$ (assuming $R_p \sim 1.2 R_J$, and with a weak dependence on the assumed orbital inclination), compared to values of 0.39–0.60 for the Solar System giant planets. But many plausible model planetary atmospheres remain consistent with this upper limit, with predictions for the albedos of the close-in extra-solar giant planets varying by orders of magnitude, being highly sensitive to the presence of condensates such as Fe and MgSiO_3 in the planetary atmospheres. Observations for the same system by Collier Cameron et al. (1999) suggest that detection of the reflected light at the appropriate orbital period may have been achieved, providing a value for i , and hence an estimate of $M_p \sim 8 M_J$, twice the minimum value for an edge-on orbit. Assuming a Jupiter-like albedo $p = 0.55$ yields $R_p \sim 1.6 - 1.8 R_J$, larger than the structural and evolutionary predictions of Guillot et al. (1997) of $\sim 1.4 - 1.1 R_J$ at ages of 2–3 Gyr. This discrepancy between measured and predicted radius may cast doubt on the claimed detection, or may simply imply inadequacy in present atmospheric modelling (Burrows et al. 2000; Seager et al. 2000). A search for a methane signature in the infrared spectrum also yields suggestive but presently inconclusive results (Wiedemann et al. 2000).

3.4. Gravitational microlensing

Gravitational lensing is the focusing and hence amplification of light rays from a distant source by an intervening object, first considered by Einstein (1936) and Link (1936). For the early history of photometric lensing, see Link (1969), p267. Walsh et al. (1979) discovered the first case of a double image created by gravitational lensing of a distant source, the quasar 0957+561. Arc-like images of extended galaxies were first reliably reported by Lynds & Petrosian (1989). Relative motion between the background source, the intervening lens, and the observer will lead to apparent brightening and subsequent dimming of the resulting image, which may occur over time scale of hours and upwards.

The term microlensing was introduced by Paczyński (1986a) to describe gravitational lensing that can be detected by measuring the intensity variation of a macro-image made of any number of micro-images, which are generally unresolved by the observer. The lensing phenomenon offers a powerful but experimentally challenging route to the detection and characterisation of planetary systems.

Many of the essential formulae used to analyze gravitational lensing were derived by Refsdal (1964), and are found in numerous forms throughout the microlensing literature, with the following given by Wambsganss (1997); see also Sackett (1999); Refsdal & Surdej (1994). Events are characterised in terms of the Einstein ring radius (related to the Schwarzschild or gravitational radius of the lens $r_g = 2GM_L/c^2$):

$$\begin{aligned} R_E &= \left[\frac{4GM_L}{c^2} \frac{(D_S - D_L)D_L}{D_S} \right]^{1/2} \\ &= 8.1 \left(\frac{M_L}{M_\odot} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{D_S}{8 \text{ kpc}} \right)^{1/2} [(1-d)d]^{1/2} \text{ AU} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where M_L is the mass of the lensing object, D_L and D_S are the distances to the lens and to the source, with $d = D_L/D_S$. The parameterization in mass and distance gives the Einstein ring radius in the lens plane. The Einstein angle is R_E expressed in angular coordinates:

$$\theta_E = R_E/D_L = 1.0 \left(\frac{M_L}{M_\odot} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{D_L}{8 \text{ kpc}} \right)^{-1/2} (1-d)^{1/2} \text{ mas} \quad (10)$$

The microlensing magnification as a function of time is:

$$A(t) = \frac{u^2(t) + 2}{u(t) [u^2(t) + 4]^{1/2}} \quad (11)$$

where $u(t)$ is the projected distance between lens and source in units of the Einstein radius. For a typical transverse velocity of $v = 200 \times v_{200} \text{ km s}^{-1}$ the time-scale of a microlensed event is:

$$t_E = 69.9 \left(\frac{M_L}{M_\odot} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{D_S}{8 \text{ kpc}} \right)^{1/2} [(1-d)d]^{1/2} v_{200}^{-1} \text{ days} \quad (12)$$

Precise alignment is required for a detectable brightening. The chance of substantial microlensing magnification is extremely small, $\sim 10^{-6}$ for background stars in the

Galactic bulge, nearby Magellanic Clouds, or nearby spiral galaxy M31, even if all the unseen Galactic dark matter is composed of objects capable of lensing (Petrrou 1981; Gott 1981; Paczyński 1986b). However, microlensing events can be distinguished from peculiar intrinsic source variability by their achromatic behaviour. Only since 1993, when massive observational programmes capable of surveying millions of stars were underway, has photometric microlensing been observed by the EROS (Expérience de Recherche d’Objets Sombres, Aubourg et al. 1993), OGLE (Optical Gravitational Microlensing, Udalski et al. 1993), MACHO (Massive Compact Halo Objects, Alcock et al. 1993), and DUO (Disk Unseen Objects, Alard 1996) projects. Several hundred events have now been observed, and the first (albeit contested) events attributed to planets have been announced. Microlensing is providing new information on the amount of matter (dark and luminous) along these lines of sight, and a number of recent reviews are devoted to this rapidly growing subject (Paczynski 1996; Gould 1996; Sackett 1999). Events with durations ranging from hours to months are now reliably detected and reported while still in progress, via the *www*, allowing concerted follow-up observations by teams such as GMAN (Pratt et al. 1996), PLANET (Probing Lensing Anomalies Network, Albrow et al. 1996), MPS (Microlensing Planet Search, Rhie et al. 1999), and EXPORT (Extra-Solar Planet Observational Research Team), which can gather detailed photometric information about lensing events sifted from the vast survey data streams. These are revealing microlensing ‘anomalies’, a small subset of the already rare microlensing events, which depart from the predicted (and normally observed) smooth symmetric light curves (Paczynski 1986b; Griest 1991), and for which detailed structure in the light curves is permitting study of the lens kinematics, the frequency and nature of binary systems, stellar atmospheres, and the presence of planetary systems.

Mao & Paczyński (1991) and Gould & Loeb (1992) investigated lensing when one or more planets orbit the primary lens, finding that detectable fine structure in the photometric signature of the background object occurs relatively frequently, even for low-mass planets. Gould & Loeb (1992) found that the probability of detecting such fine-structure is about 17% for a Jupiter-like planet (i.e. at about 5 AU from the central star), and 3% for a Saturn-like system; these relatively high probabilities occur specifically when the planet lies in the ‘lensing zone’, between about $0.6\text{--}1.6 R_E$ (Wambsganss 1997). Planets in this distance range, which fortuitously corresponds to star/planet distances of a few AU and hence orbital radii corresponding to the habitable zone, produce caustics (points in the source plane where the magnification is infinite) inside the Einstein ring of the star. Subsequent theoretical work has included determination of detection probabilities (Bolatto & Falco 1994), extension to Earth-mass planets including the effects of finite source size (Bennett & Rhie 1996; Wambsganss 1997), determination of physical parameters (Gaudi & Gould 1997), the calculation of the number of detections for realistic observational programmes (Peale 1997; Sahu 1997; Sackett 1999; Gaudi & Sackett 2000), distinguishing between binary source and planetary perturbations (Gaudi 1998), consideration of high-magnification events (Griest & Safizadeh 1998), the effect of multiple planets in high-magnification events (Gaudi et al. 1998), the possibility of




Figure 8. Examples of predicted planet astrometric (leftmost plots of each pair) and photometric (rightmost plots) lensing curves (from Safizadeh et al. 1999). All examples assume a planet to lens mass ratio of $q = 10^{-3}$, with a primary lens Einstein radius of $550 \mu\text{as}$, corresponding to a Saturn mass planet. Squares are plotted one per week: (a) $x_p = 1.3$; (b) $x_p = 0.7$; (c) a caustic crossing event with $x_p = 1.3$; x_p is the projected planet-lens separation in units of the Einstein radius (courtesy of Neda Safizadeh).

observing repeating events originating from a multiple planetary system (Di Stefano & Scalzo 1999), and caustic-crossing configurations (Graff & Gaudi 2000).

For a point-mass lens and the observer-lens-source precisely co-aligned, the image becomes a ring of radius R_E , and the magnification theoretically becomes infinite (in equation 11, $A \rightarrow \infty$ as $u \rightarrow 0$). For a single lens the caustic is the single point behind the lens, and the critical curve (the positions of the images of these caustics) is the Einstein ring. For single-point lenses, high-magnification events occur when the source comes near the caustic, with the peak magnification occurring at the projected distance of closest approach. When the lens consists of two point-like objects, specifically a star and an orbiting planet, the caustic positions and shapes depend on the planet-to-lens mass ratio $q = M_p/M_L$ and the projected planet-lens separation x_p . With the addition of a planet around a central star (both of which to a first approximation are considered to be invisible), the light curve of the background star will be very close to that of the single (stellar mass) lens for most of its duration, but with fine structure comprising additional sharp peaks, with a typical duration of a few hours to a few days, compared with a typical primary lens event duration of 40 days. As the planet mass decreases, the signals become rarer and briefer: for Earth-mass planets detection probabilities are $\sim 2\%$ and typical time scales are 3–5 hours (Bennett & Rhie 1996). Example magnification maps and light curves are given, for example, by Gould & Loeb (1992); Wambsganss (1997); and Gaudi & Sackett (2000). Relative motion of the source/lens/observer, including the Earth’s motion around the Sun (see also Boutreux & Gould 1996), and the effects of blending (in which the object serving as the lens is itself luminous) introduce further complications.

In addition to the photometric manifestation of microlensing, the changing alignment also results in a tiny motion of the photocentre of the background object, an effect referred to as astrometric microlensing. Since a microlensed source has two (generally unresolved) images, their centroid makes a small excursion (typically by a fraction of a milliarcsec) around the trajectory of the source as a result of

varying magnification and image positions during lensing (e.g. Høg et al. 1995; Boden et al. 1998). The astrometric manifestation has two advantages over photometric microlensing. First, the astrometric cross-section is substantially larger than the photometric, and second, the degeneracy with regard to the mass of the lens is removed (Gaudi & Gould 1997) (ordinarily, measurements give only the planet/star mass ratio and their projected separation in units of the Einstein radius of the lens). Astrometric signatures have not yet been measured, being too small to be accessible to present ground-based observations, but future (narrow-field) astrometric interferometers and microarcsec-class space experiments will be able to measure these effects.

Astrometric microlensing related specifically to planet detection has been investigated by a number of authors (Mao & Paczyński 1991; Safizadeh et al. 1999; Han & Chang 1999; Dominik & Sahu 2000). The planet's effect is short, unlike parallactic and blending effects which are important over the entire duration of the event. However, the magnitude of the planetary perturbation can still be large for Jovian-like planets with the time spent above $10 \mu\text{s}$ being typically of the order of days. Figure 8 shows some predicted cases from Safizadeh et al. (1999).

The NASA space astrometric interferometer SIM (Danner & Unwin 1999), due for launch in 2005, will allow the study of photometric events alerted from ground. Scanning space astrometry missions offer less favourable observational conditions, although GAIA (Section 3.2.2) may detect astrometric lensing motion independently of photometric signatures for the first time (Dominik & Sahu 2000).

The disadvantages of microlensing for planet detection are that specific systems cannot be selected for study, and once they occur an independent microlensing event is unlikely to recur for the same system on any relevant time scale. Advantages are the method's high sensitivity even to low mass planetary systems, and its effectiveness out to very large (kpc) distances, being a search technique which requires no photons from either the planet or from the parent star (it is the only technique identified capable of detecting interstellar planetary mass bodies). Hundreds of microlensing events have now been detected in the Galaxy. Results on individual objects include observation of a caustic crossing (SMC-98-1, Afonso et al. 1998; Alcock et al. 1999; Albrow et al. 1999b; Afonso et al. 2000); limb darkening effects (MACHO 97-BLG-28, Albrow et al. 1999a; MACHO 97-BLG-41, Albrow et al. 2000b; OGLE 99-BLG-23, Albrow et al. 2000a); and limits on stellar and planetary companions (OGLE 98-BUL-14, Albrow et al. 2000c). Statistical results include Large Magellanic Cloud results for the first two years (Alcock et al. 1997); results of the PLANET 1995 pilot campaign (Albrow et al. 1998); and EROS and MACHO combined limits on planetary mass dark matter in the Galactic halo (Alcock et al. 1998).

Bennett et al. (1997) reported light curves from two MACHO events with limited photometric coverage, leaving interpretation ambiguous but providing evidence for an M-dwarf with a companion gas giant of mass $\sim 5 M_J$ at $a \sim 1$ AU (94-BLG-4), and for an isolated object of mass $\sim 2 M_J$ or a planet more than 5–10 AU from its parent star (95-BLG-3). Rhie et al. (1998) reported a high magnification event (98-BLG-35,




Figure 9. The event MACHO 97-BLG-41, from Albrow et al. (2000b), illustrating the fit to this complex event without invoking a planetary companion. Left: magnification versus time (in heliocentric Julian date). The best rotating binary model is shown as the solid curve, with the observational data shown as points (insets are zooms on the two caustic crossing regions). Right: the caustic topology of the model at times near the first and second caustic crossings, in units of the angular Einstein radii. The straight line shows the source trajectory. The binary components are shown as small dots. As time progresses, the binary rotates counterclockwise (causing the caustic pattern to do the same) and the component lenses move closer together. The zooms show the source (circle) trajectory as it crosses the central caustic (right) and triangular caustic (left). The triangular caustic movement during the entire first crossing is shown. The source diameter is indicated by the distance between the parallel lines (courtesy of the PLANET Collaboration).

$A \sim 80$) observed towards the Galactic centre, providing weak evidence (4.5σ) for a low mass planet with mass fraction $4 \times 10^{-5} - 2 \times 10^{-4}$, corresponding to $1 M_{\oplus}$ for a lens mass of $0.3 M_{\odot}$. From some 100 events monitored by the PLANET collaboration, more than 20 have sensitivity to perturbations that would be caused by a Jovian mass companion to the primary lens (Gaudi & Sackett 2000). No unambiguous signatures of such planets have been detected. These null results indicate that Jupiter mass planets with $a = 1.5 - 3$ AU occur in less than one third of systems, with a similar limit applying to planets of $3 M_J$ at $a = 1 - 4$ AU (Gaudi et al. 2000).

The event MACHO 97-BLG-41 was recently reported as the first convincing example of planetary microlensing (Bennett et al. 1999). Although this interpretation has subsequently been disputed (Albrow et al. 2000b), the results illustrate both the modeling complexity and the method’s future potential for probing extra-solar planetary systems. It was first alerted on 1997 June 18 as a possible short-duration event in the direction of the Galactic Bulge. From the complex light curve acquired over several weeks, the lens system was inferred to consist of a planet of mass $3.5 \pm 1.8 M_J$, orbiting

a K-dwarf/M-dwarf binary stellar system (Bennett et al. 1999). In this interpretation, the stars are separated by ~ 1.8 AU, and the planet is orbiting them at a distance of about 7 AU. The background star is $V = 19.6$ mag and likely to be at or slightly beyond the centre of our Galaxy at 8.5 kpc. The probable lens distance is $\sim 6.3_{-1.3}^{+0.6}$ kpc, with a relative motion of the lens with respect to the source of 270 km s^{-1} . All derived parameters are reasonable for a pair of Galactic bulge stars. An alternative interpretation was given by Albrow et al. (2000b). They were able to model their (independent) 46 V-band and 325-I band observations, with a fit consistent with the Bennett et al. (1999) data, with a lens consisting of a rotating binary star, having a total lens mass $M \sim 0.3 M_{\odot}$, a mass ratio $q = 0.34$, a lens distance of $D_L \sim 5.5$ kpc, and a binary period of $P \sim 1.5$ yr, leading to a change in binary separation of $-0.070 \pm 0.009 R_E$ and in orientation by $5^{\circ}61 \pm 0^{\circ}36$ during the 35.2 days between the separate caustic transits (Figure 9). While the interpretation for this object may remain contested, gravitational microlensing should provide further important planetary constraints in the future.

3.5. Protoplanetary disks

Section 2.2 underlines the intimate connection between protoplanetary disks and the planets which are formed from them. A short discussion of disks is included here partly because of the evidence that the observation of protoplanetary disks brings to bear on the understanding of planetary formation, but also because the distinction between the detection of disks and the detection of planets is becoming smaller.

In contrast to the observational difficulties for planets, it is now relatively easy to observe disks (Beckwith & Sargent 1996). Not only are they extremely large – a typical disk extends to of order 1000 AU from the star – but the surface area of the small particles which make up the disk is many orders of magnitude larger than that of a planet. They emit and reflect light very well, and can be seen to relatively large distances from the central star with modern telescopes. Disks also appear to be long-lived, $\sim 10^6 - 3 \times 10^7$ years, and robust against disruption by the events that commonly accompany early stellar evolution. They are remarkably common throughout our Galaxy, and their properties appear quite similar to the picture of our primitive solar nebula (Section 2.2). They are particularly evident due to their strong emission at infrared red wavelengths, between about $2 \mu\text{m}$ and 1 mm, with a spectrum much broader than any single-temperature black body, originating from thermal emission over a wide variation of temperatures, from ~ 1000 K very close to the stars to ~ 30 K near the outer edges of the disks at several hundred AU from the central star.

The star β Pic is a prototype (see Artymowicz 1997 for a review), discovered from observations of the IRAS (infrared) satellite, in which the innermost parts of the disk appear to be devoid of gas and dust, and with the absence of diffuse material suggesting the presence of planets (Smith & Terrile 1984). Cometary-like bodies (Beust et al. 1994), perturbations of a planet on the disk (Lecavelier des Etangs et al. 1996), and




Figure 10. Images from the NASA/ESA Hubble Space Telescope. Left: part of the β Pic disk imaged by the WFPC2 instrument. Clumps of dust might represent elliptical rings viewed edge-on, possibly created by the gravitational force of a stellar encounter $\sim 10^5$ years ago (courtesy of Paul Kalas). Centre: the circumstellar disk of HD 141569 imaged in reflected light at $1.1 \mu\text{m}$ with the NICMOS instrument (from Weinberger et al. 1999). The central star and the dark vertical and horizontal bands are regions obscured by a coronagraphic mask (courtesy of Alycia Weinberger). Right: the circumstellar disk around HR 4796A, also observed by coronagraphic imaging by NICMOS (from Schneider et al. 1999). The ring is almost completely visible, although the clumpy structure arises from the coronagraphic system and is not real (courtesy of Glenn Schneider, Brad Smith, and the NICMOS IDT/EONS team).

light variations possibly associated with planets (Lecavelier des Etangs et al. 1997; Beust et al. 1998 and references) have all been reported for this system.

In contrast to β Pic, most disks occur around young stars, in particular around T Tauri stars which lie close to star-forming clouds, and with an excess of infrared emission most likely arising from dusty material in orbit around, and heated by, the central star. Calculations imply that the disk phase of planetary formation lasts for only a relatively small fraction of a system's total lifetime, although some stars may maintain disks for a billion years or more, perhaps never forming any planets.

Many disks have been detected through their infrared, sub-mm, or radio emission, frequently showing a roughly flattened shape, with Doppler measurements indicating rotation. Dust disks have been observed around some 100 main-sequence stars within 50 pc of the Sun (Kalas 1998), for example, around the binary BD +31°643 (Lissauer 1997b); ϵ Eridani (Greaves et al. 1998); HR 4796 (Koerner et al. 1998); the pre-main sequence binary HK Tauri (Koresko 1998), and around HD 98800, a very young bright planetary debris system bearing a strong similarity to the zodiacal dust bands in our own Solar System (Low et al. 1999). Observations of comets have been made in HD 100546 (Grady et al. 1997). In the specific context of the extra-solar planetary systems discovered recently are the observations of a disk around ρ^1 Cnc (Dominik et al. 1998; Trilling & Brown 1998).

A number of disk systems have been imaged using the Hubble Space Telescope (O'Dell et al. 1993), including β Pic (Figure 10a; Kalas et al. 2000). In the case of

HD 141569 (Figure 10b, Weinberger et al. 1999), the star is at a distance of about 100 pc, and has an age of about $10^6 - 10^7$ years. Outwards from the centre, a bright inner region is separated from a fainter outer region by a dark band, superficially resembling the Cassini division (the largest gap) in Saturn's rings. The disk extends to about 400 AU, about 13 times the diameter of Neptune's orbit, with the gap at 250 AU. An unseen planet may have carved out the gap, in which case its mass can be estimated at $\sim 1.3 M_J$, and its orbital period as 2600 years. If it takes ~ 300 orbital periods to clear such material (Bryden et al. 1999) then the gap could be opened in $\sim 8 \times 10^5$ years, consistent with the age of the star. In the case of HR 4796A (Figure 10c, Schneider et al. 1999) the dust ring is confined to a width of about 17 AU. The colour of the material (from infrared measurements at 1.1 and 1.6 μm) implies particles with a size of a few μm , larger than typical interstellar grains. The implied confinement of material also argues for dynamical constraints on the particles by one or more as yet unseen bodies.

A number of recent reviews of disk formation cover issues such as angular momentum transport in disks in the context of the PSR 1257+12 pulsar planet system (Ruden 1993); the angular momentum evolution of young stars and disks, including the effects of magnetic braking, fragmentation during protostar collapse, and viscosity (Bodenheimer 1995); protostars and circumstellar disks (McCaughrean 1997); the origin of protoplanetary disks (Boss 1998b); circumstellar disks (Beckwith 1999); and extra-solar planets and migration (Terquem et al. 2000).

3.6. Miscellaneous signatures

Stern (1994) has considered the direct detectability of planets during the short but unique epoch of giant impacts during the late stages of planetary formation (Section 2.2). Massive accreting pairs, with masses of order $0.1 M_\oplus$, would deposit sufficient energy to turn their surfaces molten and temporarily render them much more luminous at infrared wavelengths (ocean vaporisation or radiation to space dominate according to mass). This occurs even for low-velocity approaches (i.e. for co-planar, zero-eccentricity orbits at 1 AU), the gravitational attraction leading to impact velocities of around 10 km s^{-1} . A luminous 1500–2500 K photosphere persisting for some 10^3 years could be created for a terrestrial-mass planet (massive impacts on giant planets would be more luminous but shorter lived) leading to an estimate of 1/250 young stars affected. Detection was estimated to require 1–2 nights of observing time per object.

Super-flares have been observed around a number of otherwise normal F–G main sequence stars. These are stellar flares with energies of $10^{33} - 10^{38}$ erg, $10^2 - 10^7$ times more energetic than the largest solar flares, with durations of hours to days and visible from X-ray to optical frequencies (Schaefer et al. 2000). Rubenstein & Schaefer (2000) have proposed that they are caused by magnetic reconnection between fields of the primary star and a close-in Jovian planet, in close analogy with flaring in RS CVn binary systems. If the companion has a magnetic dipole moment of adequate strength,

the magnetic field lines connecting the pair will be wrapped by orbital motion, leading to an increase in magnetic field strength. Interaction of specific field loops with the passing planet will initiate reconnection events. Super-flares on our own Sun, which would be catastrophic for life on Earth, would not be expected since our Solar System does not have a planet with a large magnetic dipole moment in a close orbit. Only one super-flare star, κ Ceti, has been searched for planets to date, and the presence of a Saturn-mass planet is so far not excluded. The connection between super-flares and planets is presently conjectural.

If extra-solar planets possess a substantial magnetic field, coherent cyclotron radio emission could be driven by the stellar wind/magnetospheric interaction, as observed in our Solar System, perhaps episodically at the planetary rotation period (Farrell et al. 1999). Model results indicate that the most favourable candidate is presently τ Boo, but with an expected mean amplitude of about 2 Jy at 28 MHz, a factor of 100 below current detectability limits.

One terminal stage of the planet may be its spiraling in and eventual accretion onto the central star (Section 4.5). Modeling has been carried out for accretion onto asymptotic giant branch stars (Siess & Livio 1999b) and onto solar-mass stars located on the red giant branch (Siess & Livio 1999a). In the latter case observational signatures that accompany the engulfing of the planet include ejection of a shell and a subsequent phase of infrared emission, increase in the ^7Li surface abundance, spin-up of the star because of the deposition of orbital angular momentum, and the possible generation of magnetic fields and the related X-ray activity caused by the development of shears at the base of the convective envelope. Infrared excess and high Li abundances are observed in 4–8% of G and K stars, and Siess & Livio (1999a) postulate that these signatures might originate from the accretion of a giant planet, a brown dwarf, or a very low-mass stars (see also Section 4.3).

4. Properties of extra-solar planets

4.1. Masses and orbits

The primary characteristics of the extra-solar planets discovered to date are given in Table 1, and some characteristics of the extra-solar planetary orbits are illustrated in Figure 11.

While Doppler surveys are most sensitive to small orbits, resulting in a clear selection effect on orbital properties, what had not been generally anticipated was the small orbital radii and large eccentricities of many of the first systems discovered. § This trend has continued with further discoveries: 9 of the 34 known planets reside in orbits with $a < 0.1$ AU, and 15 have $a < 0.3$ AU. The correlation between a (or P) and e is

§ Although Struve (1952), in considering the timeliness of radial velocity searches, commented that ‘It is not unreasonable that a planet might exist at a distance of 1/50 AU... Its period around a star of solar mass would then be about 1 day.’

Table 1. Extra-solar planets discovered from radial velocity observations, ordered by increasing planet mass (of the lightest planet for the ν And system). The table includes discoveries to March 2000, and updated orbital parameters (<http://exoplanets.org>; for up-to-date developments see also <http://www.obspm.fr/planets>): (1) star name; (2) star spectral type; (3) approximate star mass; (4) distance (from Hipparcos); (5) radial velocity amplitude (equation 2); (6) planetary mass $\times \sin i$; (7) orbital period; (8) orbital semi-major axis; (9) orbital eccentricity; (10) discovery reference: [1] Latham et al. 1989; [2] Mayor & Queloz 1995; [3] Butler & Marcy 1996; [4] Marcy & Butler 1996; [5] Butler et al. 1997; [6] Cochran et al. 1997; [7] Noyes et al. 1997b; [8] Butler et al. 1998; [9] Delfosse et al. 1998; [10] Marcy et al. 1998; [11] Fischer et al. 1999; [12] Marcy et al. 1999; [13] Butler et al. 1999 [14] Mayor et al. 2000; [15] Kürster et al. 2000; [16] Henry et al. 2000; [17] Vogt et al. 2000; [18] Santos et al. 2000; [19] Queloz et al. 2000; [20] Udry et al. 2000; [21] Korzennik et al. 2000; [22] Marcy et al. 2000a; [23] Marcy et al. 2000b; [24] Naef et al. 2000.

Name	ST	M_* ($M_\odot$)	d (pc)	K (m s $^{-1}$)	$M_p \sin i$ (M_J)	P (days)	a (AU)	e	Ref.
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
HD 16141	G5IV	0.99	35.9	11	0.22	75.80	0.351	0.28	[22]
HD 46375	K1IV	1.00	33.4	35	0.25	3.024	0.041	0.02	[22]
HD 75289	G0V	1.05	28.9	54	0.46	3.508	0.048	0.00	[20]
51 Peg	G5V	0.98	15.4	55	0.46	4.231	0.052	0.01	[2]
HD 187123	G3V	1.00	47.9	72	0.54	3.097	0.042	0.01	[8]
HD 209458	G0V	1.03	47.1	82	0.63	3.524	0.046	0.02	[16]
ν And b	F8V	1.10	13.5	70	0.68	4.617	0.059	0.02	[5]
ν And c	—	—	—	58	2.05	241.3	0.828	0.24	[13]
ν And d	—	—	—	70	4.29	1308.5	2.56	0.31	[13]
HD 192263	K2V	0.75	19.9	68	0.81	24.35	0.152	0.22	[17,18]
ρ^1 55 Cnc	G8V	0.90	12.5	76	0.93	14.66	0.118	0.03	[5]
ρ CrB	G2V	1.00	17.4	61	0.99	39.81	0.224	0.07	[7]
HD 37124	G4V	0.91	33.2	48	1.13	154.8	0.547	0.31	[17]
HD 130322	K0III	0.79	29.8	115	1.15	10.72	0.092	0.05	[20]
HD 177830	K2IV	1.15	59.0	34	1.24	391.0	1.10	0.40	[17]
HD 217107	G7V	0.96	19.7	140	1.29	7.130	0.072	0.14	[11]
HD 210277	G7V	0.92	21.3	39	1.29	436.6	1.12	0.45	[12]
HD 134987	G5V	1.05	25.7	50	1.58	260.0	0.810	0.24	[17]
16 Cyg B	G2.5	1.00	21.6	50	1.68	796.7	1.69	0.68	[6]
Gliese 876	M4	0.32	4.7	235	2.07	60.90	0.207	0.24	[9,10]
47 UMa	G0V	1.03	14.1	51	2.60	1084.0	2.09	0.13	[3]
HD 12661	K0	0.81	37.2	91	2.83	264.5	0.825	0.33	[23]
ι Hor	G0V	1.03	17.2	80	2.98	320.0	0.970	0.16	[15]
HD 1237	G6V	0.98	17.6	164	3.45	133.8	0.505	0.51	[24]
HD 195019	G3V	0.98	37.4	271	3.55	18.20	0.136	0.01	[11]
τ Boo	F7V	1.20	15.6	474	4.14	3.313	0.047	0.02	[5]
Gliese 86	K1V	0.79	10.9	379	4.23	15.80	0.117	0.04	[19]
HD 222582	G3V	1.00	42.0	180	5.18	576.0	1.35	0.71	[17]
14 Her	K0V	0.85	18.2	96	5.44	1700.0	2.84	0.37	[14]
HD 10697	G5IV	1.10	32.6	114	6.08	1074.0	2.12	0.11	[17]
HD 89744	F7V	1.40	40.0	257	7.17	256.0	0.883	0.70	[21]
70 Vir	G2.5V	1.10	18.1	316	7.42	116.7	0.482	0.40	[4]
HD 168443	G8IV	0.84	37.9	469	8.13	58.10	0.303	0.52	[12]
HD 114762	F7V	0.82	40.6	615	10.96	84.03	0.351	0.33	[1]




Figure 11. Left: eccentricity versus orbital radius for the known planetary systems listed in Table 1. Diameters of the solid circles are proportional to the measured values of $M_p \sin i$. Right: schematic of the resulting orbits. The systems are shown with their relevant values of a and e , again showing masses by the size of the relevant solid circle. The Earth's orbit at 1 AU is shown as a solid line for reference. Orbits with the largest a (14 Her) and largest e (HD 222582, HD 89744 and 16 Cyg B) are labeled.

significant (Stepinski & Black 2000): close-in planets are nearly all in circular orbits, while the 19 planets that orbit further out than 0.3 AU are all in non-circular orbits with $e \geq 0.1$, with 16 having $e \geq 0.2$. In contrast, most pre-discovery theories of planetary formation suggested that extra-solar planets would be in circular orbits similar to those in the Solar System (Boss 1995; Lissauer 1995).

The mass distribution rises towards lower masses, down to $M_p \sin i \simeq 0.2 M_J$, with companions having $M_p \sin i$ in the decade $0.5\text{--}5 M_J$ outnumbering those between $5\text{--}50 M_J$ by a factor 3–4 (Marcy & Butler 1998a; Marcy et al. 1999). The limited detectability of the lower mass companions implies that the true ratio may be still higher. The underlying distribution of M_p (rather than $M_p \sin i$) cannot be derived unambiguously. For randomly oriented planes, $\langle M_p \rangle = (\pi/2) M_p \sin i$. Thus HD 114762, with $M_p \sin i \sim 11 M_J$, may well be a brown dwarf. Similar arguments may apply to other high mass systems, including HD 10697 for which Hipparcos astrometry also suggests a higher mass (Zucker & Mazeh 2000). However, the possibility that the objects below $4 M_J$ are all brown dwarfs in systems seen nearly face on appears untenable.

Heacox (1999) and Stepinski & Black (2000) have investigated how the orbital properties compare with those of higher mass stellar companions (brown dwarfs and low-mass stars). Solely on the basis of the $M_p \sin i$ distribution, they find that a model comprising two different populations is preferable, in agreement with qualitative inspection of the histogram of $M_p \sin i$ which suggests a break in the projected mass distribution at around $5\text{--}7 M_J$. In contrast, their analyses suggest that for solar-type stars, the orbital properties of their low-mass companions in general (i.e. whether

massive planets, brown dwarfs or stars) are rather homogeneous. Thus Stepinski & Black (2000) find that extra-solar planets and brown dwarfs share a common probability distribution function for orbital periods and eccentricities, a common period-eccentricity correlation, and the same lack of a significant mass-eccentricity correlation. The combined population displays orbital element statistics very similar to that of compatible stellar companions. The results are confirmed using a much larger sample of about 400 compatible stellar companions derived from the survey of 3347 G dwarfs by Udry et al. (1998) (Stepinski, private communication). Stepinski & Black (2000) raise the question of whether the properties of the present sample of extra-solar planets, which appear peculiar when viewed from the perspective of the standard model of planet formation, could appear more natural in the context of a population related to stellar companions (see Section 4.5). Larger samples of extra-solar planets will be required to confirm these ideas.

4.2. Multiple planets and system stability

Apart from the pulsar planets, only one multiple extra-solar planetary system, ν And, is presently known. In addition to the $0.6 M_J$ object in a 4.6-day orbit originally detected (Butler et al. 1997), two more distant planets were identified from subsequent radial velocity observations, with $M_p \sin i$ of 2.0 and $4.1 M_J$, a of 0.82 and 2.5 AU, and large e of 0.23 and 0.36 respectively (Butler et al. 1999). Based on a specific set of spectroscopic orbital elements Mazeh et al. (1999) derived a mass for the outer companion of $10.1^{+4.7}_{-4.6} M_J$ using Hipparcos astrometry, compared to $M_p \sin i = 4.1 M_J$, implying an orbital inclination of 156° . If the three planets all have the same inclinations, masses of the inner two planets of 1.8 ± 0.8 and $4.9 \pm 2.3 M_J$ would follow. A large difference between the inclinations of the outer two planets appears to be ruled out by dynamical stability arguments (Holman et al. 1997; Krymolowski & Mazeh 1999).

With three massive planets within about 2.5 AU of each other, the system is well-suited to investigations of the system's dynamical stability. The 'Hill stability' criterion requires that the planets approach no closer than their Hill radius (or tidal radius). The system stability therefore depends strongly on the planetary masses, and hence orbital inclination, since the orbits of the second and third planets brings their relative separation close to their Hill radius, a proximity that would make their motion chaotic. A number of numerical studies have been carried out to establish whether the system is stable over long time scales (Laughlin & Adams 1999; Lissauer 1999; Rivera & Lissauer 2000; Stepinski et al. 2000), confirming that for all except extreme values of $\sin i < 0.2$ (ruled out by the Hipparcos astrometry), most plausible orbits are stable for the 2–3 Gyr age of the system. Numerical integration by Laughlin & Adams (1999) suggest that the two outer planets experience extremely chaotic evolution, that the system tends to favour configurations in which the two outer planets exhibit a significant relative inclination ($i \sim 15^\circ$), and that the eccentricity of the outer orbit is unlikely to be much larger than 0.3. The considerable eccentricity of the outermost planet is difficult to excite

through N -body interactions arising from a set of initially circular orbits.

The inner planet, with $e \sim 0$, significantly exceeds the minimum stability criterion, suggesting little interaction with the outer two companions. The outer two planets, in contrast, have high eccentricities, underlining the observational trend for single systems, and suggesting that planet-planet interactions constitute a plausible explanation for the orbital evolution of this system. If such interactions are the dominant source of orbital evolution, additional companion planets should ultimately be detected in most of the currently known systems. Alternatively, if planetary-disk interactions are dominant, multiple systems like ν And might be relatively rare.

The planet around 16 Cyg B has an orbit which is particularly eccentric, $e \sim 0.7$. 16 Cyg B is one component of a widely separated binary star system whose eccentricity is itself very large, $e > 0.54$. The orbital period of the binary star is very long, and therefore difficult to measure accurately, but from astrometric observations made over about 170 years is estimated to be $>18\,000$ yr (Hauser & Marcy 1999). It provides an interesting test case for planetary formation theories which try to explain these large eccentricities, perhaps through gravitational perturbations imposed by the stellar component 16 Cyg A. If the planet was originally formed in a circular orbit, with an orbital plane inclined to that of the stellar binary by $>45^\circ$, then the planet orbit oscillates chaotically between high- and low-eccentricity states, on time scales of $10^7 - 10^{10}$ yr, as long as there are no other planets with $M_p \sim M_J$ within 30 AU (Holman et al. 1997; Mazeh et al. 1997a). Although other highly eccentric planetary systems are not known to be associated with stellar binaries, and therefore the relationship between high eccentricity and stellar binarity is unlikely to be one-to-one, perturbations caused by 16 Cyg A remain a plausible cause of its high eccentricity (Hauser & Marcy 1999).

4.3. Properties of the host stars

The host stars in Table 1 cover a mass range of $M_* = 0.8 - 1.2 M_\odot$ (and lower for the K–M dwarfs), and a broad age range (Fuhrmann et al. 1997; Fuhrmann et al. 1998). Distances are well determined from the Hipparcos astrometry satellite measurements (ESA 1997). Detailed spectroscopic analyses of the parent stars have been carried out (Fuhrmann et al. 1997; Gonzalez 1997; Fuhrmann et al. 1998; Gonzalez 1998; Gonzalez & Vanture 1998; Gonzalez et al. 1999; Giménez 2000; Gonzalez & Laws 2000). On average, stars hosting planets have significantly higher metal content (elements heavier than He), compared to the average solar-type star in the solar neighbourhood, although some are metal poor. For the subset of close-in giants, Gonzalez & Laws (2000) conclude the parent stars are all metal rich; values of $[\text{Fe}/\text{H}] = 0.45$ for ρ^1 55 Cnc and 14 Her place them amongst the most metal-rich stars in the solar neighbourhood. This suggests that their physical parameters are affected by the process that formed the planet (Gonzalez et al. 1999).

There are two leading hypotheses to explain the connection between high metallicity and the presence of planets: high metallicity may favour the formation of rocky

planets (and large rocky cores of gas giants) as a result of excess solid material in the protoplanetary disk: essentially the metals make condensation easier. Alternatively, as originally formulated to explain the high Li content of certain stars (Alexander 1967; Li being rapidly destroyed even at relatively low temperatures), the high metallicity could be due to the capture of metal-rich disk material by the star during its early history (Lin et al. 1996), and possibly even to capture of the planet itself (Sandquist et al. 1998) as a natural consequence of the migration scenario (Section 4.5). A planet added to a fully convective star would be folded into the entire stellar mass and would lead to a negligible metallicity enhancement. However, main-sequence solar mass stars like the Sun have radiative cores with relatively small outer convection zones which comprise only a few percent of the stellar mass. Planet capture can therefore significantly enhance the heavy element content in the convective zone (Laughlin & Adams 1997; Ford et al. 1999; Siess & Livio 1999a). A capture event may influence orbital migration of remaining planets in the systems due to changes in angular momentum and magnetic field (Sandquist et al. 1998). If the process of migration via the ejection of planetesimals is important (Murray et al. 1998), many particles can be trapped in the 3:1 or 4:1 resonances, and then pumped into high enough eccentricities that they impact the star, leading to metallicity enrichment and the production of evaporating bodies which may be detectable as transient absorption lines in young stars (Quillen & Holman 2000).

Radial velocity searches have concentrated on F–K stars. Stars earlier than F5 have fast rotation making precision radial velocity measurements impossible (this rotational discontinuity may be associated with planetary formation), while M dwarfs have lower luminosities and relatively few have been surveyed. Of these, Gliese 876 is the nearest known planetary system, at 4.7 pc (Delfosse et al. 1998; Marcy et al. 1998). Results so far suggest that planet formation is not restricted to more massive stars, and given that M dwarfs outnumber G dwarfs by a factor of 10, most planets in our Galaxy may orbit stars whose luminosity and mass are significantly lower than the Sun’s.

4.4. *Physical diagnostics from transits: HD 209458*

Aside from orbital data from radial velocity measurements, specific planetary characteristics are presently limited. However, the recent detection of photometric transits for HD 209458 (Section 3.3) provides additional important information.

The precise shape of the transit curve is determined by five parameters (Charbonneau et al. 2000): the planetary and stellar radii, the stellar mass, the orbital inclination i , and the limb-darkening parameter. For assumed values of R_p and i the relative flux change can be calculated at each phase, by integrating the flux occulted by a planet of given radius at the correct projected location on the limb-darkened disk. Best-fit parameters yield $R_p = 1.27 \pm 0.02 R_J$ and $i = 87.1 \pm 0.2^\circ$ which, in combination with $M_p \sin i = 0.63 M_J$ from the radial velocity solution, yields $M_p = 0.63 M_J$ ($\sin i \sim 1$); similar values are derived from the improved stellar parameters derived by Mazeh et al. (2000). The radius is in excellent agreement with the predictions (for a gas giant made

mainly of hydrogen) of Guillot et al. (1996), who calculated radii for a strongly irradiated radiative/convective extra-solar planet as a function of mass. Being the first extra-solar planet of known radius and mass, several important physical quantities can be derived for the first time: Charbonneau et al. (2000) estimate $\rho \sim 0.38 \text{ gm cm}^{-3}$, significantly less dense than Saturn, the least dense of the Solar System gas giants. The surface gravity is $g \sim 9.7 \text{ ms}^{-2}$. Assuming an effective temperature for the star of 6000 K, the effective temperature of the planet is $T_p \sim 1400(1 - p)^{1/4} \text{ K}$ where p is the albedo. This implies a thermal velocity for hydrogen of $v_t \lesssim 6.0 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, a factor 7 less than the calculated escape velocity of $v_e \sim 42 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, confirming that these planets should not be losing significant amounts of mass due to the effects of stellar insolation.

Further physical diagnostics of this and other systems will soon become available. In the case of HD 209458, other planets or moons in the system could be detected from more accurate transit data, if they exist, down to masses of $\sim 1 M_{\text{Uranus}}$ at 0.2 AU, or down to $\sim 1 M_{\oplus}$ from space observations. Given the orbital inclination, planets beyond about 0.1 AU from the star will show no transits if the orbits are co-aligned. Detection of reflected light would yield the planet's albedo directly since the radius is accurately known. Observations at wavelengths longer than a few μm may detect the secondary eclipse as the planet passes behind the star, yielding the planet's dayside temperature, and hence constraining the mean atmospheric absorptivity. High-accuracy differential spectroscopy in and out of transit may eventually lead to the observation of absorption features added by the planetary atmosphere.

4.5. Formation theories revisited

The extra-solar main-sequence planets discovered so far are all more massive than Saturn, and most either orbit very close to their stars or travel on much more eccentric paths than any of the major planets in our Solar System. The present small sample can be divided broadly into three groups (without necessarily implying a clear distinction or different formation process between them): Jupiter analogues, in terms of P and a , and with low eccentricities (such as 47 UMa); planets with highly eccentric orbits (such as 70 Vir); and close-in giants (or 'hot Jupiters') within 0.1 AU whose orbits are largely circular (such as 51 Peg). While the Jupiter analogues can be explained satisfactorily by 'standard theories' (Section 2.2), the other orbits provide more of a challenge for formation theories. A robust formation mechanism must explain the mass distribution, the large eccentricities, and the large number of orbits with $a < 0.2 \text{ AU}$, where both high temperature and relatively small amount of protostellar matter available for their agglomeration would inhibit formation *in situ* (Boss 1995; Bodenheimer et al. 2000).

If the analyses by Heacox (1999) and Stepinski & Black (2000) indeed point to a common origin for the extra-solar planet and brown dwarf populations, somehow related to the population of stellar companions, then the standard model of planet formation, or certain features of the standard model, may prove to be inadequate. A formation scenario in which global gravitational instabilities in circumstellar disks yield binaries

with a range of separations (between R_* and 100 AU) and masses (perhaps subsequently modified by mass transfer) may then be indicated (Adams & Benz 1992).

Meanwhile, most theoretical efforts have so far been directed at developing modifications of the standard model necessary to reconcile it with the observed system properties. Thus the existence of the close-in planets has focussed attention on some earlier predictions that Jupiter-mass systems (gas giants) could be formed further from the star, followed by non-destructive migration inwards. This inward migration could be driven by tidal interactions with the protoplanetary disk (Goldreich & Tremaine 1980; Lin & Papaloizou 1986a; Lin & Papaloizou 1986b; Ward & Hourigan 1989), and subject to tidal circularization in the process (Terquem et al. 1998; Lin et al. 2000; Ford et al. 1999). Radial migration is caused by inward torques between the planet and the disk, by outward torques between the planet and the spinning star, and by outward torques due to Roche lobe overflow and consequent mass loss from the planet (Trilling et al. 1998). The inward migration could accompany the disk material accreting onto the young star due to viscosity within the disk, or the migrating planet could lose angular momentum to the disk and fall inwards even if the disk were not itself draining inwards.

The discovery of many systems with small orbital radius provides a further complication: orbital migration time-scale is proportional to the orbital period, which should lead to rapid orbital decay for successively smaller orbits. This suggests that inward migration is halted at some point until the disk evaporates. Alternatively, gravitational encounters between planets in a post-disk environment could perturb a planet into an orbit with a very small periastron distance, following which tidal interactions with the host star could circularize the orbit (Rasio & Ford 1996). Recent theories and numerical simulations have examined orbital migration, halting mechanisms, and the origin of orbital eccentricities as part of the overall formation scenario (Lin et al. 1996; Rasio et al. 1996; Mazeh et al. 1997b; Ward 1997b; Ward 1997a; Murray et al. 1998; Trilling et al. 1998; Ward 1998; Ruden 1999).

Explanations for halting the inward migration include the progressive raising of tidal bulges in the star that will transfer angular momentum from the star to the planetary orbit (Lin et al. 1996). Alternatively, holes in the protoplanetary disk could be cleared by the star's rotating magnetic field, which could entrain the hot gas, either distributing it outward, or channeling it onto the star along the magnetic field lines (although such a mechanism cannot explain the planets around ρ^1 55 Cnc or ρ CrB, which orbit at $a = 0.1 - 0.2$ AU, too distant for the magnetic field to clear a hole). Once the planet emerges from the inner edge of the disk, the inward tidal torques vanish because the surface density is negligible, and inward migration stops. Trilling et al. (1998) have considered a mechanism involving mass loss through the planet's Roche lobe as the giant planet migrates inwards, leading to mass transfer from the planet to the star and movement outward by conservation of angular momentum. Stable mass transfer is achieved at a distance where its radius equals its Roche radius, and small stable orbits will result if the disk is dissipated before the planet loses all its mass. Our own Jupiter and the rest of the Solar System planets may be those bodies left stranded when the




Figure 12. Simulation of the formation of a planetary system (from Lin et al. 2000). The surface density of disk material has been reduced by four orders of magnitude near to the planet of mass $M_p/M_* = 10^{-3}$, and waves are clearly seen propagating both inward and outward away from it (courtesy of Douglas Lin).

last of the protoplanetary disk cleared out.

Since orbital migration in a viscous gaseous environment is expected to preserve circular orbits, the frequent occurrence of high orbital eccentricity has led to a distinct class of models in which these systems must be commonly produced. Current attention is directed at models involving interactions with: (a) other orbiting planets (Weidenschilling & Marzari 1996; Rasio & Ford 1996; Lin & Ida 1997; Levison et al. 1998); (b) the protoplanetary accretion disk (Artymowicz 1993), in which the proto-planet exerts gravitational forces on the disk that launch spiral density waves, which in turn exert subtle forces back on the planet, pulling it away from pure circular motion, an effect which can build up over millions of years; (c) a companion star (Holman et al. 1997; Mazeh et al. 1997a); (d) stellar encounters in a young star cluster (de la Fuente Marcos & de la Fuente Marcos 1997; Laughlin & Adams 1998). Marcy et al. (1999) note the possibility that the non-circular orbits all arise from perturbations from a bound companion star, as proposed for 16 Cyg B (Holman et al. 1997; Mazeh et al. 1997a), rather than from the intrinsic dynamics of the planet formation.

Increasingly realistic simulations of evolving planetary systems have been made possible through improved physical models and developments in computer speed and computational algorithms. Levison et al. (1998) have constructed models beginning with thousands of protoplanets embedded in a gas/dust disk, and evolved over several Gyr until orbital stability is achieved. Planet-planet interactions typically determine the final stable architecture of a given system, and many of their model systems resemble, for example, the ν And multiple system. Orbit crossings and global instabilities among planets in the disk can lead to dramatic orbit changes and large eccentricities. Artymowicz & Lubow (1996) and Lubow et al. (1999) have modelled disk accretion onto high-mass planets demonstrating the formation of a gap, but with mass flow possible through it, suggesting that the opening of a gap around a planet does not always terminate its growth. Figure 12 shows results of simulations of disk-planet interactions

and tidal interactions with the central star by Lin et al. (2000).

Current theories suggest that it is very difficult to collect all the matter from a disk into a single planet; if the companions to other stars were indeed formed from disks, they are quite likely to be members of more extensive planetary systems. Although presently there is little information on multiple systems, and no information on planets beyond 3 AU, this will change as radial velocity programmes extend their temporal baseline: future results might reveal, for example, a population of planets residing in predominantly circular orbits which have never experienced significant scattering or migration. Or they might reveal additional giant planets for all systems with giant planets within 3 AU, as expected from dynamical evolution scenarios that involve mutual perturbations. Given that the Sun's planets are all either low in mass, or orbit at larger distances, and are therefore more difficult to discover using the Doppler technique, it is even possible that many planetary systems will turn out to be like our own.

4.6. Atmospheres

Predicted spectral properties of extra-solar planets were made in advance of their discovery, giving brightness versus mass and age (Burrows et al. 1995), and including sensitivity to parameters such as deuterium and helium abundance, rotation rate, and presence of a rock-ice core (Saumon et al. 1996). Models have been updated subsequently, including effects of the outer radiative zones caused by the strong external heating which inhibits atmospheric convection. For giants at the very small orbital distances of 51 Peg, one hundred times closer to its primary than Jupiter, Guillot et al. (1996) calculated radii and luminosities for a range of compositions (H/He, He, H₂O, and Mg₂SiO₄). They showed that such a planet is stable to classical Jeans evaporation, and to photodissociation and mass loss due to extreme ultraviolet radiation, even for tidally-locked planets, finding a mass loss rate for a gas giant at 0.05 AU of about $10^{-16} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

Hydrostatic evolution calculations and atmospheric models, including radiative effects, are being used to determine structures, radii (e.g. corresponding to 10 bar), equilibrium temperatures, luminosities (emitted and reflected), colours, and spectra of objects with temperatures from 1300 K down to 100 K (Burrows et al. 1997a; Burrows et al. 1997b; Guillot et al. 1997; Allard et al. 1998; Burrows et al. 1998; Marley 1998; Burrows & Sharp 1999; Marley et al. 1999). These will help to classify and characterise the planets as more information about them becomes available.

Evolutionary models in the luminosity/effective temperature (L_p/T_{eff}) plane show, for example, that a few million years after their formation, the temperatures of planets that are massive, young, or not substantially heated by their parent star, begin to decrease, and the planets thereafter evolve at almost constant radius (Guillot et al. 1997). The close-in, short-period planets are heated by the star such that their atmosphere cannot cool substantially. The longer period planets have a maximum radius at about $4 M_J$: for higher masses R_p decreases due to electron degeneracy; lower




Figure 13. Effective temperature versus mass (top), and radius versus mass (bottom) for some of the early planets and brown dwarf candidates (from Guillot et al. 1997). Lalande 21185 is an unconfirmed astrometric detection (see Section 3.2.2) and is shown as a small vertical line; Gliese 229 b (see Section 3.1) is a brown dwarf, and the slightly lower mass planets are possibly brown dwarfs also. The effective temperature and radius ranges reflect uncertainties in the albedo, and the mass ranges reflect a factor of two uncertainty in $\sin i$. Dotted curves correspond to models with ages of 10^{10} years. To the right in the top panel the lines depict the range of T_{eff} for which indicated species condense out near the photosphere. Hence H_2O vapour features should be absent from the planetary spectra of 70 Vir and 47 UMa, while Mg_2SiO_4 and Fe clouds might be in evidence in the spectra of τ Boo, ν And, and 51 Peg (courtesy of Tristan Guillot and Adam Burrows).

mass planets are smaller because their lower mass more than compensates for their lower density. The situation changes as stellar heating becomes significant: less massive planets are larger because their gravity is not large enough to counter thermal expansion.

Treatment of the close-in planets experiencing strong stellar irradiation, using detailed radiative transfer solutions to describe the interaction of the flux from the parent star at all relevant depths of the planetary atmosphere, has been carried out by Seager & Sasselov (1998). Compared with an isolated planet with the same effective temperature, H_2 Rayleigh scattering in dust-free models increases the planet's emission significantly shortward of Ca H and K at 393 nm, while inclusion of the effects of dust, formed high in their atmospheres, increases the reflected light in the blue. Detailed theoretical photometric (reflected) light curves and polarization curves for these close-in planets are now being derived (Seager et al. 2000).

The broad range of estimated equilibrium temperatures, from around 200 K in the case of 47 UMa to around 1500 K in the case of τ Bootis, signifies planetary atmospheres very different from each other, and from the planetary atmospheres in our Solar System, with important consequences for their composition and therefore their spectral appearance (Figure 13). With increasing temperature, chemical species likely to condense near the photosphere range from NH_3 , H_2O , and NH_4Cl at the lowest temperatures, up to MnS , MgSiO_3 , and Fe at the highest. Sudarsky et al. (2000) have proposed dividing the giant planets into four albedo classes, corresponding to four broad effective temperature ranges: a ‘Jovian’ class with tropospheric ammonia clouds ($T_{\text{eff}} \lesssim 150$ K); a ‘water cloud’ class primarily affected by condensed H_2O ($T_{\text{eff}} \sim 250$ K); a clear class lacking cloud ($T_{\text{eff}} \gtrsim 350$ K); and a high-temperature class for which alkali metal absorption predominates ($T_{\text{eff}} \gtrsim 900$ K). An accurate prediction of condensation in the atmospheres is important, since the presence of clouds and aerosols has a major influence on albedos. Planets with significant cloud cover are expected to reflect most of the stellar light (high albedo), hence reducing their infrared luminosity, but increasing the amount of reflected stellar radiation. When only rare species condense, the albedo will be small, and the effective temperature and infrared luminosity will be high.

As an example of the complexities faced in the modeling, H_2O can form visible clouds in the range $T_{\text{eff}} = 120 - 450$ K, which will strongly affect the planetary spectrum and albedo (Guillot et al. 1997). At higher temperatures, water would not be in vapour form, and would therefore not form clouds, but would still affect the spectrum significantly. At lower temperatures, water would condense too deep in the atmosphere to be detected by spectroscopy (as is the case for Saturn, with $T_{\text{eff}} = 95$ K).

5. Habitability and the search for life

The search for other planets is motivated by efforts to understand their formation mechanism and, by analogy, to gain an improved understanding of the formation of our own Solar System. Search accuracies will progressively improve to the point that the detection of telluric planets in the ‘habitable zone’ will become feasible, and there is presently no reason to assume that such planets will not exist in very large numbers. Improvements in spectroscopic measurements, whether from Earth or space, and developments of atmospheric modelling, will lead to searches for planets which are progressively habitable, inhabited by micro-organisms, and ultimately by intelligent life (these searches may or may not prove fruitless). Search strategies will be assisted by improved understanding of the conditions required for development of life on Earth (e.g. Gogarten 1998; Des Marais 1998; McKay 1998) combined with observational feasibility (e.g. Schneider 1994; Mariotti et al. 1997; Léger 1998). This will be a cross-disciplinary effort, with the participation of astronomers, chemists and biologists. The last few years has seen the establishment of a number of exo-biology initiatives (e.g. Cowan et al. 1999) and numerous conferences on the search for life (e.g. Cosmovici et al. 1997; Des Marais 1997; Woodward et al. 1998), beginning to quantify philosophical debate that has been

ongoing for centuries (Crowe 1986; Dick 1996). This section only touches upon some of these considerations.

Assessment of the suitability of a planet for supporting life, or habitability, is based on our knowledge of life on Earth. With the general consensus among biologists that carbon-based life requires water for its self-sustaining chemical reactions (Owen 1980), the search for habitable planets has therefore focused on identifying environments in which liquid water is stable over billions of years, as required for the development of advanced life on Earth. Earth's habitability over early geological time scales is complex and poorly understood, but its atmosphere is thought to have experienced an evolution in the greenhouse blanket of CO_2 and H_2O to accommodate the 30% increase in the Sun's luminosity over the last 4.6 billion years in order to sustain the presence of liquid water evident from geological records (Kasting 1996; Lean & Rind 1998; Lunine 1999a; Lunine 1999b). In the future, the Sun will increase to roughly three times its present luminosity by the time it leaves the main sequence, in about 5 Gyr.

The habitable zone is consequently presently defined by the range of distances from a star where liquid water can exist on the planet's surface (Hart 1979; Kasting et al. 1993; Kasting 1996; Williams et al. 1997). This is primarily controlled by the star-planet separation, but is affected by factors such as planet rotation combined with atmospheric convection (models for tidally locked, synchronous rotators, are given by Joshi & Haberle 1997). For Earth-like planets orbiting main-sequence stars, the inner edge is bounded by water loss and the runaway greenhouse effect, as exemplified by the CO_2 -rich atmosphere and resulting temperature of Venus. The outer boundary is determined by CO_2 condensation and runaway glaciation, but it may be extended outwards by factors such as internal heat sources including long-lived radionuclides (U^{235} , U^{238} , K^{40} etc., as on Earth, cf. Heppenheimer 1978b), tidal heating due to gravitational interactions (as in the case of Jupiter's moon Io), and pressure-induced far-infrared opacity of H_2 , since even for effective temperatures as low as 30 K, atmospheric basal temperatures can exceed the melting point of water (Stevenson 1999). These considerations result, for a $1 M_\odot$ star, in an inner habitability boundary at about 0.7 AU and an outer boundary at around 1.5 AU or beyond (some authors give a more restricted range). The habitable zone evolves outwards with time because of the increasing Sun's luminosity with age, resulting in a narrower width of the continuously habitable zone over ~ 4 Gyr of around 0.95–1.15 AU. Positive feedback due to the greenhouse effect and planetary albedo variations, and negative feedback due to the link between atmospheric CO_2 level and surface temperature may limit these boundaries further (Kasting et al. 1993; Kasting 1996). Migration of the habitable zone to much larger distances, 5–50 AU, during the short period of post-main-sequence evolution corresponding to the subgiant and red giant phases, has been considered by Lopez et al. (2000).

Within the ~ 1 AU habitability zone, Earth 'class' planets can be considered as those with masses between about $0.5\text{--}10 M_\oplus$ or, equivalently, radii between $0.8\text{--}2.2 R_\oplus$ (Borucki et al. 1997; see also Huang 1960). Planets below this mass in the habitable zone are likely to lose their life-supporting atmospheres because of their low gravity and

lack of plate tectonics, while more massive systems are unlikely to be habitable because they can attract a hydrogen-helium atmosphere and become gas giants.

Habitability is also likely to be governed by the range of stellar types for which life has enough time to evolve, i.e. stars not more massive than spectral type A. However, even F stars have narrower continuously habitable zones because they evolve more rapidly, while late K and M stars may not host habitable planets because they can become trapped in synchronous rotation due to tidal damping. Mid- to early-K and G stars may therefore be optimal for the development of life (Kasting et al. 1993). Simulations of the formation of habitable systems, within the framework of models of planet formation in general and our Solar System in particular, are given for example by Wetherill (1996) and Lissauer (1997a).

Other effects complicate considerations of habitability: in the absence of our Moon, thought to be an accident of accretion, an Earth-like planet would undergo large-amplitude chaotic fluctuations due to secular resonances (spin-axis/orbit axis or spin-axis precession/perihelion precession) on time scales of order 10 Myr, depending also on the planet's land-sea distribution. These motions would result in large local temperature excursions (Ward 1974; Laskar & Robutel 1993; Laskar et al. 1993; Williams & Kasting 1997) although perhaps not precluding the accompanying migration of life.

Owen (1980) argued that large-scale biological activity on a telluric planet necessarily produces a large quantity of O_2 . Photosynthesis builds organic molecules from CO_2 , with the help of H^+ ions which can be provided from different sources. In the case of oxygenic bacteria on Earth, H^+ ions are provided by the photodissociation of H_2O , in which case oxygen is produced as a by-product. However, this is not the case for anoxygenic bacteria, and thus O_2 is to be considered as a possible but not a necessary by-product of life. Indeed, Earth's atmosphere was O_2 -free until about 2 billion years ago, suppressed for more than 1.5 billion years after life originated (Kasting 1996). Owen (1980) noted the possibility, quantified by Schneider (1994) based on transit measurements, of using the 760-nm band of oxygen as a spectroscopic tracer of life on another planet since, being highly reactive with reducing rocks and volcanic gases, it would disappear in a short time in the absence of a continuous production mechanism. Plate tectonics and volcanic activity provide a sink for free O_2 , and are the result of internal planet heating by radioactive uranium and of silicate fluidity, both of which are expected to be generic whenever the mass of the planet is sufficient and when liquid water is present. For small enough planet masses, volcanic activity disappears some time after planet formation, as do the associated oxygen sinks.

Angel et al. (1986) showed that O_3 is itself a tracer of O_2 and, with a prominent spectral signature at $9.6\ \mu m$ in the infrared where the planet/star contrast is significantly stronger than in the optical, should be easier to detect than the visible wavelength lines. These considerations are motivating the development of infrared space interferometers (Section 3.1) for the study of lines such as H_2O at $6\text{--}8\ \mu m$, CH_4 at $7.7\ \mu m$, O_3 at $9.6\ \mu m$ and CO_2 at $15\ \mu m$ (Sagan 1997; Woolf & Angel 1998). Higher resolution studies might reveal the presence of CH_4 , its presence on Earth resulting from a balance between

anaerobic decomposition of organic matter and its interaction with atmospheric oxygen; its highly disequilibrium co-existence with O_2 could be strong evidence for the existence of life (Kasting 1996).

The possibility that O_3 is not an unambiguous identification of Earth-like biology but rather a result of abiotic processes (Owen 1980; Kasting 1996; Noll et al. 1997; Schneider 1999) was considered in detail by Léger et al. (1999). They considered various production processes such as abiotic photodissociation of CO_2 and H_2O followed by the preferential escape of hydrogen from the atmosphere. In addition, cometary bombardment could bring O_2 and O_3 sputtered from H_2O by energetic particles (cf. the ultraviolet spectral signature of O_3 in the satellites of Saturn, Rhea and Dione, Noll et al. 1997) according to the temperature, greenhouse blanketing, and presence of volcanic activity. They concluded that a simultaneous detection of significant amounts of H_2O and O_3 in the atmosphere of a planet in the habitable zone presently stands as a criterion for large-scale photosynthetic activity on the planet. Whether these would correspond to a true signature of a biological process is less clear. An absence of O_2 , on the contrary, would not necessarily imply the absence of life.

Habitability may be further confined within a narrow range of $[Fe/H]$ of the parent star (Gonzalez 1999b; see Section 4.3). If the occurrence of gas giants decreases at lower metallicities, their shielding of inner planets in the habitable zone from frequent cometary impacts, as occurs in our Solar System, would also be diminished (Wetherill 1994). At higher metallicity, asteroid and cometary debris left over from planetary formation may be more plentiful, enhancing impact probabilities.

Gonzalez (1999a) has investigated whether the anomalously small motion of the Sun with respect to the local standard of rest, both in terms of its pseudo-elliptical component within the Galactic plane, and its vertical excursion with respect to the mid-plane, may be explicable in anthropic terms. Such an orbit could provide effective shielding from high-energy ionising photons and cosmic rays from nearby supernovae, from the X-ray background by neutral hydrogen in the Galactic plane, and from temporary increases in the perturbed Oort comet impact rate. If chiral asymmetry is also a prerequisite for life (e.g. Bailey 1999) habitability may further depend on the polarisation environment of the star forming region.

Such complex considerations may imply that the fraction of habitable planets is small. The search for extra-terrestrial intelligence (SETI), nevertheless motivated by the belief that life is almost bound to emerge under conditions resembling those on the early Earth (e.g., Cocconi & Morrison 1959; Drake 1961; Townes 1997; Leigh & Horowitz 1997; Bhathal 2000) is not considered in this review. Evaluation of the probability that intelligent civilizations exist elsewhere in our Galaxy, for example through consideration of the Drake equation (e.g. Chyba 1997), or resolution of the ‘Fermi paradox’ (if other advanced civilizations exist, where are they?) may lie far in the future. Success or persistent failure will be of considerable significance. Concerns such as ‘who will speak for Earth’ when or if contact is made (Goldsmith 1988), are far from today’s scientific mainstream, but perhaps not as far as they were 10 years ago.

6. Summary

Precise radial velocity measurements have discovered 34 extrasolar giant planets within about 50 pc over the last 5 years. With many radial velocity monitoring programmes underway, and an occurrence rate of some 5% in systems measured to date, we may expect that some 100 such planets will be discovered over the next 5 years, some 10–20 of which may be close-in systems for which transit measurements may be possible. A number of other experimental techniques capable of detecting extra-solar planets are under development. Global space astrometric measurements at the 10 microarcsec level may furnish a list of 10–20 000 giant planets out to 100–200 pc by the year 2020, while accurate photometric monitoring from space should lead to the detection and characterisation of significantly lower mass planets. Together, such data sets will provide a vast statistical description of planetary masses, orbits, and eccentricities, allowing important constraints to be placed on the complex processes believed to be involved in planetary formation. Improved knowledge of individual systems, in particular from transit measurements, combined with improved atmospheric modelling and theories of habitability, will narrow down the range of identified planets on which life may have developed. Nearby, Earth-mass planets should exist, and would be the natural targets for infrared space interferometers which, by 2020, may succeed in imaging and providing evidence for life on them. We now know that other worlds – large ones at least – are common. Developments have been so rapid over the last few years that many significant developments, and many new surprises, can be predicted with confidence.

Acknowledgments

I am grateful to the European Southern Observatory for hospitality while writing the major part of this review. Its preparation has benefitted from up-to-date information on systems and www links of the Extra-Solar Planets Encyclopaedia maintained by Dr Jean Schneider (<http://www.obspm.fr/planets>) and updated orbital parameters maintained by Dr Geoff Marcy (<http://exoplanets.org/>). On-line journals, the NASA Astrophysics Data System (ADS), and Latex/Bibtex have facilitated its preparation. I am grateful to all colleagues who readily furnished preprints and authorised the use of their figures for this review. Figures originally published in the Astrophysical Journal are reproduced by permission of the AAS. It is a pleasure to thank G. Marcy (U.C. Berkeley and San Francisco State University), P.D. Sackett (University of Groningen) and F.P. Israel and P.T. de Zeeuw (Sterrewacht Leiden) for comments on the manuscript. I am particularly grateful to J. Schneider (Observatoire de Paris-Meudon) for numerous valuable and perceptive suggestions for improvements and additions.

Note added in proof: a further 8 planets were reported, from the Coralie spectrometer observations, in a press release from the European Southern Observatory, 2000 May 4.

References

- Adams F C, Benz W 1992 Gravitational Instabilities in Circumstellar Disks and the Formation of Binary Companions in H A McAlister, W I Hartkopf, eds., *Complementary Approaches to Double and Multiple Star Research* ASP Conf. Series 32 185–194 San Francisco
- Adams F C, Fatuzzo M 1996 A Theory of the Initial Mass Function for Star Formation in Molecular Clouds *Astrophys J* **464** 256–271
- Afonso C, Alard C, Albert J N, et al. 1998 EROS2 Intensive Observation of the Caustic Crossing of Microlensing Event MACHO SMC-98-1 *Astron Astrophys* **337** L17–L20
- 2000 Combined Analysis of the Binary Lens Caustic-Crossing Event MACHO SMC-98-1 *Astrophys J* **532** 340–352
- Alard C 1996 First Results of the DUO Programme in C S Kochanek, J N Hewitt, eds., *Astrophysical Applications of Gravitational Lensing* IAU Symp. 173 215–220 Kluwer, Dordrecht
- Albrow M D, An J, Beaulieu J P, et al. 2000a PLANET Observations of Microlensing Event OGLE 1999-BUL-23: Limb Darkening Measurement of the Source Star *Astrophys J* **in press**
- Albrow M D, Beaulieu J P, Birch P, et al. 1998 The 1995 Pilot Campaign of PLANET: Searching for Microlensing Anomalies through Precise, Rapid, Round-the-Clock Monitoring *Astrophys J* **509** 687–702
- Albrow M D, Beaulieu J P, Caldwell J A R, et al. 1999a Limb Darkening of a K Giant in the Galactic Bulge: PLANET Photometry of MACHO 97-BLG-28 *Astrophys J* **522** 1011–1021
- 1999b The Relative Lens-Source Proper Motion in MACHO 98-SMC-1 *Astrophys J* **512** 672–677
- 2000b Detection of Rotation in a Binary Microlens: PLANET Photometry of MACHO 97-BLG-41 *Astrophys J* **534** 894–906
- 2000c Limits on Stellar and Planetary Companions in Microlensing Event OGLE-1998-BUL-14 *Astrophys J* **535** 176–189
- Albrow M D, Birch P, Caldwell J, et al. 1996 The PLANET Collaboration in C S Kochanek, J N Hewitt, eds., *Astrophysical Applications of Gravitational Lensing* IAU Symp. 173 227–228 Kluwer, Dordrecht
- Alcock C, Akerlof C W, Allsman R A, et al. 1993 Possible Gravitational Microlensing of a Star in the Large Magellanic Cloud *Nature* **365** 621–623
- Alcock C, Allsman R A, Alves D, et al. 1997 The MACHO Project LMC Microlensing Results from the First Two Years and the Nature of the Galactic Dark Halo *Astrophys J* **486** 697–726
- 1998 EROS and MACHO Combined Limits on Planetary Mass Dark Matter in the Galactic Halo *Astrophys J* **499** L9–L12
- 1999 Discovery and Characterization of a Caustic Crossing Microlensing Event in the SMC *Astrophys J* **518** 44–49
- Alexander J B 1967 A Possible Source of Lithium in the Atmospheres of Some Red Giants *Observatory* **87** 238–240
- Allard F, Alexander D R, Hauschildt P H 1998 Model Atmospheres of Very Low Mass Stars and Brown Dwarfs in R A Donahue, J A Bookbinder, eds., *Cool Stars, Stellar Systems and the Sun; Proceedings of the 10th Cambridge Workshop* ASP Conf. Series 154 63–76 San Francisco
- Angel J R P 1994 Ground-Based Imaging of Extrasolar Planets using Adaptive Optics *Nature* **368** 203–207
- 1998 Sensitivity of Nulling Interferometers to Extra-Zodiacal Emission in *Exozodiacal Dust* 209
- Angel J R P, Cheng A Y S, Woolf N J 1986 A Space Telescope for Infrared Spectroscopy of Earth-Like Planets *Nature* **322** 341–343
- Angel J R P, Woolf N J 1996 Searching for Life on Other Planets *Sci Am* **274** (April) 46–52
- 1997a An Imaging, Nulling Interferometer to Study Extrasolar Planets *Astrophys J* **475** 373–379
- 1997b The Large Binocular Telescope: A Unique Scientific and Technology Precursor to Planet Finder in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 207–222 San Francisco
- Artymowicz P 1993 Disk-Satellite Interaction via Density Waves and the Eccentricity Evolution of

- Bodies Embedded in Disks *Astrophys J* **419** 166–180
- 1997 Beta Pictoris: An Early Solar System *Ann Rev Earth Planet Sci* **25** 175–219
- Artymowicz P, Lubow S H 1996 Mass Flow through Gaps in Circumbinary Disks *Astrophys J* **467** L77–L80
- Arzoumanian A, Joshi K, Rasio F A, Thorsett S E 1996 Orbital Parameters of the PSR B1620–26 Triple System in S Johnston, M A Walker, M Bailes, eds., *Pulsars: Problems and Progress* ASP Conf. Series 105 525–530 San Francisco
- Aubourg E, Bareyre P, Bréhin S, et al. 1993 Evidence for Gravitational Microlensing by Dark Objects in the Galactic Halo *Nature* **365** 623–625
- Bailes M 1996 Millisecond Pulsar Surveys in S Johnston, M A Walker, M Bailes, eds., *Pulsars: Problems and Progress* ASP Conf. Series 105 3–10 San Francisco
- Bailes M, Lyne A G, Shemar S L 1991 A Planet Orbiting the Neutron Star PSR 1829–10 *Nature* **352** 311–313
- Bailey J 1999 Circular Polarization and the Origin of Biomolecular Homochirality in *Bioastronomy 99: A New Era in Bioastronomy* 6th Bioastronomy Meeting 7
- Bally J 1994 Infrared and Sub-Millimeter Searches for Extra-solar Planetary Systems from Antarctica *Astrophys Space Sci* **212** 395–406
- Banit M, Ruderman M A, Shaham J, Applegate J H 1993 Formation of Planets around Pulsars *Astrophys J* **415** 779–796
- Basri G 2000 The Discovery of Brown Dwarfs *Sci Am* (**April**) 57–63
- Beckwith S V W 1999 Circumstellar Disks in C J Lada, N D Kylafis, eds., *The Origin of Stars and Planetary Systems* 579–612 Kluwer, Dordrecht
- Beckwith S V W, Sargent A I 1996 Circumstellar Disks and the Search for Neighbouring Planetary Systems *Nature* **383** 139–144
- Beichman C A 1996 A Road Map for the Exploration of Neighboring Planetary Systems (ExNPS) Technical Report 96-22 JPL
- 1998 Terrestrial Planet Finder: The Search for Life-Bearing Planets Around Other Stars *SPIE* **3350** 719
- Béjar V J S, Zapatero Osorio M R, Rebolo R 1999 A Search for Very Low Mass Stars and Brown Dwarfs in the Young σ Orionis Cluster *Astrophys J* **521** 671–681
- Bender P L, Stebbins R T 1996 Multiresolution-Element Imaging of Extrasolar Earth-Like Planets *J Geophys Res* **101**(E4) 9309–9312
- Benest D 1998 Stable Planetary Orbits in Double Star Systems in R Rebolo, E L Martín, M R Zapatero Osorio, eds., *Brown Dwarfs and Extrasolar Planets* ASP Conf. Series 134 277–279 San Francisco
- Bennett D P, Alcock C, Allsman R A, et al. 1997 Planetary Microlensing from the MACHO Project in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 95–99 San Francisco
- Bennett D P, Rhie S H 1996 Detecting Earth-Mass Planets with Gravitational Microlensing *Astrophys J* **472** 660–664
- Bennett D P, Rhie S H, Becker A C, Butler N, Dann J, Kaspi S, Leibowitz E M, Lipkin Y, Maoz D, Mendelson H, Peterson B A, Quinn J, Shemmer O, Thomson S, Turner S E 1999 Gravitational Microlensing Evidence for a Planet Orbiting a Binary Star System *Nature* **402** 57–59
- Bernstein H H 1997 Astrometric Indications of Brown Dwarfs Based on Hipparcos Data in M A C Perryman, P L Bernacca, eds., *Hipparcos Venice 97* ESA SP-402 705–708 ESA, Noordwijk
- Bernstein H H, Bastian U 1995 Finding Planets and Brown Dwarfs with GAIA in M A C Perryman, F van Leeuwen, eds., *Future Possibilities for Astrometry in Space* ESA SP-379 55–59 ESA, Noordwijk
- Beust H, Lagrange A M, Crwaford I A, Goudard C, Spyromilio J, Vidal-Madjar A 1998 The β Pictoris Circumstellar Disk. XXV. The Ca II Absorption Lines and the Falling Evaporating Bodies Model Revisited Using UHRF Observations *Astron Astrophys* **338** 1015–1030

- Beust H, Vidal-Madjar A, Ferlet R, Lagrange-Henri A M 1994 Cometary-Like Bodies in the Protoplanetary Disk around β Pictoris *Astrophys Space Sci* **212** 147–157
- Bhathal R 2000 The Case for Optical SETI *Astron Geophys* **41(1)** 25–26
- Black D C, Scargle J D 1982 On the Detection of Other Planetary Systems by Astrometric Techniques *Astrophys J* **263** 854–869
- Blum J, Wurm G 2000 Experiments on Sticking, Restructuring, and Fragmentation of Proplanetary Dust Aggregates *Icarus* **143** 138–146
- Boden A, Unwin S, Shao M 1997 Global Astrometry with the Space Interferometry Mission in M A C Perryman, P L Bernacca, eds., *Hipparcos Venice 97* ESA SP-402 789–794 ESA, Noordwijk
- Boden A F, Shao M, van Buren D 1998 Astrometric Observations of MACHO Gravitational Microlensing *Astrophys J* **502** 538–549
- Bodenheimer P 1995 Angular Momentum Evolution of Young Stars and Disks *Ann Rev Astron Astrophys* **33** 199–238
- 1998 Formation of Substellar Objects Orbiting Stars in R Rebolo, E L Martín, M R Zapatero Osorio, eds., *Brown Dwarfs and Extrasolar Planets* ASP Conf. Series 134 115–127 San Francisco
- Bodenheimer P, Hubickyj O, Lissauer J J 2000 Models of the In Situ Formation of Detected Extrasolar Giant Planets *Icarus* **143** 2–14
- Bodenheimer P, Pollack J B 1986 Calculations of the Accretion and Evolution of Giant Planets: the Effect of Solid Cores *Icarus* **67** 391–408
- Böker T, Allen R J 1999 Imaging and Nulling with the Space Interferometer Mission *Astrophys J Suppl* **124** 123–142
- Bolatto A D, Falco E E 1994 The Detectability of Planetary Companions of Compact Galactic Objects from their Effects on Microlensed Light Curves of Distant Stars *Astrophys J* **436** 112–116
- Bonneau D, Josse M, Labeyrie A 1975 Lock-In Image Subtraction: Detectability of Circumstellar Planets with the Large Space Telescope in C de Jager, H Nieuwenhuijzen, eds., *Proc. Image Processing Techniques in Astronomy* 403–409 Reidel, Dordrecht
- Bonnell I A 1999 Multiple Stellar Systems: From Binaries to Clusters in C J Lada, N D Kylafis, eds., *The Origin of Stars and Planetary Systems* 479–514 Kluwer, Dordrecht
- Borucki W J, Koch D G, Dunham E W, Jenkins J 1997 The Kepler Mission: A Mission to Determine the Frequency of Inner Planets Near the Habitable Zone for a Wide Range of Stars in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 153–173 San Francisco
- Borucki W J, Scargle J D, Hudson H S 1985 Detectability of Extrasolar Planetary Transits *Astrophys J* **291** 852–854
- Borucki W J, Summers A L 1984 The Photometric Method of Detecting Other Planetary Systems *Icarus* **58** 121–134
- Boss A P 1986 Theoretical Determination of the Minimum Protostellar Mass in M C Kafatos, R S Harrington, S P Maran, eds., *Astrophysics of Brown Dwarfs* Cambridge University Press 206–211
- 1988 Protostellar Formation in Rotating Interstellar Clouds. VII. Opacity and Fragmentation *Astrophys J* **331** 370–376
- 1995 Proximity of Jupiter-Like Planets to Low-Mass Stars *Science* **267** 360–362
- 1998a Evolution of the Solar Nebula. IV. Giant Gaseous Protoplanet Formation *Astrophys J* **503** 923–927
- 1998b The Origin of Protoplanetary Disks in C E Woodward, J M Shull, H A Thronson, eds., *Origins* ASP Conf. Series 148 314–326 San Francisco
- Bouchy F, Connes P, Bertaux J L 1999 A New Spectrograph Dedicated to Precise Stellar Radial Velocities in J B Hearnshaw, C D Scarfe, eds., *Precise Stellar Radial Velocities*, *IAU Coll. 170* ASP Conf. Series 185 22–28 San Francisco
- Boutreux T, Gould A 1996 Monte Carlo Simulations of MACHO Parallaxes from a Satellite *Astrophys J* **462** 705–711
- Bracewell R 1978 Detecting Nonsolar Planets by Spinning Infrared Interferometer *Nature* **274** 780–781

- Bracewell R, MacPhie R 1979 Searching for Nonsolar Planets *Icarus* **38** 136–147
- Bromley B C 1992 Detecting Faint Echoes in Stellar-Flare Light Curves *Publ Astr Soc Pac* **104** 1049–1053
- Brown T M, Charbonneau D, Gilliland R L, et al. 2000 HST Photometry of 47 Tucanae: Time Series Analysis and Search for Giant Planets *Astron Astrophys Suppl* **196th Meeting**, **2.03**
- Brown T M, Kotak R, Horner S D, Kennelly E J, Korzennik S, Nisenson P, Noyes R W 1998a A Search for Line Shape and Depth Variations in 51 Pegasi and τ Bootis *Astrophys J* **494** L85–L88
- 1998b Exoplanets or Dynamic Atmospheres? The Radial Velocity and Line Shape Variations of 51 Pegasi and τ Bootis *Astrophys J Suppl* **117** 563–585
- Brown T M, Noyes R W, Nisenson P, Korzennik S G, Horner S 1994 The AFOE: a Spectrograph for Precise Doppler Studies *Publ Astr Soc Pac* **86** 1285–1297
- Bryden G, Chen X, Lin D N C, et al. 1999 Tidally Induced Gap Formation in Protostellar Disks: Gap Clearing and Suppression of Protoplanetary Growth *Astrophys J* **514** 344–367
- Burrows A, Guillot T, Hubbard W B, Marley M S, Saumon D, Lunine J I, Sudarsky D 2000 On the Radii of Close-in Giant Planets *Astrophys J* **534** L97–L100
- Burrows A, Hubbard W B, Lunine J I, Marley M, Guillot T, Saumon D, Freedman R 1997a Extra-Solar Giant Planet and Brown Dwarf Models in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 9–17 San Francisco
- Burrows A, Hubbard W B, Saumon D, Lunine J I 1993 An Expanded Set of Brown Dwarf and Very Low Mass Star Models *Astrophys J* **406** 158–171
- Burrows A, Liebert J 1993 The Science of Brown Dwarfs *Rev Mod Phys* **65(2)** 301–336
- Burrows A, Marley M, Hubbard W B, Lunine J I, Guillot T, Saumon D, Freedman R, Sudarsky D, Sharp G 1997b A Nongray Theory of Extrasolar Giant Planets and Brown Dwarfs *Astrophys J* **491** 856–875
- Burrows A, Marley M, Hubbard W B, Sudarsky D, Sharp G, Lunine J I, Guillot T, Saumon D, Freedman R 1998 The Spectral Character of Giant Planets and Brown Dwarfs in R A Donahue, J A Bookbinder, eds., *Cool Stars, Stellar Systems and the Sun; Proceedings of the 10th Cambridge Workshop* ASP Conf. Series 154 27–46 San Francisco
- Burrows A, Saumon D, Guillot T, Hubbard W B, Lunine J I 1995 Prospects for Detection of Extra-Solar Giant Planets by Next-Generation Telescopes *Nature* **375** 299–301
- Burrows A, Sharp C M 1999 Chemical Equilibrium Abundances in Brown Dwarf and Extrasolar Giant Planet Atmospheres *Astron J* **512** 843–863
- Butler R P, Marcy G W 1996 A Planet Orbiting 47 Ursae Majoris *Astrophys J* **464** L153–L156
- 1997 The Lick Observatory Planet Search in C B Cosmovici, S Bowyer, D Werthimer, eds., *Astronomical and Biochemical Origins and the Search for Life in the Universe*, IAU Coll. 161 331–342 Editrice Compositori, Italy
- 1998 The Near-Term Future of Extrasolar Planet Searches in R Rebolo, E L Martín, M R Zapatero Osorio, eds., *Brown Dwarfs and Extrasolar Planets* 162–168 Tenerife, Spain
- Butler R P, Marcy G W, Fischer D A, et al. 1999 Evidence for Multiple Companions to ν Andromedae *Astrophys J* **526** 916–927
- Butler R P, Marcy G W, Vogt S S, Apps K 1998 A Planet with a 3.1 Day Period Around a Solar Twin *Publ Astr Soc Pac* **110** 1389–1395
- Butler R P, Marcy G W, Williams E, Hauser H, Shirts P 1997 Three New 51 Pegasi-Type Planets *Astrophys J* **474** L115–L118
- Butler R P, Marcy G W, Williams E, et al. 1996 Attaining Doppler Precision of 3 m s^{-1} *Publ Astr Soc Pac* **108** 500–509
- Campbell B, Walker G A H 1979 Precision Radial Velocities with an Absorption Cell *Publ Astr Soc Pac* **91** 540–545
- Campbell B, Walker G A H, Yang S 1988 A Search for Substellar Companions to Solar-type Stars *Astrophys J* **331** 902–921
- Canup R M, Levison H F, Stewart G R 1999 Evolution of a Terrestrial Multiple-Moon System *Astron*

- J* **117** 603–620
- Chambers J E, Wetherill G W 1998 Making the Terrestrial Planets: N-Body Integrations of Planetary Embryos in Three Dimensions *Icarus* **136** 304–327
- Chambers J E, Wetherill G W, Boss A P 1996 The Stability of Multi-Planet Systems *Icarus* **119** 261–268
- Charbonneau D, Brown T M, Latham D W, Mayor M 2000 Detection of Planetary Transits Across a Sun-Like Star *Astrophys J* **529** L45–L48
- Charbonneau D, Jha S, Noyes R W 1998 Spectral Line Distortions in the Presence of a Close-In Planet *Astrophys J* **507** L153–156
- Charbonneau D, Noyes R W, Korzennik S G, Nisenson P, Jha S, Vogt S S, Kibrick R I 1999 An Upper Limit on the Reflected Light from the Planet Orbiting the Star τ Bootis *Astrophys J* **522** L145–L148
- Chyba C F 1997 Catastrophic Impacts and the Drake Equation in C B Cosmovici, S Bowyer, D Werthimer, eds., *Astronomical and Biochemical Origins and the Search for Life in the Universe*, IAU Coll. 161 157–164 Editrice Compositori, Italy
- Cocconi G, Morrison P 1959 Searching for Interstellar Communications *Nature* **184** 844–846
- Cochran W D, Hatzes A P 1994 A High Precision Radial-Velocity Survey for Other Planetary Systems *Astrophys Space Sci* **212** 281–291
- Cochran W D, Hatzes A P, Butler R P, Marcy G W 1997 The Discovery of a Planetary Companion to 16 Cygni B *Astrophys J* **483** 457–463
- Cochran W D, Hatzes A P, Hancock T J 1991 Constraints on the Companion Object to HD 114762 *Astrophys J* **380** L35–L38
- Colavita M M 1997 Applications of Ground-Based Optical/IR Interferometry in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 87–89 San Francisco
- Colavita M M, Boden A F, Crawford S L, et al. 1998 Keck Interferometer *SPIE* **3350** 776
- Colavita M M, Shao M 1994 Indirect Planet Detection with Ground-Based Long-Baseline Interferometry *Astrophys Space Sci* **212** 385–390
- Collier Cameron A, Horne K, Penny A, James D 1999 Probable Detection of Starlight Reflected from the Giant Planet Orbiting τ Bootis *Nature* **402** 751–755
- Connes P 1994 Development of Absolute Accelerometry *Astrophys Space Sci* **212** 357–367
- Copi C J, Starkman G D 2000 The Big Occulting Steerable Satellite (BOSS) *Astrophys J* **532** 581–592
- Cosmovici C B, Bowyer S, Werthimer D 1997 *Astronomical and Biochemical Origins and the Search for Life in the Universe*, IAU Coll. 161 Editrice Compositori, Capri, Italy
- Cowan D, Grady M, Penny A, et al. 1999 Astrobiology in the UK Technical report Community Report to the British National Space Centre
- Crowe M J 1986 *The Extraterrestrial Life Debate* Cambridge University Press
- Cruikshank D P, Werner M W 1997 The Study of Planetary Systems with the Space Infrared Telescope Facility (SIRTF) in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 223–243 San Francisco
- Cumming A, Marcy G W, Butler R P 1999 The Lick Planet Search: Detectability and Mass Thresholds *Astrophys J* **526** 890–915
- Danner R, Unwin S 1999 *SIM: Taking the Measure of the Universe* NASA/JPL
- D’Antona F, Mazzitelli I 1985 Evolution of Very Low Mass Stars and Brown Dwarfs. I. The Minimum Main-Sequence Mass and Luminosity *Astrophys J* **296** 502–513
- de la Fuente Marcos C, de la Fuente Marcos R 1997 Eccentric Giants Planets in Open Star Clusters *Astron Astrophys* **326** L21–L24
- Deeg H J 1998 Photometric Detection of Extrasolar Planets by the Transit Method in R Rebolo, E L Martín, M R Zapatero Osorio, eds., *Brown Dwarfs and Extrasolar Planets* ASP Conf. Series 134 216–223 San Francisco
- Deeg H J, Doyle L R, Kozhevnikov V P, Blue J E, Martín E L, Schneider J 2000 A Search for Jovian-

- Mass Planets around CM Draconis Using Eclipse Minima Timing *Astron Astrophys* **in press**
- Deeg H J, Doyle L R, Kozhevnikov V P, et al. 1998 Near-Term Detectability of Terrestrial Extrasolar Planets: TEP Network Observations of CM Draconis *Astron Astrophys* **338** 479–490
- Deleuil M, Barge P, Léger A, Schneider J 1997 Detection of Earth-Sized Planets with the COROT Space Mission in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 259–262 San Francisco
- Delfosse X, Forveille T, Mayor M, Perrier G, Naef D, Queloz D 1998 The Closest Extrasolar Planet. A Giant Planet Around the M4 Dwarf Gl 876 *Astron Astrophys* **338** L67–L70
- Demiański M, Prószyński M 1979 Does PSR0329+54 have Companions *Nature* **282** 383–385
- Des Marais D 1997 *The Blue Dot Workshop: Spectroscopic Search for Life on Extrasolar Planets* NASA Ames Research Center CP 10154
- Des Marais D J 1998 Earth's Early Biosphere and its Environment in C E Woodward, J M Shull, H A Thronson, eds., *Origins* ASP Conf. Series 148 415–434 San Francisco
- Desch S J, Cuzzi J N 2000 The Generation of Lightning in the Solar Nebula *Icarus* **143** 87–105
- Di Stefano R, Scalzo R A 1999 A New Channel for the Detection of Planetary Systems through Microlensing. II. Repeating Events *Astrophys J* **512** 579–600
- Dick S J 1996 *The Biological Universe* Cambridge University Press
- Dominik C, Laureijs R J, Jourdain de Muizon M, Habing H J 1998 A Vega-Like Disk Associated with the Planetary System of ρ^1 Cnc *Astron Astrophys* **329** L53–L56
- Dominik M, Sahu K C 2000 Astrometric Microlensing of Stars *Astrophys J* **534** 213–226
- Doyle L, Rottler L, Blue J E, Deeg H J, Navaarate M 1999 Extrasolar Planets Around Eclipsing Binaries I: A Photometric Search of Baade's Third Window in the Galactic Plane in *Bioastronomy 99: A New Era in Bioastronomy* 6th Bioastronomy Meeting 73
- Doyle L R 1988 Progress in Determining the Space Orientation of Stars in G Marx, ed., *Bioastronomy: The Next Steps* 101–105 Kluwer
- Doyle L R, Dunham E T, Deeg H J, Blue J E, Jenkins J M 1996 Ground-Based Detectability of Terrestrial and Jovian Extrasolar Planets: Observations of CM Draconis at Lick Observatory *J Geophys Res* **101(E6)** 14823–14829
- Drake F D 1961 Project OZMA *Physics Today* **14** 40–46
- Duquenois A, Mayor M 1991 Multiplicity Among Solar Type Stars in the Solar Neighbourhood. II Distribution of the Orbital Elements in an Unbiased Sample *Astron Astrophys* **248** 485–524
- Einstein A 1936 Lens-Like Action of a Star by the Deviation of Light in the Gravitational Field *Science* **84** 506–507
- Elliott J L 1978 Direct Imaging of Extra-Solar Planets with Stationary Occultations Viewed by a Space Telescope *Icarus* **35** 156–164
- Elmegreen B G 1999 The Stellar Initial Mass Function from Random Sampling in Hierarchical Clouds. II. Statistical Fluctuations and a Mass Dependence for Starbirth Positions and Times *Astrophys J* **515** 323–336
- ESA 1997 *The Hipparcos and Tycho Catalogues*, ESA SP-1200 European Space Agency, Noordwijk
- Farrell W M, Desch M D, Zarka P 1999 On the Possibility of Coherent Cyclotron Emission from Extrasolar Planets *J Geophys Res* **104(E6)** 14025–14032
- Fischer D A, Marcy G W, Butler R P, Vogt S S, Apps K 1999 Planetary Companions around Two Solar-Type Stars: HD 195019 and HD 217107 *Publ Astr Soc Pac* **111** 50–56
- Ford E B, Joshi K J, Rasio F A, Zbarsky B 2000 Theoretical Implications of the PSR B1620-26 Triple System and its Planet *Astrophys J* **528** 336–350
- Ford E B, Rasio F A, Sills A 1999 Structure and Evolution of Nearby Stars with Planets. I. Short-Period Systems *Astrophys J* **514** 411–429
- Fridlund C V M 2000 Darwin: the Infrared Space Interferometer in B Schürmann, ed., *Darwin and Astronomy* 11–18 ESA SP-451, Noordwijk, NL
- Fuhrmann K, Pfeiffer M, Bernkopf J 1997 Solar-Type Stars with Planetary Companions: 51 Pegasi and 47 Ursae Majoris *Astron Astrophys* **326** 1081–1089

- 1998 F- and G-type Stars with Planetary Companions: ν Andromedae, ρ^1 Cancri, τ Bootis, 16 Cygni and ρ Coronae Borealis *Astron Astrophys* **336** 942–952
- Gatewood G D 1987 The Multichannel Astrometric Photometer and Atmospheric Limitations in the Measurement of Relative Positions *Astron J* **94** 213–214
- 1996 Lalande 21185 *Bull Amer Astron Soc* **188** 40.11
- Gaudi B S 1998 Distinguishing Between Binary-Source and Planetary Microlensing Perturbations *Astrophys J* **506** 533–539
- Gaudi B S, Albrow M D, An J H, et al. 2000 Microlensing Constraints on the Frequency of Jupiter Mass Planets in J W Menzies, P D Sackett, eds., *Microlensing 2000: A New Era of Microlensing Surveys* ASP Conf. Series San Francisco
- Gaudi B S, Gould A 1997 Planet Parameters in Microlensing Events *Astrophys J* **486** 85–99
- Gaudi B S, Naber R, Sackett P D 1998 Microlensing by Multiple Planets in High-Magnification Events *Astrophys J* **502** L33–L37
- Gaudi B S, Sackett P D 2000 Detection Efficiencies of Microlensing Datasets to Stellar and Planetary Companions *Astrophys J* **528** 56–73
- Germain M, Urban S, Murison M, et al. 1997 Fizeau Astrometric Mapping Explorer in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 273–276 San Francisco
- Gilliland R 1999 A Search for Planetary Companions in the Globular Cluster 47 Tucanae *Space Telescope Science Institute Newsletter* **16(3)** 1–4
- Gilmore G, de Boer K S, Favata F, et al. 2000 GAIA: Origin and Evolution of the Milky Way in J B Brekinridge, P Jakobsen, eds., *UV, Optical and IR Space Telescopes and Instruments* SPIE 4013
- Gilmozzi R, Delabre B, Dierickx P, Hubin N N, Koch F, Monnet G, Quattri M, Rigaut F J, Wilson R N 1998 Future of Filled Aperture Telescopes: is a 100-m Feasible? *SPIE* **3352** 778–791
- Giménez A 2000 *ubvy* Photometry of Stars with Planets *Astron Astrophys* **356** 213–217
- Gladman B 1993 Dynamics of Systems of Two Close Planets *Icarus* **106** 247–263
- Gogarten J P 1998 Origin and Early Evolution of Life: Deciphering the Molecular Record in C E Woodward, J M Shull, H A Thronson, eds., *Origins* ASP Conf. Series 148 435–448 San Francisco
- Goldreich P, Tremaine S 1980 Disk-Satellite Interactions *Astrophys J* **241** 425–441
- Goldreich P, Ward W R 1973 The Formation of Planetesimals *Astrophys J* **183** 1051–1061
- Goldsmith D 1988 Who Will Speak for the Earth? in G Marx, ed., *Bioastronomy: The Next Steps* 425–428 Kluwer
- Gonzalez G 1997 The Stellar Metallicity-Giant Planet Connection *Mon Not R Astr Soc* **285** 403–412
- 1998 Spectroscopic Analyses of the Parent Stars of Extrasolar Planetary System Candidates *Astron Astrophys* **334** 221–238
- 1999a Are Stars with Planets Anomalous? *Mon Not R Astr Soc* **308** 447–458
- 1999b Is the Sun Anomalous? *Astron Geophys* **40(5)** 25–29
- Gonzalez G, Laws C 2000 Parent Stars of Extrasolar Planets V: HD 75289 *Astron J* **119** 390–396
- Gonzalez G, Vanture A 1998 Parent Stars of Extrasolar Planets III. ρ^1 Cnc Revisited *Astron Astrophys* **339** L29–L32
- Gonzalez G, Wallerstein G, Saar S H 1999 Parent Stars of Extrasolar Planets. IV. 14 Herculis, HD 187123, and HD 210277 *Astrophys J* **511** L111–L114
- Gott J R 1981 Are Heavy Halos Made of Low Mass Stars - A Gravitational Lens Test *Astrophys J* **243** 140–146
- Gould A 1996 Microlensing and the Stellar Mass Function *Publ Astr Soc Pac* **108** 465–476
- Gould A, Loeb A 1992 Discovering Planetary Systems through Gravitational Microlensing *Astrophys J* **396** 104–114
- Grady C A, Sitko M L, Bjorkman K S, Perez M R, Lynch D K, Russell R W, Hanner M S 1997 The Star-Grazing Extrasolar Comets in the HD 100546 System *Astrophys J* **483** 449–456
- Graff D S, Gaudi B S 2000 Direct Detection of Giant Close-In Planets around the Source Stars of Caustic-Crossing Microlensing Events *Astrophys J* **in press**

- Gray D F 1997 Absence of a Planetary Signature in the Spectra of the Star 51 Pegasi *Nature* **385** 795–796
- 1998 A Planetary Companion for 51 Pegasi Implied by Absence of Pulsations in the Stellar Spectra *Nature* **391** 153–154
- Gray D F, Hatzes A P 1997 Non-Radial Oscillation in the Solar-Temperature Star 51 Pegasi *Astrophys J* **490** 412–424
- Greaves J S, Holland W S, Moriarty-Schiven G, Dent W R F, Zuckerman B, McCarthy C, Webb R A, Butner H M, Gear W K, Walker H J 1998 A Dust Ring around ϵ Eridani: Analog to the Young Solar System *Astrophys J* **506** L133–L137
- Griest K 1991 Galactic Microlensing as a Method of Detecting Massive Compact Halo Objects *Astrophys J* **366** 412–421
- Griest K, Safizadeh N 1998 The Use of High-Magnification Microlensing Events in Discovering Extrasolar Planets *Astrophys J* **500** 37–50
- Griffin R, Griffin R 1973 On the Possibility of Determining Stellar Radial Velocities to 0.01 km s^{-1} *Mon Not R Astr Soc* **162** 243–253
- Guillot T, Burrows A, Hubbard W B, Lunine J I, Saumon D 1996 Giant Planets at Small Orbital Distances *Astrophys J* **459** L35–38
- Guillot T, Saumon D, Burrows A, Hubbard W B, Lunine J I, Marley M S, Freedman R S 1997 On the Nature of the Newly Discovered Extrasolar Planets in C B Cosmovici, S Bowyer, D Werthimer, eds., *Astronomical and Biochemical Origins and the Search for Life in the Universe*, IAU Coll. 161 343–350 Editrice Compositori, Italy
- Hahn J M, Malhotra R 1999 Orbital Evolution of Planets Embedded in a Planetesimal Disk *Astrophys J* **117** 3041–3053
- Halbwachs J L, Arenou F, Mayor M, Udry S, Queloz D 2000 Exploring the Brown Dwarf Desert with Hipparcos *Astron Astrophys* **355** 581–594
- Hale A 1994 Orbital Coplanarity in Solar-Type Binary Systems: Implications for Planetary System Formation and Detection *Astron J* **107** 306–332
- Hale A, Doyle L R 1994 The Photometric Method of Extrasolar Planet Detection Revisited *Astrophys Space Sci* **212** 335–348
- Han C, Chang K 1999 The Applicability of the Astrometric Method in Determining the Physical Parameters of Gravitational Microlenses *Mon Not R Astr Soc* **304** 845–850
- Harrington R S 1977 Planetary Orbits in Binary Stars *Astron J* **82** 753–756
- Hart M H 1979 Habitable Zones about Main Sequence Stars *Icarus* **37** 351–357
- Hatzes A P, Cochran W D 1998 Searching for Extrasolar Planets from McDonald Observatory in R Rebolo, E L Martín, M R Zapatero Osorio, eds., *Brown Dwarfs and Extrasolar Planets* ASP Conf. Series 134 169–177 San Francisco
- Hatzes A P, Cochran W D, Bakker E J 1998a Further Evidence for the Planet Around 51 Pegasi *Nature* **391** 154–155
- 1998b The Lack of Spectral Line Variability in 51 Pegasi: Confirmation of the Planet Hypothesis *Astrophys J* **508** 380–386
- Hatzes A P, Cochran W D, Johns-Krull C M 1997 Testing the Planet Hypothesis: A Search for Variability in the Spectral-Line Shapes of 51 Pegasi *Astrophys J* **478** 374–380
- Hatzes A P, Kürster M, Cochran W D, Dennerl K, Döbereiner S 1996 The European Southern Observatory Planetary Search Programme: Preliminary Results *J Geophys Res* **101(E4)** 9285–9290
- Hauser H M, Marcy G W 1999 The Orbit of 16 Cygni AB *Publ Astr Soc Pac* **111** 321–334
- Hayes W, Tremaine S 1998 Fitting Selected Random Planetary Systems to the Titus-Bode Laws *Icarus* **135** 549–557
- Heacox W D 1996 Statistical Characteristics of Extrasolar Planetary Transits *J Geophys Res* **101(E6)** 14815–14821
- 1999 On the Nature of Low-Mass Companions to Solar-Like Stars *Astrophys J* **526** 928–936

- Henry G W, Marcy G W, Butler R P, Vogt S S 2000 A Transiting 51 Peg-like Planet *Astrophys J* **529** L41–L44
- Heppenheimer T A 1978a On the Formation of Planets in Binary Systems *Astron Astrophys* **65** 421–426
- 1978b On the Topography of Extrasolar Earthlike Planets *Icarus* **34** 441–443
- Hinz P, Angel J R P, Woolf N J, Hoffmann B, McCarthy D 1999a Imaging Extra-solar Systems from the Ground: the MMT and LBT Nulling Interferometers in S Unwin, R Stachnik, eds., *Working on the Fringe: Optical and IR Interferometry from Ground and Space* ASP Conf. Series 194 56 San Francisco
- Hinz P, Woolf N J, Angel J R P 1999b A Discovery-Class Planet Finder to Begin the Search for Earth-Like Planets in S Unwin, R Stachnik, eds., *Working on the Fringe: Optical and IR Interferometry from Ground and Space* ASP Conf. Series 194 55 San Francisco
- Hinz P M, Angel J R P, Hoffmann W F, et al. 2000 Imaging Circumstellar Environments with a Nulling Interferometer *Astrophys J* in press
- Høg E, Novikov I D, Polnarev A G 1995 MACHO Photometry and Astrometry *Astron Astrophys* **294** 287–294
- Holman M, Touma J, Tremaine S 1997 Chaotic Variations in the Eccentricity of the Planet Orbiting 16 Cygni B *Nature* **386** 254–256
- Huang S S 1960 The Sizes of Habitable Planets *Publ Astr Soc Pac* **72** 489–493
- Hummell C A, Mozurkewich D, Elias N. M. I, Quirrenbach A, Buscher D F, Armstrong J T, Johnston K J, Simon R S, Hutter D J 1994 Four Years of Astrometric Measurements with the Mark III Optical Interferometer *Astron J* **108** 326–336
- Janes K 1996 Star Clusters: Optimal Targets for a Photometric Planetary Search Program *J Geophys Res* **101(E6)** 14853–14859
- J Jeans J H 1917 The Part Played by Rotation in Cosmic Evolution *Mon Not R Astr Soc* **77** 186–199
- Jewitt D 1999 The Kuiper Belt *Physics World* **July 1999** 37–41
- Joshi M M, Haberle R M 1997 On the Ability of Synchronously Rotating Planets to Support Atmospheres in C B Cosmovici, S Bowyer, D Werthimer, eds., *Astronomical and Biochemical Origins and the Search for Life in the Universe*, IAU Coll. 161 351–357 Editrice Compositori, Italy
- Kalas P 1998 Links between Dust Disks and Exoplanets *Earth Moon and Planets* **81** 27–34
- Kalas P, Larwood J, Smith B A, Schultz A 2000 Rings in the Planetsimal Disk of β Pictoris *Astrophys J* **530** L133–137
- Kasting J F 1996 Planetary Atmospheres Evolution *Astrophys Space Sci* **241** 3–24
- Kasting J F, Whitmire D P, Reynolds R T 1993 Habitable Zones around Main Sequence Stars *Icarus* **101** 108–128
- KenKnight C E 1977 Methods of Detecting Extrasolar Planets: I Imaging *Icarus* **30** 422–433
- Kepler S O, Winget D E, Nather R E, et al. 1991 A Detection of the Evolutionary Time Scale of the DA White Dwarf G117–B15A with the Whole Earth Telescope *Astrophys J* **378** L45–L48
- Kleinman S J, Nather R E, Winget D E, et al. 1994 Observational Limits on Companions to G29–38 *Astrophys J* **436** 875–884
- Kobuko E, Ida S 1996 On Runaway Growth of Planetesimals *Icarus* **123** 180–191
- 2000 Formation of Protoplanets from Planetesimals in the Solar Nebula *Icarus* **143** 15–27
- Koch D, Borucki W, Cullers K, Dunham E, Webster L 1996 System design of a Mission to Detect Earth-Sized Planets in the Inner Orbits of Solar-Like Stars *J Geophys Res* **101(E4)** 9297–9302
- Koerner D W, Ressler M E, Werner M W, Backman D E 1998 Mid-Infrared Imaging of a Circumstellar Disk around HR 4796: Mapping the Debris of Planetary Formation *Astrophys J* **503** L83–L87
- Konacki M, Lewandowski W, Wolszczan A, Doroshenko O, Kramer M 1999a Are There Planets around the Pulsar PSR B0329+54? *Astrophys J* **519** L81–L84
- Konacki M, Maciejewski A J, Wolszczan A 1999b Resonance in PSR B1257+12 Planetary System *Astrophys J* **513** 471–476
- Korechoff R P, Diner D J, Tubbs E F, Gaiser S L 1994 Extrasolar Planet Detection *Astrophys Space Sci* **212** 369–383

- Koresko C D 1998 A Circumstellar Disk in a Pre-Main Sequence Binary Star *Astrophys J* **507** L145–L148
- Korzennik S, Brown T, Fischer D, Nisenson P, Noyes R 2000 A High-Eccentricity Low-Mass Companion to HD 89744 *Astrophys J* **533** L147–L150
- Krymowski Y, Mazeh T 1999 Studies of Multiple Stellar Systems. II. Second-Order Averaged Hamiltonian to Follow Long-Term Orbital Modulations of Hierarchical Triple Systems *Mon Not R Astr Soc* **304** 720–732
- Kuiper G P 1951 *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **37** 1
- Kürster M, Endl M, Els S, Hatzes A P, Cochran W D, Döbereiner S, Dennerl K 2000 An Extrasolar Giant Planet in an Earth-Like Orbit *Astron Astrophys* **353** L33–L36
- Kürster M, Hatzes A P, Cochran W D, Dennerl K, Döbereiner S, Endl M 1999 The ESO Precise Radial Velocity Survey for Extra-Solar Planets: Results from the First Five Years in J B Hearnshaw, C D Scarfe, eds., *Precise Stellar Radial Velocities, IAU Coll. 170* ASP Conf. Series 185 154–161 San Francisco
- Labeyrie A 1995 Images of Exo-Planets Obtainable from Dark Speckles in Adaptive Telescopes *Astron Astrophys* **298** 544–548
- 1996 Resolved Imaging of Extrasolar Planets with Future 10-100 km Optical Interferometric Arrays *Astron Astrophys Suppl* **118** 517–524
- 1999 Snapshots of Alien Worlds: The Future of Interferometry *Science* **285** 1864–1865
- Laplace P S 1796 *Exposition du Système du Monde* Circle-Sociale, Paris
- Laskar J 1994 Large-Scale Chaos in the Solar System *Astron Astrophys* **287** L9–L12
- 2000 On the Spacing of Planetary Systems *Phys Rev Lett* **84** 3240–3243
- Laskar J, Joutel F, Robutel P 1993 Stabilization of the Earth's Obliquity by the Moon *Nature* **361** 615–617
- Laskar J, Robutel P 1993 The Chaotic Obliquity of the Planets *Nature* **361** 608–614
- Latham D L, Stefanik R P, Mazeh T, Torres G, Carney B W 1998 Low-Mass Companions Found in a Large Radial-Velocity Survey in R Rebolo, E L Martín, M R Zapatero Osorio, eds., *Brown Dwarfs and Extrasolar Planets* 178–187 Tenerife, Spain
- Latham D W 1997 Radial-Velocity Searches for Low-Mass Companions Orbiting Solar-Type Stars in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 19–27 San Francisco
- Latham D W, Mazeh T, Stefanik R P, Mayor M, Burki G 1989 The Unseen Companion of HD114762: A Probable Brown Dwarf *Nature* **339** 38–40
- Lattanzi M G, Spagna A, Sozzetti A, Casertano S 2000 Space-Borne Global Astrometric Surveys: The Hunt for Extra-Solar Planets *Mon Not R Astr Soc* **in press**
- Laughlin G, Adams F C 1997 Possible Stellar Metallicity Enhancements from the Accretion of Planets *Astrophys J* **491** L51–L54
- 1998 The Modification of Planetary Orbits in Dense Open Clusters *Astrophys J* **508** L171–L174
- Laughlin G, Adams F G 1999 Stability and Chaos in the ν Andromedae Planetary System *Astrophys J* **526** 881–889
- Lean J, Rind D 1998 Climate Forcing by Changing Solar Radiation *J Climate* **11** 3069–3094
- Lecavelier des Etangs A, Scholl H, Roques F, Sicardy B, Vidal-Madjar A 1996 Perturbations of a Planet on the β Pictoris Circumstellar Disk *Icarus* **123** 168–179
- Lecavelier des Etangs A, Vidal-Madjar A, Burki G, Lamers H J G L M, Ferlet R, Nitschelm C, Sèvre F 1997 β Pic Light Variations: I The Planetary Hypothesis *Astron Astrophys* **328** 311–320
- Lecavelier des Etangs A, Vidal-Madjar A, Ferlet R 1999 Photometric Stellar Variation due to Extra-Solar Comets *Astron Astrophys* **343** 916–922
- Léger A 1998 Strategies for Remote Detection of Life in J M Mariotti, D Alloin, eds., *Planets Outside the Solar System: Theory and Observations* NATO-ASI 397–412 Kluwer, Dordrecht
- Léger A, Mariotti J M, Mennesson B, Ollivier M, Puget J L, Rouan D, Schneider J 1996 The Darwin Project *Astrophys Space Sci* **241** 135–146

- Léger A, Mariotti J M, Ollivier M, Mennesson B 1998 Search for Extra-Solar Life through Planetary Spectroscopy in C E Woodward, J M Shull, H A Thronson, eds., *Origins* ASP Conf. Series 148 458–471 San Francisco
- Léger A, Ollivier M, Altwegg K, Woolf N J 1999 Is the Presence of H₂O and O₃ in an Exoplanet a Reliable Signature of Biological Activity? *Astron Astrophys* **341** 304–311
- Léger A, et al. 1993 The Darwin Mission Concept Technical report Proposal to the ESA ‘Horizon 2000 Plus’ Planning Process
- Leigh D, Horowitz P 1997 Millions and Billions: The META and BETA Searches at Harvard in C B Cosmovici, S Bowyer, D Werthimer, eds., *Astronomical and Biochemical Origins and the Search for Life in the Universe*, IAU Coll. 161 601–610 Editrice Compositori, Italy
- Lestrade J F, Phillips R B, Jones D L, Preston R A 1996 Search for Extrasolar Planets around Radio-Emitting Stars by VLBI Astrometry *J Geophys Res* **101(E6)** 1483–14841
- Lestrade J F, Preston R A, Jones D L, Phillips R B, Rogers A E E, Titus M A, Rioja M J, Gabuzda D C 1999 High-Precision VLBI Astrometry of Radio-Emitting Stars *Astron Astrophys* **344** 1014–1026
- Levison H F, Lissauer J J, Duncan M J 1998 Modeling the Diversity of Outer Planetary Systems *Astron J* **116** 1998–2014
- Lin D N C, Bodenheimer P, Richardson D C 1996 Orbital Migration of the Planetary Companion of 51 Pegasi to its Present Location *Nature* **380** 606–607
- Lin D N C, Ida S 1997 On the Origin of Massive Eccentric Planets *Astrophys J* **477** 781–791
- Lin D N C, Papaloizou J 1986a On the Tidal Interaction Between Protoplanets and the Primordial Solar Nebula. II Self-Consistent Nonlinear Interaction *Astrophys J* **307** 395–409
- 1986b On the Tidal Interaction Between Protoplanets and the Protoplanetary Disk. III Orbital Migration of Protoplanets *Astrophys J* **309** 846–857
- Lin D N C, Papaloizou J C B 1979 Tidal Torques on Accretion Disks in Binary Systems with Extreme Mass Ratios *Mon Not R Astr Soc* **186** 799–812
- Lin D N C, Papaloizou J G B, Bryden G, Ida S, Terquem C 2000 Planet Formation, Orbital Evolution and Planet-Star Tidal Interaction in V Mannings, A P Boss, S S Russell, eds., *Protostars and Planets IV* University of Arizona Press, Tucson
- Link F 1936 Sure Les Conséquences Photométriques de la Déviation d’Einstein *C R Acad Sci Paris* **202** 917–919
- 1969 *Eclipse Phenomena in Astronomy* Springer
- Lissauer J J 1993 Planet Formation *Ann Rev Astron Astrophys* **31** 129–174
- 1995 On the Diversity of Plausible Planetary Systems *Icarus* **114** 217–236
- 1997a Formation, Frequency and Spacing of Habitable Planets in C B Cosmovici, S Bowyer, D Werthimer, eds., *Astronomical and Biochemical Origins and the Search for Life in the Universe*, IAU Coll. 161 289–297 Editrice Compositori, Italy
- 1997b Growing Up in a Two-Parent Family? *Nature* **386** 18–19
- 1999 Three Planets for Upsilon Andromedae *Nature* **398** 659–660
- Livio M, Pringle J E, Saffer R A 1992 Planets around Massive White Dwarfs *Mon Not R Astr Soc* **257** 15P–16P
- Lloyd-Hart M J, Angel J R P, Groesbeck T D, et al. 1998 First Astronomical Images Sharpened with Adaptive Optics using a Sodium Laser Guide Star *Astrophys J* **493** 950–954
- Lopez B, Petrov R G, Mennesson B, Schneider J 2000 A Darwin Proposal for the Observation of Planets Orbiting Evolved Stars in B Schürmann, ed., *Darwin and Astronomy* 77–80 ESA SP–451, Noordwijk, NL
- Low F J, Hines D C, Schneider G 1999 NICMOS Observations of the Pre-Main-Sequence Planetary Debris System HD 98800 *Astrophys J* **520** L45–48
- Lubow S H, Seibert M, Artymowicz P 1999 Disk Accretion onto High-Mass Planets *Astrophys J* **526** 1001–1012
- Lucas P W, Roche P F 2000 A Population of Very Young Brown Dwarfs and Free-Floating Planets in Orion *Mon Not R Astr Soc* **314** 858

- Lunine J I 1999a *Earth: Evolution of a Habitable World* Cambridge University Press
- 1999b In Search of Planets and Life Around Other Stars *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **96** 5353–5355
- Lynds R, Petrosian V 1989 Luminous Arcs in Clusters of Galaxies *Astrophys J* **336** 1–8
- Lyne A G, Bailes M 1992 No Planet Orbiting PSR 1829-10 *Nature* **355** 213
- Malbet F, Yu J W, Shao M 1995 High-Dynamic-Range Imaging using a Deformable Mirror for Space Coronagraphy *Publ Astr Soc Pac* **107** 386–398
- Malhotra R 1993 Three-Body Effects in the PSR 1257+12 Planetary System *Astrophys J* **407** 266–275
- Malhotra R, Black D, Eck A, Jackson A 1992 Resonant Orbital Evolution in the Putative Planetary System of PSR 1257+12 *Nature* **356** 583–585
- Mao S, Paczyński B 1991 Gravitational Microlensing by Double Stars and Planetary Systems *Astrophys J* **374** L37–L40
- Marcy G, Butler P, Vogt S 2000a Sub-Saturn Planet Candidates to HD 16141 and HD 46375 *Astrophys J* **in press**
- Marcy G, et al. 2000b <http://exoplanets.org>
- Marcy G W, Benitz K J 1989 A Search for Substellar Companions to Low-Mass Stars *Astrophys J* **344** 441–453
- Marcy G W, Butler R P 1992 Precision Radial Velocities with an Iodine Absorption Cell *Publ Astr Soc Pac* **104** 270–277
- 1996 A Planetary Companion to 70 Virginis *Astrophys J* **464** L147–L151
- 1998a Detection of Extrasolar Giant Planets *Ann Rev Astron Astrophys* **36** 57–97
- 1998b Doppler Detection of Extra-Solar Planets in R A Donahue, J A Bookbinder, eds., *Cool Stars, Stellar Systems and the Sun; Proceedings of the 10th Cambridge Workshop* ASP Conf. Series 154 9–24 San Francisco
- 2000 Planets Orbiting Other Suns *Publ Astr Soc Pac* **112** 137–140
- Marcy G W, Butler R P, Vogt S S, Fischer D, Lissauer J J 1998 A Planetary Companion to a Nearby M4 Dwarf, Gliese 876 *Astrophys J* **505** L147–L149
- Marcy G W, Butler R P, Vogt S S, Fischer D A, Liu M C 1999 Two New Candidate Planets in Eccentric Orbits *Astrophys J* **520** 239–247
- Marcy G W, Butler R P, Williams E, Bildsten L, Graham J R, Ghez A, Jernigan G 1997 The Planet Around 51 Pegasi *Astrophys J* **481** 926–935
- Marcy G W, Cochran W D, Mayor M 2000c Extrasolar Planets Around Main Sequence Stars in V Mannings, A P Boss, S S Russell, eds., *Protostars and Planets IV* University of Arizona Press, Tucson
- Marcy G W, Moore D 1989 The Extremely Low Mass Companion to Gliese 623 *Astrophys J* **341** 961–967
- Mariotti J M, Denise C, Derie F, et al. 1998 VLT/ISAAC Program: a Status Report *SPIE* **3350** 800
- Mariotti J M, Léger A, Mennesson B, Ollivier M 1997 Detection and Characterization of Earth-Like Planets in C B Cosmovici, S Bowyer, D Werthimer, eds., *Astronomical and Biochemical Origins and the Search for Life in the Universe, IAU Coll. 161* 299–311 Editrice Compositori, Italy
- Marley M S 1998 Atmosphere of Giant Planets from Neptune to Gliese 229B in R Rebolo, E L Martín, M R Zapatero Osorio, eds., *Brown Dwarfs and Extrasolar Planets* ASP Conf. Series 134 383–393 San Francisco
- Marley M S, Gelino C, Stephens D, Lunine J I, Freedman R 1999 Reflected Spectra and Albedos of Extrasolar Giant Planets I. Clear and Cloudy Atmospheres *Astrophys J* **513** 879–893
- Mather J C, Bely P Y, Stockman P, Thronson H A 1997 NGST Capabilities for Planet and Planet Formation Studies in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 245–257 San Francisco
- Mattox J R, Halpern J P, Caraveo P A 1998 Timing the Geminga Pulsar with Gamma-Ray Observations *Astrophys J* **493** 891
- Mayor M, Queloz D 1995 A Jupiter-Mass Companion to a Solar-Type Star *Nature* **378** 355–359
- Mayor M, Queloz D, Beuzit J L 2000 in V Mannings, A P Boss, S S Russell, eds., *Protostars and*

- Planets IV* University of Arizona Press, Tucson
- Mayor M, Queloz D, Udry S, Halbwachs J L 1997 From Brown Dwarfs to Planets in C B Cosmovici, S Bowyer, D Werthimer, eds., *Astronomical and Biochemical Origins and the Search for Life in the Universe, IAU Coll. 161* 313–330 Editrice Compositori, Italy
- Mazeh T, Krymowski Y, Rosenfeld G 1997a The High Eccentricity of the Planet Around 16 Cyg B *Astrophys J* **477** L103–L106
- Mazeh T, Mayor M, Latham D W 1997b Eccentricity versus Mass for Low-Mass Secondaries and Planets *Astrophys J* **478** 367–373
- Mazeh T, Naef D, Torres G, et al. 2000 The Spectroscopic Orbit of the Planetary Companion Transiting HD 209458 *Astrophys J* **532** L55–L58
- Mazeh T, Zucker S, Torre A D, van Leeuwen F 1999 Analysis of the Hipparcos Measurements of ν Andromedae – A Mass Estimate of its Outermost Known Planetary Companion *Astrophys J* **522** L149–L151
- McAlister H A 1999 The CHARA Array on Mt. Wilson: an Overview in S Unwin, R Stachnik, eds., *Working on the Fringe: Optical and IR Interferometry from Ground and Space* ASP Conf. Series 194 32 San Francisco
- McBreen B, Hanlon L 1999 Gamma-Ray Bursts and the Origin of Chondrules and Planets *Astron Astrophys* **351** 759–765
- McCaughrean M J 1997 Protostars, Circumstellar Disks, and the Environments of Proto-Planetary Evolution in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 53–72 San Francisco
- McKay C P 1998 Life in the Planetary Context in C E Woodward, J M Shull, H A Thronson, eds., *Origins* ASP Conf. Series 148 449–455 San Francisco
- McMillan R S, Moore T L, Perry M L, Smith P H 1994 Long, Accurate Time Series Measurements of Radial Velocities of Solar-Type Stars *Astrophys Space Sci* **212** 271–280
- McMillan R S, Smith P H, Perry M L, Moore T S, Merline W J 1990 Long-Term Stability of a Fabry-Perot Interferometer Used for Measurement of Stellar Doppler Shift *SPIE* **1235** 601–609
- Mennesson B, Mariotti J M 1997 Array Configurations for a Space Infrared Nulling Interferometer Dedicated to the Search for Earthlike Extrasolar Planets *Icarus* **128** 202–212
- Mignard F 1999 GAIA: Design and Science in D Egret, A Heck, eds., *Harmonizing Cosmic Distance Scales in a Post-Hipparcos Era* ASP Conf. Ser. 167 44–51 Astronomical Society of the Pacific, San Francisco
- Mizuno H 1980 Formation of the Giant Planets *Prog Theor Phys* **64** 544–557
- Murray N, Hansen B, Holman M, Tremaine S 1998 Migrating Planets *Science* **279** 69–72
- Naef D, Mayor M, Pepe F, Queloz D, Udry S, Burnet M 2000 A Planetary Companion around the Young G6 Dwarf GJ 3021 in *Disks, Planetesimals and Planets* Tenerife
- Nelson A F, Angel J R P 1998 The Range of Masses and Periods Explored by Radial Velocity Searches for Planetary Companions *Astrophys J* **500** 940–957
- Nieto M M 1972 *The Titius-Bode Law of Planetary Distances: History and Theory* Pergamon, Oxford
- Noll K S, Roush T L, Cruikshank D P, Johnson R E, Pendleton Y J 1997 Detection of Ozone on Saturn's Satellites Rhea and Dione *Nature* **388** 45–47
- Noyes R W, Jha S, Korzennik S, Krockenberger M, Nisenson P, Brown T, Kennelly E, Horner S 1997a The AFOE Program of Extra-Solar Planets in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 119–122 San Francisco
- Noyes R W, Jha S, Korzennik S G, Krockenberger M, Nisenson P, Brown T M, Kennelly E J, Horner S D 1997b A Planet Orbiting the Star ρ Coronae Borealis *Astrophys J* **483** L111–L114
- Oasa Y, Tamura M, Sugitani K 1999 A Deep Near-Infrared Survey of the Chamaeleon I Dark Cloud Core *Astrophys J* **526** 336–343
- O'Dell C R, Wen Z, Hu X 1993 Discovery of New Objects in the Orion Nebula on HST Images: Shocks, Compact Sources, and Protoplanetary Disks *Astrophys J* **410** 696–700
- Owen T 1980 The Search for Early Forms of Life in Other Planetary Systems - Future Possibilities

- Afforded by Spectroscopic Techniques in *Strategies for the Search for Life in the Universe* 177 Reidel, Dordrecht
- Paczynski B 1986a Gravitational Microlensing at Large Optical Depths *Astrophys J* **301** 503–516
- 1986b Gravitational Microlensing by the Galactic Halo *Astrophys J* **304** 1–5
- 1996 Gravitational Microlensing in the Local Group *Ann Rev Astron Astrophys* **34** 419–459
- Pan X, Kulkarni S, Colavita M M, Shao M 1998 Recent Measurements of Stars with Planets using the Palomar Testbed Interferometer in R Rebolo, E L Martín, M R Zapatero Osorio, eds., *Brown Dwarfs and Extrasolar Planets* 271–272 Tenerife, Spain
- Parriott J, Alcock C 1998 On the Number of Comets around White Dwarf Stars: Orbit Survival During the Late Stages of Stellar Evolution *Astrophys J* **501** 357–366
- Peale S J 1994 On the Detection of Mutual Perturbations as Proof of Planets around PSR 1257+12 *Astrophys Space Sci* **212** 77–89
- 1997 Expectations from a Microlensing Search for Planets *Icarus* **127** 269–289
- Perryman M A C, Lindegren L, Arenou F, et al. 1996 Hipparcos Distances and Mass Limits for the Planetary Candidates 47 UMa, 70 Vir and 51 Peg *Astron Astrophys* **310** L21–L24
- Perryman M A C, Lindegren L, Kovalevsky J, et al. 1997 The Hipparcos Catalogue *Astron Astrophys* **323** L49–L52
- Petrou M 1981 *Dynamical Models of Spheroidal Systems* Ph.D. thesis University of Cambridge
- Phillips J A, Thorsett S E 1994 Planets around Pulsars: A Review *Astrophys Space Sci* **212** 91–106
- Phinney E S, Hansen B M S 1993 The Pulsar Planet Production Process in J A Phillips, S E Thorsett, S R Kulkarni, eds., *Planets around Pulsars* ASP Conf. Series 36 371–390 San Francisco
- Podsiadlowski P 1993 Planet Formation Scenarios in J A Phillips, S E Thorsett, S R Kulkarni, eds., *Planets around Pulsars* ASP Conf. Series 36 149–165 San Francisco
- Pollack J B 1984 Origin and History of the Outer Planets: Theoretical Models and Observational Constraints *Ann Rev Astron Astrophys* **22** 389–424
- Pollack J B, Hubickij O, Bodenheimer P, Lissauer J J, Podolak M, Greenzweig Y 1996 Formation of Giant Planets by Concurrent Accretion of Solids and Gas *Icarus* **124** 62–85
- Pratt M R, Alcock C, Allsman R A, et al. 1996 Real-Time Detection of Gravitational Microlensing in C S Kochanek, J N Hewitt, eds., *Astrophysical Applications of Gravitational Lensing* IAU Symp. 173 221–226 Kluwer, Dordrecht
- Provencal J L 1997 White Dwarfs and Planetary Systems in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 123–126 San Francisco
- Queloz D, Mayor M, Sivan J P, Kohler D, Perrier C, Mariotti J M, Beuzit J L 1998 The Observatoire de Haute-Provence Search for Extrasolar Planets with ELODIE in R Rebolo, E L Martín, M R Zapatero Osorio, eds., *Brown Dwarfs and Extrasolar Planets* ASP Conf. Series 134 324–326 San Francisco
- Queloz D, Mayor M, Weber L, et al. 2000 The CORALIE Survey for Southern Extra-Solar Planets. I. A Planet Orbiting the Star Gliese 86 *Astron Astrophys* **354** 99–102
- Quillen A C, Holman M 2000 Production of Star-Grazing and Impacting Planetesimals via Orbital Migration of Extrasolar Planets: Stellar Metallicity Enhancement in Young Extrasolar Planetary Systems *Astron J* **119** 397–402
- Rasio F A, Ford E B 1996 Dynamical Instabilities and the Formation of Extrasolar Planetary Systems *Science* **274** 954–956
- Rasio F A, Nicholson P D, Shapiro S L, Teukolsky S A 1992 An Observational Test for the Existence of a Planetary System Orbiting PSR 1257+12 *Nature* **355** 325–326
- Rasio F A, Tout C A, Lubow S H, Livio M 1996 Tidal Decay of Close Planetary Orbits *Astrophys J* **470** 1187–1191
- Refsdal S 1964 The Gravitational Lens Effect *Mon Not R Astr Soc* **128** 295–306
- Refsdal S, Surdej J 1994 Gravitational Lenses *Rep Prog Phys* **56** 117–185
- Rhie S H, Becker A C, Bennett D P, et al. 1999 Observations of the Binary Microlens Event MACHO

- 98-SMC-1 by the Microlensing Planet Search Collaboration *Astrophys J* **522** 1037–1045
- Rhie S H, Bennett D P, Fragile P C, et al. 1998 A Report from Microlensing Planet Search Collaboration: A Possible Earth Mass Planetary System found in MACHO-98-BLG-35? *Bull Amer Astron Soc* **193** 10805
- Rivera E, Lissauer J J 2000 Stability Analysis of the Planetary System Orbiting ν Andromedae *Astrophys J* **530** 454–463
- Robichon N, Arenou F 2000 HD 209458 Planetary Transits from Hipparcos Photometry *Astron Astrophys* **355** 295–298
- Rosenblatt F 1971 A Two-Color Photometric Method for Detection of Extra-Solar Planetary Systems *Icarus* **14** 71–93
- Röser S, Bastian U, de Boer K S, et al. 1997 DIVA - Towards Microarcsecond Global Astrometry in M A C Perryman, P L Bernacca, eds., *Hipparcos Venice 97* ESA SP-402 777–782 ESA, Noordwijk
- Rottler L, Doyle L, Bolte M 1999 Extrasolar Planets Around Eclipsing Binaries II: A Photometric Search in the Globular Cluster NGC 6752 in *Bioastronomy 99: A New Era in Bioastronomy* 6th Bioastronomy Meeting 110
- Roxburgh I, Christensen-Dalsgaard J, Favata F 2000 Eddington: a Stellar Physics and Planet-Finder Explorer Technical report Proposal to ESA
- Rubenstein E, Schaefer B 2000 Are Superflares on Solar Analogues Caused by Extrasolar Planets? *Astrophys J* **529** 1031–1033
- Ruden S P 1993 The Evolution of Protoplanetary Disks in J A Phillips, S E Thorsett, S R Kulkarni, eds., *Planets around Pulsars* ASP Conf. Series 36 197–215 San Francisco
- 1999 The Formation of Planets in C J Lada, N D Kylafis, eds., *The Origin of Stars and Planetary Systems* 643–680 Kluwer, Dordrecht
- Saar S H, Butler R P, Marcy G W 1998 Magnetic Activity-Related Radial Velocity Variations in Cool Stars: First Results from the Lick Extrasolar Planet Survey *Astrophys J* **498** L153–L157
- Saar S H, Donahue R A 1997 Activity-Related Radial Velocity Variation in Cool Stars *Astrophys J* **485** 319–327
- Sackett P D 1999 Searching for Unseen Planets via Occultation and Microlensing in J M Mariotti, D Alloin, eds., *Planets Outside the Solar System: Theory and Observations* NATO-ASI 189–227 Kluwer, Dordrecht
- Safizadeh N, Dalal N, Griest K 1999 Astrometric Microlensing as a Method of Discovering and Characterizing Extra-Solar Planets *Astrophys J* **522** 512–517
- Safronov V S 1969 *Evolution of the Protoplanetary Cloud and Formation of the Earth and Planets* Nauka Press, Moscow (English translation: NASA-677, 1972)
- Sagan C 1997 How Definitive would Detection of H₂O, CO₂, O₂/O₃ and CH₄/O₂ be for the Identification of Life on Planets on Other Stars? in D Des Marais, ed., *The Blue Dot Workshop: Spectroscopic Search for Life on Extrasolar Planets* 34 NASA Ames Research Center CP 10154
- Sahu K C 1997 Detecting Planets through Microlensing in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 73–86 San Francisco
- Sandquist E, Taam R E, Lin D N C, Burkert A 1998 Planet Consumption and Stellar Metallicity Enhancements *Astrophys J* **506** L65–L68
- Santos N, Mayor M, Naef D, Pepe F, Queloz D, Udry S, Burnet M, Revaz Y 2000 The CORALIE Survey for Southern Extra-Solar Planets. III. A Giant Planet in Orbit Around HD 192263 *Astron Astrophys* **356** 599–602
- Sartoretti P, Schneider J 1999 On the Detection of Satellites of Extrasolar Planets with the Method of Transits *Astron Astrophys Suppl* **134** 553–560
- Saumon D, Hubbard W B, Burrows A, Guillot T, Lunine J I, Chabrier G 1996 A Theory of Extrasolar Giant Planets *Astrophys J* **460** 993–1018
- Schaefer B E, King J R, Deliyannis C P 2000 Superflares on Normal Solar Type Stars *Astrophys J* **529** 1026–1030
- Scherer K, Fichtner H, Anderson J D, Lau E L 1997 A Pulsar, the Heliosphere, and Pioneer 10: Probable

- Mimicking of a Planet of PSR B1257+12 by Solar Radiation *Science* **278** 1919
- Schneider G, Smith B A, Becklin E E 1999 NICMOS Imaging of the HR 4796A Circumstellar Disk *Astrophys J* **513** L127–130
- Schneider J 1994 On the Search for O₂ in Extrasolar Planets *Astrophys Space Sci* **212** 321–325
- 1996 Photometric Search for Extrasolar Planets *Astrophys Space Sci* **241** 35–42
- 1999 The Study of Extrasolar Planets: Methods of Detection, First Discoveries and Future Perspectives *C R Acad Sci Paris Ser II* **327** 621–634
- 2000a Extrasolar Planets Transits: Detection and Follow-Up in F Paresce, ed., *VLT Opening Ceremony Symposium* Springer
- 2000b The Wobble Method of Extrasolar Planets Detection Revisited *Astron Astrophys* **in press**
- Schneider J, Auvergne M, Baglin A, et al. 1998 The COROT Mission: From Structure of Stars to Origin of Planetary Systems in C E Woodward, J M Shull, H A Thronson, eds., *Origins* ASP Conf. Series 148 298–303 San Francisco
- Schneider J, Chevreton M 1990 The Photometric Search for Earth-Sized Extrasolar Planets by Occultation in Binary Systems *Astron Astrophys* **232** 251–257
- Schroeder D, Golimowski D 1996 Searching for Faint Companions to Nearby Stars with the Hubble Space Telescope *Publ Astr Soc Pac* **108** 510–519
- Seager S, Sasselov D D 1998 Extrasolar Giant Planets Under Strong Stellar Irradiation *Astrophys J* **502** L157–L161
- Seager S, Whitney B A, Sasselov D D 2000 Photometric Light Curves and Polarization of Close-In Extrasolar Giant Planets *Astrophys J* **in press**
- Shabanova T 1995 Evidence for a Planet Around the Pulsar PSR B0329+54 *Astrophys J* **453** 779–782
- Shao M 1995 Prospects for Ground-Based Interferometric Astrometry *Astrophys Space Sci* **223** 119–123
- Shao M, Colavita M M 1992 Potential of Long-Baseline Infrared Interferometry for Narrow-Angle Astrometry *Astron Astrophys* **262** 353–358
- Shu F H, Adams F C, Lizano S 1987 Star Formation in Molecular Clouds: Observation and Theory *Ann Rev Astron Astrophys* **25** 23–81
- Shu F H, Shang H, Lee T 1996 Toward an Astrophysical Theory of Chondrites *Science* **271** 1545–1552
- Siess L, Livio M 1999a The Accretion of Brown Dwarfs and Planets by Giant Stars II. Solar-Mass Stars on the Red Giant Branch *Mon Not R Astr Soc* **308** 1133–1149
- 1999b The Accretion of Brown Dwarfs and Planets by Giant Stars I. Asymptotic Giant Branch Stars *Mon Not R Astr Soc* **304** 925–937
- Smith B, Terrile R J 1984 A Circumstellar Disk Around Beta Pictoris *Science* **226** 1421–1424
- Söderhjelm S, Robichon N, Arenou F 1999 HD 209458 *IAU Circular* **7323**
- Soker N 1999 Detecting Planets in Planetary Nebulae *Mon Not R Astr Soc* **306** 806–808
- Stahl S M, Sandler D G 1995 Optimization and Performance of Adaptive Optics for Imaging Extrasolar Planets *Astrophys J* **454** L153–L156
- Stepinski T F, Black D C 2000 Statistics of Low-Mass Companions to Stars: Implications for their Origins *Astron Astrophys* **356** 903–912
- Stepinski T F, Malhotra R, Black D C 2000 The Upsilon Andromedae System: Models and Stability *Astrophys J* **in press**
- Stern S A 1994 The Detectability of Extrasolar Terrestrial and Giant Planets During their Luminous Final Accretion *Astron J* **108** 2312–2317
- Stevenson D 1999 Life-Sustaining Planets in Interstellar Space *Nature* **400** 32–32
- Stothers R B 1998 Galactic Disc Dark Matter, Terrestrial Impact Cratering and the Law of Large Numbers *Mon Not R Astr Soc* **300** 1098–1104
- Struve O 1952 Proposal for a Project of High-Precision Stellar Radial Velocity Work *Observatory* **72** 199–200
- Sudarsky D, Burrows A, Pinto P 2000 Albedo and Reflection Spectra of Extrasolar Giant Planets *Astrophys J* **in press**
- Tartar J 1986 Brown Dwarfs in M C Kafatos, R S Harrington, S P Maran, eds., *Astrophysics of Brown*

- Dwarfs* Cambridge University Press 121–138
- Terquem C, Papaloizou J C B, Nelson R P 2000 Disks, Extrasolar Planets and Migration in W Benz, R Kallenbach, G Lugmair, F Podosek, eds., *From Dust to Terrestrial Planets* ISSI Space Science Ser. 9
- Terquem C, Papaloizou J C B, Nelson R P, Lin D N C 1998 On the Tidal Interaction of a Solar-Type Star with an Orbiting Companion: Excitation of g -Mode Oscillation and Orbital Evolution *Astrophys J* **502** 788–801
- Terrile R J, Ftaclas C 1997 Direct Detection of Extra-Solar Planetary Systems from Balloon Borne Telescopes in C B Cosmovici, S Bowyer, D Werthimer, eds., *Astronomical and Biochemical Origins and the Search for Life in the Universe*, IAU Coll. 161 359–366 Editrice Compositori, Italy
- Thorsett S E, Arzoumanian Z, Camilo F, Lyne A G 1999 The Triple Pulsar System PSR B1620-26 in M4 *Astrophys J* **523** 763–770
- Thronson H A 1997 Our Cosmic Origins: NASA's Origins Theme and the Search for Earth-Like Planets in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 3–7 San Francisco
- Tinney C G 1999 Brown Dwarfs: the Stars that Failed *Nature* **397** 37–40
- Tokovinin A A 1992 The Frequency of Low-Mass Companions to K and M Stars in the Solar Neighborhood *Astron Astrophys* **256** 121–132
- Torres G 1999 Substellar Companion Masses from Minimal Radial Velocity or Astrometric Information: a Monte Carlo Approach *Publ Astr Soc Pac* **111** 169–176
- Townes C H 1997 Optical and Infrared SETI in C B Cosmovici, S Bowyer, D Werthimer, eds., *Astronomical and Biochemical Origins and the Search for Life in the Universe*, IAU Coll. 161 585–594 Editrice Compositori, Italy
- Traub W A 1998 Recent Results from the IOTA Interferometer *SPIE* **3350** 848–855
- Trilling D E, Benz W, Guillot T, Lunine J I, Hubbard W, Burrows A 1998 Orbital Evolution and Migration of Giant Planets: Modeling Extrasolar Planets *Astrophys J* **500** 428–439
- Trilling D E, Brown R H 1998 A Circumstellar Dust Disk around a Star with a Known Planetary Companion *Nature* **395** 775–777
- Udalski A, Szymański M, Kaluzny J, et al. 1993 The Optical Gravitational Lensing Experiment. Discovery of the First Candidate Microlensing Event in the Direction of the Galactic Bulge *Acta Astron* **43** 289–294
- Udry S, Mayor M, Latham D W, Stefanik R P, Torres G, Mazeh T, Goldberg D, Andersen J, Nordstrom B 1998 A Survey for Spectroscopic Binaries in a Large Sample of G Dwarfs in R A Donahue, J A Bookbinder, eds., *Cool Stars, Stellar Systems and the Sun; Proceedings of the 10th Cambridge Workshop* ASP Conf. Series 154 2148 San Francisco
- Udry S, Mayor M, Naef D, Pepe F, Queloz D, Santos N, Burnet M, Confino B, Melo C 2000 The CORALIE Survey for Southern Extra-Solar Planets. II. The Short-Period Planetary Companions to HD 75289 and HD 130322 *Astron Astrophys* **356** 590–598
- van de Kamp P 1982 The Planetary System of Barnard's Star *Vistas in Astron* **26** 141–157
- Vogt S S, Marcy G W, Butler R P, Apps K 2000 Six New Planets from the Keck Precision Velocity Survey *Astrophys J* **in press**
- Walker G A H, Walker A R, Irwin A W, Larson A M, Yang S L S, Richardson D C 1995 A Search for Jupiter-mass Companions to Nearby Stars *Icarus* **116** 359–375
- Walsh D, Carswell R F, Weymann R J 1979 0957+561A,B: Twin Quasistellar Objects or Gravitational Lens? *Nature* **279** 381–384
- Wambsganss J 1997 Discovering Galactic Planets by Gravitational Microlensing: Magnification Patterns and Light Curves *Mon Not R Astr Soc* **284** 172–188
- Ward W R 1974 Climatic Variations on Mars: I. Astronomical Theory of Insolation *J Geophys Res* **79** 3375–3386
- 1981 Solar Nebula Dispersal and the Stability of the Planetary System. I. Scanning Secular Resonance Theory *Icarus* **47** 234–264

- 1997a Protoplanet Migration by Nebula Tides *Icarus* **126** 261–281
- 1997b Survival of Planetary Systems *Astrophys J* **482** L211–L214
- 1998 On Planet Formation and Migration in C E Woodward, J M Shull, H A Thronson, eds., *Origins* ASP Conf. Series 148 338–346 San Francisco
- Ward W R, Hourigan K 1989 Orbital Migration of Protoplanets: The Inertial Limit *Astrophys J* **347** 490–495
- Warren W H, Dickel H R 1998 Nomenclature for Extrasolar Substellar Objects: A New Challenge in R Rebolo, E L Martín, M R Zapatero Osorio, eds., *Brown Dwarfs and Extrasolar Planets* ASP Conf. Series 134 327–331 San Francisco
- Weidenschilling S J, Marzari F 1996 Gravitational Scattering as a Possible Origin for Giant Planets at Small Stellar Distances *Nature* **384** 619–621
- Weidenschilling S J, Spaute D, Davis D R, Marzari F, Ohtsuki K 1997 Accretion Evolution of a Planetesimal Swarm: 2. The Terrestrial Zone *Icarus* **128** 429–455
- Weinberger A J, Becklin E E, Schneider G, et al. 1999 The Circumstellar Disk of HD 141569 Imaged with NICMOS *Astrophys J* **525** L53–56
- Wetherill G W 1990 Formation of the Earth *Ann Rev Earth Planet Sci* **18** 205–256
- 1994 Possible Consequences of Absence of ‘Jupiters’ in Planetary Systems *Astrophys Space Sci* **212** 23–32
- 1996 The Formation and Habitability of Extra-Solar Planets *Icarus* **119** 219–238
- White S D M, Springel V 2000 Where are the First Stars Now? in A Weiss, T Abel, V Hill, eds., *MPA/ESO Workshop: The First Stars*
- Whitmire D P, Matese J J, Criswell L, Mikkola S 1998 Habitable Planet Formation in Binary Star Systems *Icarus* **132** 196–203
- Wiedemann G, Deming D, Bjoraker G 2000 A Sensitive Search for Methane in the Infrared Spectrum of τ Bootis *Astrophys J* **in press**
- Willems B, van Hoolst T, Smeyers P, Waelkens C 1997 On the Possibility of a Tidally Excited Low-Frequency g+ Mode in 51 Peg *Astron Astrophys* **326** L37–L40
- Williams D M, Kasting J F 1997 Habitable Planets with High Obliquities *Icarus* **129** 254–267
- Williams D M, Kasting J F, Wade R A 1997 Habitable Moons around Extrasolar Giant Planets *Nature* **385** 234–235
- Williams I P 1997 The Trans-Neptunian Region *Rep Prog Phys* **60** 1–22
- Wizinowich P, Acton D S, Shelton C, et al. 2000 First Light Adaptive Optics Images from the Keck II Telescope: A New Era of High Angular Resolution Imagery *Publ Astr Soc Pac* **112** 315–319
- Wolszczan A 1994a Confirmation of Earth-Mass Planets Orbiting the Millisecond Pulsar PSR B1257+12 *Science* **264** 538–542
- 1994b Toward Planets Around Neutron Stars *Astrophys Space Sci* **212** 67–75
- 1997 The Pulsar Planets Update in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 135–138 San Francisco
- Wolszczan A, Frail D A 1992 A Planetary System Around Millisecond Pulsar PSR 1257+12 *Nature* **355** 145–147
- Woodward C E, Shull J M, Thronson H A 1998 *Origins* ASP, San Francisco
- Woolf N J, Angel J R P 1997 Planet Finder Options I: New Linear Nulling Array Configurations in D R Soderblom, ed., *Planets Beyond the Solar System and the Next Generation of Space Missions* ASP Conf. Series 119 285–293 San Francisco
- 1998 Astronomical Searches for Earth-Like Planets and Signs of Life *Ann Rev Astron Astrophys* **36** 507–537
- Woolfson M M 1964 A Capture Theory of the Origin of the Solar System *Proc R Soc Lond* **A282** 485–507
- 2000 The Origin and Evolution of the Solar System *Astron Geophys* **41(1)** 12–19
- Zucker S, Mazeh T 2000 Analysis of the Hipparcos Measurements of HD 10697. A Mass Determination of a Brown Dwarf Secondary *Astron Astrophys* **531** L67–L69